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Abstract. Feitful representations of two generated free groups and free semigroups by orientation-preserving plane isometries constructed.

Let $\mathcal{G}_{+}$denote the group of orientation-preserving isometries of Euclidean plane. $\mathcal{G}_{+}$is a locally compact Lie group, it consists of rotations and translations. Let $G$ be a countable group or semigroup. An action of the (semi)group $G$ on the plane by orientation-preserving isometries is a homomorphism $d: G \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{+}$. Let $x$ be a point in the plane. The orbit of $x$ under the action $d$ is the sequence $O_{d}(x)=\{d(g) x\}_{g \in G}$ indexed by elements of $G$. Suppose $G$ is finitely generated and $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}$ is some fixed set of its generators. Then the action $d$ is uniquely determined by isometries $A_{1}=d\left(g_{1}\right), \ldots, A_{k}=d\left(g_{k}\right)$, and we denote it by $G\left[A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right]$. In general, the action $G\left[A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right]$ may not exist for some $k$-tuples $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)$ of isometries. It does exist in the case $G$ is the free semigroup $F S G_{k}$ or the free group $F G_{k}$ with $k$ generators.

The action $d$ is called faithful if it is a monomorphism. Suppose $d\left(g_{1}\right) x=d\left(g_{2}\right) x$ for some $g_{1}, g_{2} \in G$ and a point $x$. If $g_{1} \neq g_{2}$ and the action $d$ is faithful, then $d\left(g_{1}\right) d\left(g_{2}\right)^{-1}$ is a nontrivial rotation and $x$ is its fixed point. Thus $d$ is faithful implies there exists a countable subset $S_{d}$ of the plane such that for any $x \notin S_{d}$ all points of the orbit $O_{d}(x)$ are distinct.

[^0]Theorem 1. For a generic pair $(A, B) \in \mathcal{G}_{+}^{2}$ (both in the sense of measure and of category), the action $F S G_{2}[A, B]$ is faithful.

Theorem 2. Suppose $A$ is a nonzero translation and $B$ is a rotation by an angle $\varphi$. Then the action $F S G_{2}[A, B]$ is faithful if and only if $\cos \varphi$ is a transcendent number.

The action $F G_{2}[A, B]$ can never be faithful for the following reason. For any group $G$, let $G^{\prime}$ denote the commutant of $G$, that is, the group generated by commutators $X Y X^{-1} Y^{-1}$, where $X, Y \in G$. By $G^{\prime \prime}$ we denote the commutant of $G^{\prime}$. It is easy to see that the group $\mathcal{G}_{+}^{\prime}$ consists of translations, hence the group $\mathcal{G}_{+}^{\prime \prime}$ is trivial. Therefore every action of the group $F G_{2}$ of the form $F G_{2}[A, B]$ descends to an action of the group $G_{2}=F G_{2} / F G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ (the free 2-step-solvable group with two generators).
Theorem 3. For a generic pair $(A, B) \in \mathcal{G}_{+}^{2}$ (both in the sense of measure and of category), the action $G_{2}[A, B]$ is faithful.

We proceed to the proofs of Theorems 1,2 , and 3 .
A finite sequence $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ of points of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ is called a path if $x_{0}=(0,0)$ and $\left|x_{j}-x_{j-1}\right|=1$ for $j=1, \ldots, k$. Ordered pairs $\left(x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right), 1 \leq j \leq k$, are called links of the path. The set of all paths is denoted by $P$. A path $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ is closed if its endpoint $x_{k}$ coincides with $x_{0}$. The set of all closed paths is denoted by $P^{\prime}$.

Let $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ be neighboring points of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ and $\gamma \in P$. Denote by $n_{\gamma}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ the number of times when the pair $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ occurs as a link of the path $\gamma$. Let $P^{\prime \prime}$ be the set of paths $\gamma \in P$ such that $n_{\gamma}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=n_{\gamma}\left(x_{2}, x_{1}\right)$ for any $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2},\left|x_{2}-x_{1}\right|=1$. Clearly, $P^{\prime \prime} \subset P^{\prime}$.

