Algebra and Discrete Mathematics Number 2. (2005). pp. 80 – 89 © Journal "Algebra and Discrete Mathematics"

# On strongly graded Gorestein orders

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Th.Theohari-Apostolidi and H. Vavatsoulas

Communicated by D. Simson

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite group and let  $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Lambda_g$  be a strongly G-graded R-algebra, where R is a commutative ring with unity. We prove that if R is a Dedekind domain with quotient field K,  $\Lambda$  is an R-order in a separable K-algebra such that the algebra  $\Lambda_1$  is a Gorenstein R-order, then  $\Lambda$  is also a Gorenstein R-order. Moreover, we prove that the induction functor  $ind : Mod\Lambda_H \rightarrow$  $Mod\Lambda$  defined in Section 3, for a subgroup H of G, commutes with the standard duality functor.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R is a Dedekind domain with quotient field K, G is a finite group and A a finite dimensional separable K-algebra. An R-order  $\Lambda$  in A is a subring of A such that i) the center of  $\Lambda$  contains R, ii)  $\Lambda$  is finitely generated R-module and iii)  $K\Lambda = A$ . A  $\Lambda$ -lattice is a left  $\Lambda$ -module which is a finitely generated and projective R-module. Let us denote by  $_{\Lambda}M$  (resp.  $M_{\Lambda}$ ) a left (resp. right)  $\Lambda$ -module M. An R-order  $\Lambda$  in A is Gorenstein if  $(_{\Lambda}\Lambda)^* = Hom_R(\Lambda, R)$  is projective as a right  $\Lambda$ -lattice (see [3], p.778).

The importance of Gorenstein orders in integral representation theory appears in the next fact, taking into account that the property of being a Gorenstein order is a local property: Let  $\Lambda$  be a Gorenstein *R*-order in a separable *K*-algebra, where *R* is a complete discrete valuation ring. Then

**<sup>2000</sup>** Mathematics Subject Classification: 16H05, 16G30, 16S35, 16G10, 16W50.

Key words and phrases: strongly graded rings, Gorenstein orders, symmetric algebras.

every nonprojective indecomposable left  $\Lambda$ -lattice M is a lattice relative to some strictly larger R-order in A ([3], 37.13).

We recall from [8] that, for a group G, a ring  $\Lambda$  is a strongly G-graded ring if  $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Lambda_g$ , where each  $\Lambda_g$  is an additive subgroup, and  $\Lambda_g \Lambda_h = \Lambda_{gh}$ , for every  $g, h \in G$ . In particular, if  $\Lambda_g$  has a unit for every  $g \in G$ , then  $\Lambda$  is said to be a crossed product  $\Lambda_1 * G$  of  $\Lambda_1$  by G.

In the Section 2 of this paper we prove that if  $\Lambda$  is a strongly graded R-order in a finite dimensional separable K-algebra A, for a finite group G, and  $\Lambda_1$  is a Gorenstein order, then  $\Lambda$  is also a Gorenstein order.

In the special case of the group ring,  $\Lambda = RG$  is a Gorenstein order in KG ([3], 10.29). In case  $\Lambda$  is the classical crossed product S \* G, where S is the integral closure of R in a Galois extension L/K with Galois group G, it was proved in [10] that  $\Lambda$  is not only a Gorenstein order, but a symmetric order, that is,  $(\Lambda\Lambda)^* \cong \Lambda$  as a two-sided  $\Lambda$ -module. This extends the corresponding result for group algebras over a field given in [10], Theorem 1.

The proof of the symmetricity of a classical crossed product order was one of the motivations of this paper. The question that appears is the following: When a strongly G-graded R-algebra  $\Lambda$ , for a finite group G, R a commutative artinian ring and an artinian R-algebra  $\Lambda_1$ , is symmetric? It seems that the question is extremely complicated even in the case of algebras over a field. M.E. Harris has proved in [5] that if  $\Lambda_1$  is a finite dimensional semisimple algebra over a field K and G is a finite group, then any crossed product  $\Lambda_1 * G$  is a symmetric K-algebra. On the other hand E.C. Dade has proved in [4] (see also [7], p.62) that there exists a finite dimensional algebra A over a field K such that Ais a crossed product  $A_1 * G$  of a K-algebra  $A_1$  by a finite group G, and A is symmetric K-algebra, while  $A_1$  is not symmetric. Continuing this direction, we prove that if  $\Lambda$  is a twisted group ring of  $\Lambda_1$  by a finite group G, R is a commutative ring and  $\Lambda_1$  is a symmetric artinian Ralgebra, then  $\Lambda$  is a symmetric *R*-algebra. Moreover we prove that if A is a strongly G-graded K-algebra for a finite group such that  $A_1$  is a Frobenius K-algebra, then A is also Frobenius. This extends the relative result for crossed product algebras given in [7], Ch2, Theorem 2.4.

