

Rings which have (m, n) -flat injective modules

Zhu Zhanmin, Xia Zhangsheng

Communicated by V. M. Futorny

ABSTRACT. A ring R is said to be a left $IF - (m, n)$ ring if every injective left R -module is (m, n) -flat. In this paper, several characterizations of left $IF - (m, n)$ rings are investigated, some conditions under which R is left $IF - (m, n)$ are given. Furthermore, conditions under which a left $IF - 1$ ring (i.e., $IF - (1, 1)$ ring) is a field, a regular ring and a semisimple ring are studied respectively.

1. Introduction

Throughout R is an associative ring with identity and all modules are unitary. The character module $Hom_Z(M, Q/Z)$ of a module M will be denoted by M^* and the injective hull of M by $E(M)$.

Let m, n be two fixed positive integers. Let G an abelian group. We write $G^n(G_n)$ for the set of all formal n -dimensional row(column) vectors over G . An R -module M is said to be n -generated if it has a generating set of cardinality at most n [6]. A left R -module M is called (m, n) -injective if for every n -generated submodule K of the left R -module R^m , each R -homomorphism from K to M can be extended to R^m [3]. Note that $(1, n)$ -injective modules are also called n -injective in [10],[12]. The ring R is left (m, n) -injective if ${}_R R$ is (m, n) -injective. It is obvious that ${}_R M$ is FP -injective if and only if ${}_R M$ is (m, n) -injective for all positive integers m and n , and ${}_R M$ is P -injective if and only if ${}_R M$ is $(1, 1)$ -injective. We also recall that a module M is FP -injective if and only if M is absolutely pure, M is flat if and only if M^* is FP -injective. A submodule U' of a module ${}_R U$ is pure in U if and only if the canonical

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16D50, 16E65.

Key words and phrases: injective modules; (m, n) -flat modules; left $IF - (m, n)$ rings; left $IF - 1$ rings.

map $V \otimes_R V \rightarrow V \otimes_R U$ is monic for every finitely presented module V_R . Indicated by these results we have following definition.

Definition 1.1. Let m, n be two fixed positive integers.

(1) A right R -module V is said to be (m, n) -presented if there exists an exact sequence of right R -modules $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow R^m \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0$ with n -generated K .

(2) Given a left R -module U , a submodule U' of U is called (m, n) -pure in U if for every (m, n) -presented right R -module V , the canonical map $V \otimes_R U' \rightarrow V \otimes_R U$ is monic.

(3) A left R -module M is (m, n) -flat if for every n -generated submodule K of the right R -module R^m , the canonical map $K \otimes_R M \rightarrow R^m \otimes_R M$ is monic.

(4) A module M is called (\aleph_0, n) -injective ((\aleph_0, n) -flat) if M is (k, n) -injective ((k, n) -flat) for all positive integers k . Module M is called (m, \aleph_0) -injective if M is (m, k) -injective for all positive integers k . A submodule U' of a module U is said to be (\aleph_0, n) -pure ((m, \aleph_0) -pure) in U if U' is (k, n) -pure ((m, k) -pure) in U for all positive integers k .

An exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U' \rightarrow U \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is called (m, n) -pure if U' is (m, n) -pure in U , and a module L is called (m, n) -pure projective if L is projective with respect to every (m, n) -pure exact sequence.

Using a standard technique we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. (1) An exact sequence of left R -modules $0 \rightarrow U' \rightarrow U \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is (m, n) -pure if and only if every (n, m) -presented module is projective with respect to this exact sequence if and only if $(U')^m \cap KU = KU'$ for every n -generated submodule K of the right R -module R^m .

(2) A module M is (m, n) -injective if and only if M is (n, m) -pure in every module containing M if and only if M is (n, m) -pure in $E(M)$.

(3) A module M is (m, n) -flat if and only if M^* is (m, n) -injective if and only if every exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U' \rightarrow U \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is (m, n) -pure. Every (n, \aleph_0) -pure submodule of a (m, n) -flat module is (m, n) -flat.

(4) If $U' \leq U$ and U is (m, n) -flat, then U/U' is (m, n) -flat if and only if U' is (m, n) -pure in U .

Recall that a ring R is called left IF ring if every injective left R -module is flat [4],[9]. In this paper we shall generalize this notion and introduce left IF- (m, n) rings providing several characterizations of such rings. We also discuss left IF- $(1, 1)$ rings in a number of special cases.