Now let us assign a path $\gamma(g) \in P$ to an arbitrary element $g \in F G_{2}$. Let $a$ and $b$ be generators of $F G_{2}$. Introduce vectors $e_{a}=(1,0), e_{a^{-1}}=$ $(-1,0), e_{b}=(0,1), e_{b^{-1}}=(0,-1)$. Every element $g \in F G_{2}$ can be represented in the form $c_{k} c_{k-1} \ldots c_{1}$, where $c_{j} \in\left\{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\right\}, j=$ $1,2, \ldots, k$. Choose $\gamma(g)$ to be the path $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ such that $x_{0}=(0,0)$ and $x_{j}-x_{j-1}=e_{c_{j}}, 1 \leq j \leq k$. Obviously, each path $\gamma \in P$ is assigned to a unique element of the group $F G_{2}$. However the path $\gamma(g)$ is not determined in a unique way by $g$. Still, some crucial features of $\gamma(g)$ depend only on an element $g \in F G_{2}$. These are the endpoint of $\gamma(g)$ and differences $n_{\gamma(g)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-n_{\gamma(g)}\left(x_{2}, x_{1}\right)$ for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2},\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|=1$. Given $g \in F G_{2}$, the set of paths assigned to $g$ contains a unique path of the shortest length. The number of links in this shortest path is called the length of $g$.

Lemma 1. Suppose $g \in F G_{2}$. Then $g \in F G_{2}^{\prime}$ if and only if $\gamma(g) \in P^{\prime}$, and $g \in F G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ if and only if $\gamma(g) \in P^{\prime \prime}$.

Proof. Let $g, h \in F G_{2}$. Suppose $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ is the path $\gamma(g)$ and $y_{0}, \ldots, y_{m}$ is the path $\gamma(h)$. Then the sequence $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, x_{k}+y_{1}, \ldots$, $x_{k}+y_{m}$ is the path $\gamma(h g)$ and $x_{0}=x_{k}-x_{k}, x_{k-1}-x_{k}, \ldots, x_{0}-x_{k}=-x_{k}$ is the path $\gamma\left(g^{-1}\right)$. Let $N_{1}: F G_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ be the map taking each $g \in F G_{2}$ to the endpoint of the path $\gamma(g)$. It is easy to observe that $N_{1}$ is a homomorphism. Let $H_{1}$ denote the kernel of $N_{1}$. Then $g \in H_{1}$ if and only if $\gamma(g) \in P^{\prime}$. Clearly, $H_{1}$ is a normal subgroup of $F G_{2}$ and $F G_{2}^{\prime} \subset H_{1}$. Take any element $g \in H_{1}$ of positive length. The element $g$ is uniquely represented as $c_{k} c_{k-1} \ldots c_{1}$, where $c_{j} \in\left\{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\right\}, 1 \leq j \leq k$, and $k$ is the length of $g$. Since $g \in H_{1}$, we have $c_{m}=c_{1}^{-1}$ for some $m$, $1<m \leq k$. By construction, $m>2$. Set $h=c_{m-1} c_{m-2} \ldots c_{2}$. Then the element $g_{1}=g c_{1}^{-1} h^{-1} c_{1} h=c_{k} \ldots c_{m+1} c_{m-1} \ldots c_{2}$ is of length at most $k-2$. Moreover, $g_{1} \in H_{1}$ since $c_{1}^{-1} h^{-1} c_{1} h \in F G_{2}^{\prime}$. The inductive argument yields that $H_{1}=F G_{2}^{\prime}$.