In the Section 3 of this paper we prove a result on induced modules of strongly graded modules relative to the *Hom* functor, extending the well-known results for group rings given in [9]. As a consequence, we get another proof of Theorem 2.1 by applying Corollary 3.3.

The reader is referred to [3] for a back-ground material on the representation theory and Gorenstein orders, to [1] and [2] for basic facts on the theory of artin algebras, to [7] for the properties of symmetric algebras, to [6] for basic results on Gorenstein orders and to [9], [11] for induced representations.

## 2. Strongly graded Gorenstein orders

Let R be an artinian commutative ring. If  $\Lambda$  is an artinian R-algebra, let  $mod\Lambda$  be the category of finitely generated left  $\Lambda$ -modules and  $\Lambda^{op}$ the opposite ring of  $\Lambda$ . We denote by J the injective envelope of the direct sum of the non-isomorphic simple R-modules. For an object X in  $mod\Lambda$ , the R-module  $Hom_R(X, J)$  becomes a right  $\Lambda$ -module by the rule  $(f\lambda)(x) = f(\lambda x)$ , for  $f \in Hom_R(X, J)$  and  $\lambda, x \in \Lambda$ . Hence  $Hom_R(X, J)$ is a left  $\Lambda^{op}$ -module. Similary, if X is a right  $\Lambda$ -module, then  $Hom_R(X, J)$ becomes a left  $\Lambda$ -module. The contravariant R-functor:

$$D: mod\Lambda 
ightarrow mod\Lambda^{op}, \ X \mapsto Hom_R(X,J)$$

is a duality called the standard duality, ([2], II, Theorem 3.3). The *R*-algebra  $\Lambda$  is said to be symmetric if there exists an isomorphism  $\Lambda \cong D(\Lambda)$  of  $\Lambda$ - $\Lambda$ -bimodules.

We suppose now that

$$\Lambda = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Lambda_g$$

is a strongly G-graded ring, for a finite group G, and  $\Lambda_1$  an artinian R-algebra. Then  $\Lambda$  is also an artinian R-algebra. Since  $\Lambda$  is a strongly G-graded ring, from the relation  $\Lambda_g \Lambda_{g^{-1}} = \Lambda_1$ , for  $g \in G$ , it follows that are element  $a_g^{(i)} \in \Lambda_g$  and  $b_{g^{-1}}^{(i)} \in \Lambda_{g^{-1}}$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_g} a_g^{(i)} b_{g^{-1}}^{(i)} = 1$$
(2.1)

for some positive integer  $n_g$  depending on  $g \in G$ . Using the above notation we prove the following result.

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume that  $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Lambda_g$  is a strongly *G*-graded artinian *R*-algebra such that there exists an isomorphism  $\Lambda_1 \cong D(\Lambda_1)$  of left (resp. right)  $\Lambda_1$  – modules. Then there exists an isomorphism  $\Lambda \cong D(\Lambda)$  of left (resp. right)  $\Lambda$  – modules.

*Proof.* We prove the left part of the proposition because the "right" one is dual. Let  $\phi : \Lambda_1 \to D(\Lambda_1)$  be an isomorphism of left  $\Lambda_1$ -modules. We define a map  $\psi : \Lambda \to D(\Lambda)$  by the rule

$$\psi(\lambda)(x) = \phi(1)[(\lambda x)_1], \text{ for } \lambda, x \in \Lambda.$$

Let  $\lambda = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g$  and  $x = \sum_{g \in G} x_g$ . Then  $(\lambda x)_1 = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g x_{g^{-1}}$ . It is clear that  $\psi(\lambda)$  is an *R*-homomorphism. We prove that  $\psi(\lambda)$  is a  $\Lambda$ -homomorphism of left  $\Lambda$ -modules. Let  $\lambda, \mu, x \in \Lambda$ , then

$$[\psi(\lambda)\mu](x) = \psi(\lambda)(\mu x) = \phi(1) ([\lambda(\mu x)]_1) = \phi(1) ([(\lambda\mu)x]_1) = \psi(\lambda\mu)(x).$$