2. Results

In this section, m and n will be two fixed positive integers. We start with the following

Definition 2.1. A ring R is called left IF- (m, n) ring if every injective left R -module is (m, n) -flat.

Theorem 2.2. For any ring R , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is a left IF- (m, n) ring.
- (2) Every FP -injective left R -module is (m, n) -flat.
- (3) Every (\aleph_0, n) -injective left R -module is (m, n) -flat.
- (4) If ${}_R N_1 \leq {}_R N$, N_1 is (\aleph_0, m) -injective and N is (\aleph_0, n) -injective, then N/N_1 is (m, n) -flat.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (3). If ${}_R N$ is (\aleph_0, n) -injective then it is (n, \aleph_0) -pure in $E(N)$. Thus, from the (m, n) -flatness of $E(N)$, we have that N is (m, n) -flat.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Since N_1 is (\aleph_0, m) -injective, so N_1 is (m, \aleph_0) -pure in N and hence (m, n) -pure in N . But N is (m, n) -flat, so N/N_1 is (m, n) -flat.

The implications (4) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (1) are clear.

Let P and M be left R -modules. There is a natural homomorphism $\sigma = \sigma_{P, M} : Hom_R(P, R) \otimes M \rightarrow Hom_R(P, M)$ defined by $\sigma(f \otimes m)(p) = f(p)m$ for $f \in Hom(P, R), m \in M, p \in P$.

Lemma 2.3. Let M be a left R -module. If $\sigma_{P, M}$ is an epimorphism for every (n, m) -presented left R -module P , then M is (m, n) -flat.

Proof. For every (n, m) -presented left R -module P and $u \in Hom_R(P, M)$, suppose $u = \sigma(\sum_{i=1}^k f_i \otimes m_i), f_i \in Hom_R(P, R), m_i \in M$. Let $F = R^k$, and define $v : P \rightarrow F$ by $v(p) = (f_1(p), \dots, f_k(p)); w : F \rightarrow M$ by $w(r_1, \dots, r_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i m_i$, then $u = wv$. It follows that P is projective with respect to every exact sequence of left R -modules $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, M is (m, n) -flat by Theorem 1.2(1),(3).

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that ${}_R U$ is (m, n) -flat. The following statements are equivalent

- (1) ${}_R U^I$ is (m, n) -flat for every set I .
- (2) For every n -generated submodule K of the right R -module R^m , the natural homomorphism $\varphi_K : K \otimes_R U^I \rightarrow (K \otimes_R U)^I$ defined by $\varphi_K(x \otimes u)(\alpha) = x \otimes u(\alpha), \alpha \in I, u \in U^I, x \in K$, is an isomorphism.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Assume (1) holds. Then the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow R^m \rightarrow R^m/K \rightarrow 0$ induces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K \otimes U^I & \longrightarrow & R^m \otimes U^I & \longrightarrow & (R^m/K) \otimes U^I \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \phi_K & & \downarrow \phi_{R^m} & & \downarrow \phi_{R^m/K} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (K \otimes U)^I & \longrightarrow & (R^m \otimes U)^I & \longrightarrow & (R^m/K \otimes U)^I \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

which has exact rows, since ${}_R U$ and ${}_R U^I$ are (m, n) -flat. The maps φ_{R^m} and $\varphi_{R^m/K}$ are isomorphisms since R^m and R^m/K are finitely presented. Hence φ_K is an isomorphism.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). For every n -generated submodule K of R^m we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K \otimes U^I \longrightarrow R^m \otimes U^I \\ & & \downarrow \phi_K \qquad \downarrow \phi_{R^m} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (K \otimes U)^I \longrightarrow (R^m \otimes U)^I \end{array}$$

which has exact bottom row, and φ_K and $\varphi_{R^m/K}$ are isomorphism by (2). Hence the top row is also exact, and thus U^I is (m, n) -flat.