Let $L$ denote the set of ordered pairs $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ such that $x_{1}, x_{2} \in$ $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ and $\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|=1$. For any path $\gamma \in P$ the collection of numbers $n_{\gamma}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-n_{\gamma}\left(x_{2}, x_{1}\right),\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in L$, can be considered as an element of the group $\mathbb{Z}^{L}$. Since differences $n_{\gamma(g)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-n_{\gamma(g)}\left(x_{2}, x_{1}\right)$ depend only on $g \in F G_{2}$, we have a well-defined map $N_{2}: F G_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{L}$. The restriction of the map $N_{2}$ to the subgroup $H_{1}=F G_{2}^{\prime}$ is a homomorphism. By $H_{2}$ denote the kernel of this restriction. Clearly, $g \in H_{2}$ if and only if $\gamma(g) \in P^{\prime \prime}$. It is easy to observe that $H_{2}$ is a normal subgroup of $F G_{2}$ and $F G_{2}^{\prime \prime} \subset H_{2}$. We claim that $H_{2}=F G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$, i.e., any element $g \in H_{2}$ belongs to $F G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. The claim is proved by induction on the length $k$ of the element $g$. In the case $k=0$, there is nothing to prove. Now let $k>0$ and suppose the claim is true for all elements of length less than $k$. There is a unique representation $g=c_{k} c_{k-1} \ldots c_{1}$ such that $c_{j} \in\left\{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\right\}, 1 \leq j \leq k$. Denote by $\gamma$ the path $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ such that $x_{0}=(0,0)$ and $x_{j}-x_{j-1}=e_{c_{j}}, 1 \leq j \leq k$. Then $\gamma \in P^{\prime \prime}$ since $g \in H_{2}$. In particular, there exists an index $l>0$ such that the points $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l-1}$ are distinct while $x_{l}=x_{m}$ for some $m<l$. Set $g_{1}=$ $c_{m-1} \ldots c_{1} c_{k} \ldots c_{m}$. Then $g_{1}=c_{m-1} \ldots c_{1} g\left(c_{m-1} \ldots c_{1}\right)^{-1} \in H_{2}$ and the length of $g_{1}$ is at most $k$. The path $\gamma\left(g_{1}\right)$ can be chosen as $y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}$, where $y_{i}=x_{i+m}-x_{m}$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-m$ and $y_{i}=x_{i-k+m}-x_{m}$ for $i>k-m$. Since $\gamma\left(g_{1}\right) \in P^{\prime \prime}$, there exists $n>0$ such that $y_{n-1}=y_{1}$ and $y_{n}=y_{0}$. By construction, the points $y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l-m-1}$ are distinct and $y_{l-m}=y_{0}$, hence $n>l-m$. The sequences $y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l-m}$, and $y_{l-m}, \ldots, y_{n}$, and $y_{n}, \ldots, y_{k}$ are closed paths. They are assigned to some elements $h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3} \in F G_{2}^{\prime}$, respectively. Clearly, $g_{1}=h_{3} h_{2} h_{1}$. Since $y_{n-1}=y_{1}$, the element $g_{2}=h_{3} h_{1} h_{2}$ is of length at most $k-2$. Moreover, $g_{2}=g_{1} h_{1}^{-1} h_{2}^{-1} h_{1} h_{2} \in H_{2}$ as $h_{1}^{-1} h_{2}^{-1} h_{1} h_{2} \in F G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. By the inductive
assumption, $g_{2} \in F G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. Then $g_{1} \in F G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. Since $g$ and $g_{1}$ are conjugated, we have $g \in F G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. The claim is proved.

Let $P_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ denote the set of paths $\gamma \in P$ such that $n_{\gamma}\left(x, x+e_{a}\right)=$ $n_{\gamma}\left(x+e_{a}, x\right)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ and $P_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ denote the set of paths $\gamma \in P$ such that $n_{\gamma}\left(x, x+e_{b}\right)=n_{\gamma}\left(x+e_{b}, x\right)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$.