First we show that  $\psi$  is a monomorphism. For, let  $\lambda \neq 0$  be an element of  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\lambda_t \neq 0$ , for some  $t \in G$ . We consider the relation on (2.1) for  $g = t^{-1}$ ,

$$\sum_{i \in I} a_{t^{-1}}^{(i)} b_t^{(i)} = 1$$

for a finite index set I depending on t. Since  $\lambda_t \neq 0$ , it follows that  $\lambda_t a_{t^{-1}}^{(j)} \neq 0$  for some  $j \in I$ . Now since  $\phi$  is an isomorphism we get that  $\phi(\lambda_t a_{t^{-1}}^{(j)}) \neq 0$ . Hence there exists an element  $x_1 \in \Lambda_1$  such that  $\phi(\lambda_t a_{t^{-1}}^{(j)})(x_1) \neq 0$ . Therefore

$$\psi(\lambda)(a_{t^{-1}}^{(j)}x_1) = \phi(1)\left[(\lambda a_{t^{-1}}^{(j)}x_1)_1\right] = \phi(1)\left(\lambda_t a_{t^{-1}}^{(j)}x_1\right) = \\ = \left(\phi(1)\lambda_t a_{t^{-1}}^{(j)}\right)(x_1) = \phi\left(\lambda_t a_{t^{-1}}^{(j)}\right)(x_1) \neq 0.$$

Hence  $\psi(\lambda) \neq 0$  and  $\psi$  is a monomorphism. Next we prove that  $\psi$  is an epimorphism. Since  $\Lambda$  is a finitely generated *R*-module, it follows that ([2] II 3.1),  $l(\Lambda) = l(Hom_R(\Lambda, J))$ , where l(X) denotes the length of the  $\Lambda$ -module X. We consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \Lambda \xrightarrow{\psi} Hom_R(\Lambda, J) \to Coker\psi \to 0.$$

It follows that

 $l(\Lambda) + l(Cocker\psi) = l(D(\Lambda))$ 

and hence  $l(Cocker(\psi)) = 0$ , so  $Cocker(\psi) = 0$  and hence  $\psi$  is surjective. This completes the proof.

If R in the above theorem is a field K, then A is a strongly G-graded K-algebra and from the above proposition  $A \cong Hom_K(A, K)$  as left A-modules. So extending the relevant result for crossed product algebras ([7], Ch.2, Theorem 2.4) we get the following useful fact.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let K be a field, G a finite group and  $A = \sum_{g \in G} A_g a$ strongly G-graded K-algebra. If  $A_1$  is a Frobenius K-algebra, then A is also a Frobenius K-algebra.

Below, we apply again the notation introduced above.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Lambda_g$  be a strongly *G*-graded *R*-algebra for a finite group *G*. Let  $\Lambda_1$  be a symmetric artinian *R*-algebra, with a  $\Lambda_1$ - $\Lambda_1$ -bimodule isomorphism  $\phi : \Lambda_1 \to D(\Lambda_1)$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

$$\begin{split} &i) \ \phi(1) \ [(\mu\lambda)_1] = \phi(1) \ [(\lambda\mu)_1], \ for \ \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda. \\ &ii) \ \phi(1)(\lambda_g\mu_{g^{-1}}) = \phi(1)(\mu_{g^{-1}}\lambda_g), \ for \ \lambda_g \in \Lambda_g, \ \mu_{g^{-1}} \in \Lambda_{g^{-1}}, \ g \in G. \\ &iii) \ \phi(1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_g} b_{g^{-1}}^{(i)} \lambda_1 a_g^{(i)}\right) = \phi(1)(\lambda_1), \ for \ \lambda_1 \in \Lambda_1 \ and \ a_g^{(i)}, \ b_{g^{-1}}^{(i)}, \ n_g \ as \\ &in \ the \ relation \ (2.1). \end{split}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : \Lambda_1 \to D(\Lambda_1)$  be  $\Lambda_1$ - $\Lambda_1$ -bimodule isomorphism and  $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Lambda_g$ , a strongly *G*-graded *R*-algebra. We remark that, for  $\lambda_1$ ,  $x_1$  elements in  $\Lambda_1$ , we have

$$\phi(\lambda_1)(x_1) = [\lambda_1 \phi(1)](x_1) = \phi(1)(x_1 \lambda_1)$$

and

$$\phi(\lambda_1)(x_1) = [\phi(1)\lambda_1](x_1) = \phi(1)(\lambda_1 x_1)$$

Hence

$$\phi(1)(x_1\lambda_1) = \phi(1)(\lambda_1x_1).$$
 (2.2)