Theorem 2.5. For any ring R the following conditions are equivalent

- (1) R is left IF- (m, n) .
- (2) The injective hull of every finitely presented left R -module is (m, n) -flat.
- (3) Every (n, m) -presented left R -module is a submodule of a free module.
- (4) For every free right R -module F , F^* is (m, n) -flat.
- (5) For every n -generated submodule K of the left R -module R^m , the map $\varphi_k : K \otimes_R (R^*)^I \rightarrow (K \otimes_R R^*)^I$ is an isomorphism.
- (6) For every m -generated submodule K of the left R -module R^n there exist finite elements $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p$ in R^n such that $K = l_{R^n} \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p\}$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let u be the injection of a given (n, m) -presented module ${}_R P$ into $E(P)$, and let $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{w} E(P) \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence with F being free. Then there exists $v : P \rightarrow F$ such that $u = wv$, which implies that v is monic, and (3) holds.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Let F_R be any free R -module, then F^* is injective. Let ${}_R P$ be (n, m) -presented and ${}_R L$ is a finitely generated free module contain-

ing P . The following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(L, R) \otimes F^* & \xrightarrow{\sigma_L} & \text{Hom}(L, F^*) \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta \\ \text{Hom}(P, R) \otimes F^* & \xrightarrow{\sigma_P} & \text{Hom}(P, F^*) \end{array}$$

Since F^* is injective, β is an epimorphism. Besides, σ_L is an isomorphism since L is finitely generated and free. Therefore σ_P is epic, and thus F^* is (m, n) -flat by Lemma 2.3.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). Let E be any injective left R -module. There is a free module F and an epimorphism $F \rightarrow E^*$, which give a monomorphism $E^{**} \rightarrow F^*$. Since F^* is (m, n) -flat and $E \subseteq E^{**}$, then E is a direct summand of F^* and hence E is (m, n) -flat.

(4) \Leftrightarrow (5). Follows from Lemma 2.4.

(3) \Rightarrow (6). Since K is a m -generated submodule of the left R -module R^n , by (3), R^n/K can be embeded in R^p for some positive integer p . Let $f : R^n/K \rightarrow R^p$ be a monomorphism and suppose that $f(\bar{e}_i) = (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{ip})$, where e_i is the element in R^n with 1 in the i th position and 0's in all other positions, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Write $\beta_j = (a_{1j}, a_{2j}, \dots, a_{nj})^T$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$, then $K = l_{R^n}\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p\}$.

(6) \Rightarrow (3). Let $K = l_{R^n}\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p\}$, where $\beta_j = (a_{1j}, a_{2j}, \dots, a_{nj})^T \in R^n$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$. Write $\alpha_i = (a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{ip})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and define $f : R^n/K \rightarrow R^p$ by

$$(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) + K \mapsto (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1p} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2p} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{np} \end{pmatrix}$$

then f is a left R -monomorphism.

We call a ring R right (m, n) -coherent if every n -generated submodule of R_R^m is finitely presented. A straightforward modification of Chase's proof of [2, Theorem 2.1] shows that R is right (m, n) -coherent if and only if the direct product of any family of (m, n) -flat left R -modules is (m, n) -flat.

Corollary 2.6. If R is right (m, n) -coherent then R is left IF- (m, n) if and only if ${}_R(R^*)$ is (m, n) -flat.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 2.5(4).

Corollary 2.7. If ${}_R R$ is a cogenerator and R is right (m, n) -coherent then R is left IF- (m, n) .

Proof. Since R is right (m, n) -coherent, ${}_R R^I$ is (m, n) -flat for every set I . Since ${}_R R$ is a cogenerator, every left R -module can be imbedded in an (m, n) -flat module. Consequently, every injective left R -module is a direct summand of an (m, n) -flat module and hence is (m, n) -flat.

Corollary 2.8. If R is a left IF- (m, n) ring then R is right (m, n) -injective.

Proof. Let K be any m -generated submodule of the left R -module R^n . Since R is left IF- (m, n) , $K = l_{R^n} \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p\}$ for some $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p$ in R^n by Theorem 2.5. This implies $l_{R^n} r_{R^n}(K) = K$, and thus R is right (m, n) -injective by [3, Theorem 2.4].

Corollary 2.9 [9, Theorem 3.3] A left IF-ring is right FP-injective.

According to [4], a right R -module N is called T-finitely generated (resp. H-finitely generated) if N contains a finitely generated submodule N_0 such that $N/N_0 \otimes R^* = 0$ (resp. $\text{Hom}_R(N/N_0, R) = 0$). A right R -module M is called T-finitely presented (resp. H-finitely presented) if there exists a finitely generated free module F and an epimorphism of F onto M with T-finitely generated (resp. H-finitely generated) kernel. We call a ring R right $T - (m, n)$ -coherent (resp. $H - (m, n)$ -coherent) if every n -generated submodule K of R_R^m is T -finitely presented (resp. H -finitely presented).