Lemma 2. $P_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap P^{\prime}=P_{2}^{\prime \prime} \cap P^{\prime}=P^{\prime \prime}$.
Proof. Obviously, $P^{\prime \prime}=P_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap P_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. For every path $\gamma \in P^{\prime}$ and every $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ we have the equality $n_{\gamma}\left(x, x+e_{a}\right)+n_{\gamma}\left(x, x-e_{a}\right)+n_{\gamma}\left(x, x+e_{b}\right)+$ $n_{\gamma}\left(x, x-e_{b}\right)=n_{\gamma}\left(x+e_{a}, x\right)+n_{\gamma}\left(x-e_{a}, x\right)+n_{\gamma}\left(x+e_{b}, x\right)+n_{\gamma}\left(x-e_{b}, x\right)$. If, moreover, $\gamma \in P_{1}^{\prime \prime}$, then $n_{\gamma}\left(x, x+e_{a}\right)=n_{\gamma}\left(x+e_{a}, x\right)$ and $n_{\gamma}(x, x-$ $\left.e_{a}\right)=n_{\gamma}\left(x-e_{a}, x\right)$, hence $n_{\gamma}\left(x, x+e_{b}\right)=n_{\gamma}\left(x+e_{b}, x\right)$ if and only if $n_{\gamma}\left(x-e_{b}, x\right)=n_{\gamma}\left(x, x-e_{b}\right)$. By the inductive argument we obtain that the equalities $n_{\gamma}\left(x, x+e_{b}\right)=n_{\gamma}\left(x+e_{b}, x\right)$ and $n_{\gamma}\left(x+k e_{b}, x+(k+1) e_{b}\right)=$ $n_{\gamma}\left(x+(k+1) e_{b}, x+k e_{b}\right)$ are equivalent for any $\gamma \in P_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap P^{\prime}$, any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, and any integer $k$. Since $n_{\gamma}\left(x+k e_{b}, x+(k+1) e_{b}\right)=n_{\gamma}\left(x+(k+1) e_{b}, x+\right.$ $\left.k e_{b}\right)=0$ for large $k$, the equality $n_{\gamma}\left(x, x+e_{b}\right)=n_{\gamma}\left(x+e_{b}, x\right)$ holds. Thus, $P_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap P^{\prime} \subset P_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. The relation $P_{2}^{\prime \prime} \cap P^{\prime} \subset P_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is established in the same way. The lemma is proved.

Let $A, B \in \mathcal{G}_{+}$be noncommuting (counterclockwise) rotations by angles $\varphi$ and $\psi$, respectively. We assume that the angles $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are not multiples of $2 \pi$.

Lemma 3. Suppose the action $G_{2}[A, B]$ is not faithful. Then there exists a nonzero polynomial $Q$ in two variables with integer coefficients such that $Q\left(e^{i \varphi}, e^{i \psi}\right)=0$.

Proof. Let $x_{0}$ be the fixed point of the rotation $B$. Let $R_{\alpha}$ denote the rotation by an angle $\alpha$ around the point $x_{0}$. Let $T(y)$ denote the translation by a vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. We have $B=R_{\psi}$ and $A=R_{\varphi} T(z)$, where $z$ is a nonzero vector. Set $d=F G_{2}[A, B]$. Given an element $g \in F G_{2}$, let $(m, k)$ be the endpoint of the path $\gamma(g)$. It is easy to observe that $d(g)=R_{m \varphi+k \psi} T(y)$ for some $y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(a g) & =A d(g)
\end{aligned}=R_{(m+1) \varphi+k \psi} T\left(y+R_{-m \varphi-k \psi} z\right), ~=A_{(m-1) \varphi+k \psi} T\left(y-R_{-(m-1) \varphi-k \psi} z\right), ~=R_{m \varphi+(k+1) \psi} T(y),
$$

These relations along with the inductive argument allow us to calculate the isometry $d(g)$ for every $g \in F G_{2}$. We obtain $d(g)=R_{m_{1} \varphi+k_{1} \psi} T(y)$,
where $\left(m_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ is the endpoint of the path $\gamma(g)$ and
$y=\sum_{(m, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(n_{\gamma(g)}((m, k),(m+1, k))-n_{\gamma(g)}((m+1, k),(m, k))\right) R_{-m \varphi-k \psi} z$.
Suppose the isometry $d(g)$ is the identity. Then $m_{1} \varphi+k_{1} \psi$ is a multiple of $2 \pi$ and $y=0$. The first condition is equivalent to the equality $e^{i\left(m_{1} \varphi+k_{1} \psi\right)}=1$. Since $z$ is a nonzero vector, the condition $y=0$ is equivalent to the equality
$\sum_{(m, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}\left(n_{\gamma(g)}((m, k),(m+1, k))-n_{\gamma(g)}((m+1, k),(m, k))\right) e^{-i(m \varphi+k \psi)}=0$.
If $\gamma(g) \notin P^{\prime} \cap P_{1}^{\prime \prime}$, then the two equalities imply there exists a nonzero polynomial $Q$ in two variables with integer coefficients such that $Q\left(e^{i \varphi}, e^{i \psi}\right)=0$. On the other hand, if $\gamma(g) \in P^{\prime} \cap P_{1}^{\prime \prime}$, then $g \in F G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ due to Lemmas 1 and 2.