The implication i) $\Rightarrow$ ii) is obvious. ii) $\Rightarrow$ i) Let  $\lambda = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g$  and  $\mu = \sum_{g \in G} \mu_g$  be elements of  $\Lambda$ . Then

$$\phi(1) [(\lambda \mu)_1] = \phi(1) \left( \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \mu_{g^{-1}} \right) = \sum_{g \in G} \phi(1) (\lambda_g \mu_{g^{-1}}) =$$
$$= \sum_{g \in G} \phi(1) (\mu_{g^{-1}} \lambda_g) = \phi(1) [(\mu \lambda)_1].$$

ii) $\Rightarrow$ iii) Let  $\lambda_1 \in \Lambda_1$ . Then

$$\phi(1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_g} b_{g^{-1}}^{(i)} \lambda_1 a_g^{(i)}\right) = \phi(1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_g} a_g^{(i)} b_{g^{-1}}^{(i)} \lambda_1 =\right) \phi(1)(\lambda_1).$$

iii) $\Rightarrow$ ii) Let  $\lambda_g \in \Lambda_g$  and  $\mu_{g^{-1}} \in \Lambda_{g^{-1}}$ . Then using the equations (2.1) and (2.2) we get

$$\phi(1)(\mu_{g^{-1}}\lambda_g) = \phi(1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_g} \mu_{g^{-1}} a_g^{(i)} b_{g^{-1}}^{(i)} \lambda_g\right) =$$
$$= \phi(1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_g} b_{g^{-1}}^{(i)} \lambda_g \mu_{g^{-1}} a_g^{(i)}\right) = \phi(1)(\lambda_g \mu_{g^{-1}}).$$

**Remarks 2.4.** i) It is clear that if one of the relations of Proposition 2.3 holds, then the map  $\psi$  in the proof of Theorem 2.1 is an isomorphism of  $\Lambda$ - $\Lambda$ -bimodules and hence  $\Lambda$  is symmetric.

ii) Suppose that  $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 * G$  is a crossed product of  $\Lambda_1$  by G, that is  $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Lambda_1 \overline{g}$  for some units  $\overline{g}$  in  $\Lambda$ . It is clear that the condition iii) of Proposition 2.3 is equivalent to the following one

$$\phi(1)(x^g) = \phi(1)(x), \ x \in \Lambda_1, \ g \in G,$$
 (2.3)

where  $x^g = \overline{g}x\overline{g}^{-1}$ .

We finish this section by a useful result for twisted group algebras.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a twisted group ring of the artinian *R*-algebra  $\Lambda_1$  by the finite group *G*. If  $\Lambda_1$  is a symmetric *R*-algebra, then  $\Lambda$  is also symmetric.

*Proof.* The fact that  $\Lambda$  is a twisted group ring is equivalent to the fact that the action of G on  $\Lambda_1$  is inner, that is  $\overline{g}x\overline{g}^{-1} = \varepsilon(g)x\varepsilon(g)^{-1}$ , for  $x \in \Lambda_1$  and  $\varepsilon(g)$  is a unit of  $\Lambda_1$  depending on g, for  $g \in G$  (see [8] Ch.2, Proposition 14). Then the equalities (2.2), (2.3) yield

$$\phi(1)(x^g) = \phi(1)\left(\varepsilon(g)x\varepsilon(g)^{-1}\right) = \phi(1)\left(x\varepsilon(g)\varepsilon(g)^{-1}\right) = \phi(1)(x),$$

since  $x\varepsilon(g)^{-1} \in \Lambda_1$ . Hence the equality iii) of Proposition (2.3) holds and by Remarks (2.4) i) it follows that  $\Lambda$  is symmetric.

Now we assume that R is a complete discrete valuation ring with quotient field K and A a finite dimensional separable K-algebra. Let  $\Lambda$ be an R-order in A which is a strongly G-graded ring by a finite group G, such that  $\Lambda_1$  is an R-order in a separable K-algebra  $A_1$ . Then  $D(\Lambda)$ (resp.  $D(\Lambda_1)$ ) is  $\Lambda^* = Hom_R(\Lambda, R)$  (resp.  $\Lambda_1^* = Hom_R(\Lambda_1, R)$ ) (see [3]) and the proof of Theorem 2.1 also holds for  $\Lambda_1^*$  and  $\Lambda^*$ . Now the condition  $\Lambda^* \cong \Lambda$  as right  $\Lambda$ -modules, becomes  $\Lambda^* \cong \Lambda$  as right  $\Lambda$ -lattices, which means that  $\Lambda^*$  is projective as right  $\Lambda$ -lattice. In other words,  $\Lambda$  is a Gorenstein order and since the property of being a Gorenstein order is a local property we get the following

**Theorem 2.6.** Let R be a Dedekind domain with quotient field K and A a finite dimensional separable K-algebra. Let  $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Lambda_g$  be a strongly G-graded R-algebra which is an R-order in A and let  $\Lambda_1$  be an R-order in a separable K-algebra  $A_1$ . If  $\Lambda_1$  is a Gorenstein R-order then  $\Lambda$  is also a Gorenstein R-order.