Theorem 2.10. Suppose that ${}_R(R^*)$ is flat. The following statements are equivalent

- (1) R is left IF- (m, n) .
- (2) R is right T- (m, n) -coherent.
- (3) R is right H- (m, n) -coherent.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Follows from Theorem 2.5(5) and [5, Lemma 1.2].

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3). Follows from [4, Lemma 3,4].

We call a ring R left IF- n ring if every injective left R -module is n -flat (i.e. $(1, n)$ -flat).

Remark 2.11. In general, the classes of left IF -(m, n) rings are different for different pairs (m, n) . For example, let K be a field and L a proper subfield of K such that $\rho : K \rightarrow L$ is an isomorphism. Let $K[x, \rho]$ be the ring of twisted left polynomials over K , where $xk = \rho(k)x$ for all $k \in K$. Set $R = K[x, \rho]/(x^2)$. It is readily verified that the only proper left ideal of R is $Rx = Kx$. Thus R is left artinian and so satisfies the ascending chain condition on annihilator right ideals. It is easy to check that $Rx = l(x)$, so R is a left IF -1 ring by Theorem 2.5. As in [11, Example 1], we can show that R is not QF , and thus R is not right 2-injective by [11, Corollary 3]. Therefore, R is not a left IF -2 ring by Corollary 2.8.

We characterize left IF -1 rings in some special cases.

Theorem 2.12. Let R be a left IF -1 ring.

- (1) R is a field if and only if R is a domain.
- (2) R is a regular ring if and only if every principally left ideal is flat.
- (3) R is a semisimple ring if and only if R is a semiprime left Goldie ring.

Proof. We only need to prove the sufficiency.

(1) Over a domain, 1-flat modules are torsion free [7, Theorem, 3.3]. Thus every injective module, being 1-flat, is torsion free. Hence for every essential ideal I , R/I is torsion free, and so $I = R$. This means that R is semisimple, and hence is a field.

(2) If every principally left ideal is flat then submodules of 1-flat left R -modules are 1-flat by [12, §5, (f)]. We conclude that every left R -module is 1-flat since R is a left IF -1 ring. In particular, R/Ra is 1-flat for each $a \in R$. It follows that Ra is $(1, 1)$ -pure in R , and thus $aR \cap Ra = aRa$. Consequently, $a \in aRa$ and R is regular.

(3) Since R is left $IF - 1$, then R_R is P -injective and hence divisible. This implies that every regular element of R has a left inverse and thus is invertible. Observe that in a semiprime Goldie ring, every essential left ideal has a regular element [8, Theorem 3.9], which is invertible in our case. Therefore R has no proper essential left ideals and hence, R is semisimple.

Acknowledgment

We are indebted to the referee for several comments that improved the paper.

References

- [1] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, Rings and categories of modules, Springer-verlag, 1974,210-211.
- [2] S. U. Chase, Direct products of modules, Trans.Amer. Math. Soc.97(1960), 457-473.
- [3] J. L. Chen, N. Q. Ding, Y. L. Li and Y. Q. Zhou, On (m, n) -injectivity of modules, Comm. Algebra 29(12), 2001, 5589-5603.
- [4] R. R. Colby, Rings which have flat injective modules, J. Algebra. 35(1975), 239-252.
- [5] R. R. Colby and E. A. Rutter, π -flat and π -projective modules, Arch. Math. 22, 1971, 246-251.
- [6] D. E. Dobbs, On n -flat modules over a Commutative ring, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 43, 1991, 491-498.
- [7] D. E. Dobbs, On the criteria of D. D. Anderson for invertible and flat ideals, Canad. Math. Bull. 29(1), 1986, 26-32.
- [8] A. W. Goldie, Semi-prime rings with maximum condition, Proc. London Math. Soc. 10(3), 1960.
- [9] S. Jain, Flat and FP -injectivity, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 41(2),1973,437-442.
- [10] W. K. Nicholson and M. F. Yousif, Principally injective rings, J. Algebra. 174(1995), 77-93.
- [11] E.A.Rutter, Rings with the principal extension property, Comm. Algebra, 3(3),1975,203-212.
- [12] A. Shamsuddin, n -injective and n -flat modules, Comm. Algebra. 29(5), 2001, 2039-2050.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Z. Zhanmin,
X. Zhangsheng

Department of Mathematics, Hubei Institute for Nationalities, Enshi, Hubei Province, 445000, P. R. China
E-Mail: zhanming_zhu@hotmail.com

Received by the editors; 01.07.2004
and final form in 06.05.2005.