Finally, we can guarantee that at least one of the following conditions holds: (i) there exists a nonzero polynomial $Q$ in two variables with integer coefficients such that $Q\left(e^{i \varphi}, e^{i \psi}\right)=0$; (ii) the isometry $F G_{2}[A, B](g)$ is the identity if and only if $g \in F G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. The condition (ii) means the action $G_{2}[A, B]$ is faithful.

Lemma 4. There exist $F_{\sigma}$-sets $S_{1}, S_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that:
(i) the section $\left\{\beta \mid(\alpha, \beta) \in S_{1}\right\}$ is at most countable for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,
(ii) the section $\left\{\alpha \mid(\alpha, \beta) \in S_{2}\right\}$ is at most countable for any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, (iii) the action $G_{2}[A, B]$ is faithful whenever $(\varphi, \psi) \notin S_{1} \cup S_{2}$.

Proof. Let $Q$ be a nonzero polynomial in two variables with integer coefficients. Clearly, the set $Z(Q)=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \mid Q\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=0\right\}$ is closed. The expression $Q\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ is uniquely represented in the form

$$
p_{0}\left(z_{2}\right) z_{1}^{m}+p_{1}\left(z_{2}\right) z_{1}^{m+1}+\cdots+p_{m-1}\left(z_{2}\right) z_{1}+p_{m}\left(z_{2}\right)
$$

where $p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}(m \geq 0)$ are polynomials in one variable with integer coefficients and, moreover, $p_{0}$ is a nonzero polynomial. Set $P(Q)=$ $\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \mid p_{0}\left(z_{2}\right)=0\right\}, Z_{1}(Q)=Z(Q) \cap P(Q)$, and $Z_{2}(Q)=$ $Z(Q) \backslash Z_{1}(Q)$. Since $p_{0}$ is a nonzero polynomial, the set $P(Q)$ is the union of a finite number of parallel planes in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Then the set $Z_{1}(Q)$ is closed and the section $\left\{z_{2} \mid\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in Z_{1}(Q)\right\}$ is at most finite for any $z_{1} \in \mathbb{C}$. Given $\epsilon>0$, let $P_{\epsilon}(Q)$ denote $\epsilon$-neighborhood of the set $P(Q)$. Obviously, the set $Z_{2}(Q) \backslash P_{\epsilon}(Q)$ is closed for any $\epsilon>0$, therefore $Z_{2}(Q)$ is an $F_{\sigma}$-set. Take any $z_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$. If $p_{0}\left(z_{2}\right) \neq 0$, then the section
$\left\{z_{1} \mid\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in Z_{2}(Q)\right\}=\left\{z_{1} \mid\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in Z(Q)\right\}$ contains at most $m$ elements. If $p_{0}\left(z_{2}\right)=0$, then the section $\left\{z_{1} \mid\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in Z_{2}(Q)\right\}$ is empty.

Set $Z_{1}=\bigcup_{Q} Z_{1}(Q)$ and $Z_{2}=\bigcup_{Q} Z_{2}(Q)$, where both unions are over all nonzero polynomials in two variables with integer coefficients. Since there are only countably many such polynomials, it follows from the above that $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ are $F_{\sigma^{-}}$-sets. Moreover, for any $z_{1} \in \mathbb{C}$ the section $\left\{z_{2} \mid\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in Z_{1}\right\}$ is at most countable, and for any $z_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$ the section $\left\{z_{1} \mid\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in Z_{2}\right\}$ is at most countable.

Define a map $E: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$ by the relation $E(\alpha, \beta)=\left(e^{i \alpha}, e^{i \beta}\right)$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Set $S_{1}=E^{-1}\left(Z_{1}\right)$ and $S_{2}=E^{-1}\left(Z_{2}\right)$. The map $E$ is continuous and the preimage $E^{-1}(z)$ of any point $z \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$ is at most countable. It follows that $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are $F_{\sigma}$-sets satisfying conditions (i) and (ii).

Recall that $A$ and $B$ are noncommuting rotations by the angles $\varphi$ and $\psi$, respectively. Suppose $(\psi, \varphi) \notin S_{1} \cup S_{2}$. Then $Q\left(e^{i \varphi}, e^{i \psi}\right) \neq 0$ for each nonzero polynomial $Q$ in two variables with integer coefficients. By Lemma 3, the action $G_{2}[A, B]$ is faithful. Thus condition (iii) holds.

## Proof of Theorem 3

Let $x_{0}$ be a point in Euclidean plane. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, let $R_{\alpha}$ denote the (counterclockwise) rotation by the angle $\alpha$ around the point $x_{0}$ and $T(y)$ denote the translation by the vector $y$. Define a map $D: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{+}$by the relation $(\alpha, y) \mapsto R_{\alpha} T(y)$. The map $D$ descends to a map $D_{0}: \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{+}$, which is a diffeomorphism. Let $S_{1}, S_{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be $F_{\sigma}$-sets satisfying conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) of Lemma 4. We can assume without loss of generality that $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are invariant under translations from $(2 \pi \mathbb{Z})^{2}$. Set $S_{0}=\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\left(S_{1} \cup S_{2}\right)$. It follows from the conditions (i) and (ii) that $S_{0}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ which is dense and of full measure. Finally, let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the set of pairs $(A, B) \in \mathcal{G}_{+}^{2}$ such that $A=R_{\varphi} T(y)$ and $B=R_{\psi} T(z)$, where $(\varphi, \psi) \in S_{0}, \varphi$ and $\psi$ are not multiples of $2 \pi$, and $y \neq z$. Since $D_{0}$ is a diffeomorphism, it follows that $\mathcal{S}$ is a dense $G_{\delta}$-subset of full measure of $\mathcal{G}_{+}^{2}$. This means that a pair $(A, B) \in \mathcal{S}$ is generic both in the sense of measure and of category. By construction, $A$ and $B$ are nontrivial rotations that do not commute. By Lemma 4, the action $G_{2}[A, B]$ is faithful.

Lemma 5. Generators of the group $F G_{2} / F G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ generate a free subsemigroup.

Proof. Let $a$ and $b$ be generators of the group $F G_{2}$. By $H$ denote the semigroup generated by $a$ and $b$. Suppose $g_{1}, g_{2} \in H$. We have to prove that $g_{2}^{-1} g_{1} \in F G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ only if $g_{1}=g_{2}$. Let $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ be the path $\gamma\left(g_{1}\right)$
and $y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ be the path $\gamma\left(g_{2}\right)$. Without loss of generality it can be assumed that all links $\left(x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right)$ and $\left(y_{j-1}, y_{j}\right)$ are of the form $\left(x, x+e_{a}\right)$ or $\left(x, x+e_{b}\right)$. If $g_{2}^{-1} g_{1} \in F G_{2}^{\prime}$, then $n=k, x_{k}=y_{k}$, and $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}=$ $y_{k}, \ldots, y_{1}, y_{0}$ is the path $\gamma\left(g_{2}^{-1} g_{1}\right)$. Obviously, $n_{\gamma\left(g_{2}^{-1} g_{1}\right)}\left(x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right)=1$ for any $j=1, \ldots, k$, while $n_{\gamma\left(g_{2}^{-1} g_{1}\right)}\left(x_{j}, x_{j-1}\right)=1$ only if $\left(x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right)$ is a link of the path $\gamma\left(g_{2}\right)$. It follows from Lemma 1 that $g_{2}^{-1} g_{1} \in F G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ only if $g_{1}=g_{2}$.

## Proof of Theorem 1

Let $a$ and $b$ be generators of the free group $F G_{2}$. Let $p: F G_{2} \rightarrow G_{2}=$ $F G_{2} / F G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ be the natural projection. The elements $p(a)$ and $p(b)$ are generators of the group $G_{2}$. By Lemma 5, the semigroup generated by $p(a)$ and $p(b)$ is free. It follows easily that for any $A, B \in \mathcal{G}_{+}$the action $F S G_{2}[A, B]$ is faithful whenever the action $G_{2}[A, B]$ is faithful. Thus Theorem 1 is a corollary of Theorem 3.

## Proof of Theorem 2

Let $x_{0}$ be the fixed point of the rotation $B$. Denote by $R_{\alpha}$ the rotation by an angle $\alpha$ around the point $x_{0}$. Denote by $T(y)$ the translation by a vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. We have $B=R_{\varphi}$ and $A=T(z)$, where $z$ is a nonzero vector. Let $a$ and $b$ be generators of the semigroup $F S G_{2}$. An arbitrary element $g \in F S G_{2}$ can be uniquely represented in the form $b^{m_{k}} a b^{m_{k-1}} a \ldots b^{m_{1}} a b^{m_{0}}$, where $m_{0}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$ are nonnegative integers. It is easy to observe that $F S G_{2}[A, B](g)=R_{\alpha_{g}} T\left(y_{g}\right)$, where $\alpha_{g}=\varphi \sum_{j=0}^{k} m_{j}$ and

$$
y_{g}=R_{-m_{0} \varphi} z+R_{-\left(m_{0}+m_{1}\right) \varphi} z+\cdots+R_{-\left(m_{0}+m_{1}+\cdots+m_{k-1}\right) \varphi} z
$$

Let $h=b^{l_{s}} a b^{l_{s-1}} a \ldots b^{l_{1}} a b^{l_{0}}$ be an element of $F S G_{2}$ different from $g$. Suppose that $F S G_{2}[A, B](h)=F S G_{2}[A, B](g)$. Then $\alpha_{h}-\alpha_{g}$ is a multiple of $2 \pi$ and $y_{h}=y_{g}$. The first condition is equivalent to the equality $e^{i\left(l_{0}+l_{1}+\cdots+l_{s}\right) \varphi}=e^{i\left(m_{0}+m_{1}+\cdots+m_{k}\right) \varphi}$, while the second condition is equivalent to the equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{-i l_{0} \varphi}+e^{-i\left(l_{0}+l_{1}\right) \varphi}+\cdots+e^{-i\left(l_{0}+\cdots+l_{s-1}\right) \varphi}= \\
& e^{-i m_{0} \varphi}+e^{-i\left(m_{0}+m_{1}\right) \varphi}+\cdots+e^{-i\left(m_{0}+\cdots+m_{k-1}\right) \varphi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the sequences $m_{0}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$ and $l_{0}, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{s}$ are different, the two equalities imply $e^{-i \varphi}$ is an algebraic number. Thus the action $F S G_{2}[A, B]$ can be not faithful only if the number $e^{-i \varphi}$ is algebraic.

Now suppose $e^{-i \varphi}$ is an algebraic number. Then there exist two different nondecreasing sequences $m_{0}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$ and $l_{0}, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{s}$ of nonnegative integers such that

$$
e^{-i m_{0} \varphi}+e^{-i m_{1} \varphi}+\cdots+e^{-i m_{k} \varphi}=e^{-i l_{0} \varphi}+e^{-i l_{1} \varphi}+\cdots+e^{-i l_{s} \varphi}
$$

Choose a positive integer $M$ such that $m_{k} \leq M$ and $l_{s} \leq M$. We can observe that $F S G_{2}[A, B](g)=F S G_{2}[A, B](h)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g & =b^{M-m_{k}} a b^{m_{k}-m_{k-1}} a \ldots b^{m_{1}-m_{0}} a b^{m_{0}} \\
h & =b^{M-l_{s}} a b^{l_{s}-l_{s-1}} a \ldots b^{l_{1}-l_{0}} a b^{l_{0}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The elements $g$ and $h$ of the semigroup $F S G_{2}$ are different, therefore the action $F S G_{2}[A, B]$ is not faithful.

It remains to observe that, given a real number $\alpha$, the numbers $e^{-i \alpha}$, $\sin \alpha$ and $\cos \alpha$ are either all algebraic or all transcendent.
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