## 3. The induction functor commutes with the standard duality

Let G be a finite group and  $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Lambda_g$  a strongly G-graded ring. Let R be a commutative ring with unity such that

$$R \subseteq C_{\Lambda_1}(\Lambda) = \{\lambda \in \Lambda_1 : \lambda x = x\lambda, \text{ for every } x \in \Lambda\}.$$

Then  $\Lambda$  is an *R*-algebra. Let *H* be a subgroup of *G*, then  $\Lambda_H = \bigoplus_{h \in H} \Lambda_h$  is a strongly *H*-graded *R*-algebra. If *V* is a left (resp. right)  $\Lambda_H$ -module by  $V^G$  we denote the left (resp. right)  $\Lambda$ -module  $\Lambda \otimes_{\Lambda_H} V$  (resp.  $V \otimes_{\Lambda_H} \Lambda$ ). We denote by

$$ind: Mod\Lambda_H \longrightarrow Mod\Lambda$$

the induction functor defined by  $V \mapsto V^G = \Lambda \otimes_{\Lambda_H} V$ . The first result of this section generalizes a result on induced modules of group rings to strongly graded rings. We use the above notation.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let G be a finite group,  $H \subseteq G$  a subgroup and  $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Lambda_g$  a strongly G-graded R-algebra. Let V be a left  $\Lambda_H$ -module and B a left R-module.

i) There is a functorial isomorphism  $[Hom_R(V,B)]^G \cong Hom_R(V^G,B)$ of right  $\Lambda$ -modules.

ii) If  $\Lambda$  is a crossed product of  $\Lambda_1$  over G, then there is a functorial isomorphism

$$[Hom_R(B,V)]^G \cong Hom_R(B,V^G)$$
 of  $\Lambda$ -modules.

*Proof.* i) We remark that for rings S and R, X a left S-module and Y a left R-module, the group  $Hom_R(X, Y)$  is a right S-module via the rule fs(x) = f(sx), for  $f \in Hom_R(X, Y)$ ,  $s \in S$  and  $x \in X$ .

Let now V be a left  $\Lambda_H$ -module and B a left R-module, then  $Hom_R(V, B)$  is a right  $\Lambda_H$ -module. We define the map

$$F: [Hom_R(V,B)]^G \to Hom_R(V^G,B)$$

by the formula  $x = \sum_{t \in T} f_t \otimes \lambda_{t^{-1}} \mapsto F_x$ , where T is a left transversal of H in G,  $f_t \in Hom_R(V, R)$ ,  $\lambda_g \in \Lambda_g$  for  $g \in G$  and

$$F_x: V^G \to B$$

is defined by the formula  $\sum_{t\in T} l_t \otimes v_t \mapsto \sum_{t\in T} f_t(\lambda_{t^{-1}}l_tv_t)$ , for  $l_t \in \Lambda_t$ ,  $v_t \in V$  and  $t \in T$ . It is enough to define F on x and then to extent it on  $\sum_{t\in T}\sum_{i\in I} f_t^{(i)} \otimes \lambda_{t^{-1}}^{(i)}$  for a finite index set I and similarly for the elements of  $V^G$ .

First we prove that F is independent of T. Let T' be another left transversal of H in G. Then for any  $t' \in T'$  there exists a unique element  $h_t$  of H and a unique  $t \in T$  such that  $t' = th_t$ . From the relations  $\Lambda_{t'} =$  $\Lambda_t \Lambda_{h_t}$  and  $\Lambda_{t'-1} = \Lambda_{h_t^{-1}} \Lambda_{t^{-1}}$  we get the next expressions of arbitrary elements  $l_{t'} \in \Lambda_{t'}$  and  $\lambda_{t'-1} \in \Lambda_{t'-1}$ 

$$l_{t'} = \sum_{i} l_t^{(i)} l_{h_t}^{(i)}$$
 and  $\lambda_{t'^{-1}} = \sum_{j} \lambda_{h_t^{-1}}^{(j)} \lambda_{t^{-1}}^{(j)}$ 

for  $l_g^{(i)}$ ,  $\lambda_g^{(j)} \in \Lambda_g$ ,  $g \in G$  and i, j running over finite index sets. Then

$$F(\sum_{t'\in T'} f_{t'} \otimes \lambda_{t'^{-1}})(\sum_{t'\in T'} l_{t'} \otimes v_{t'}) =$$

$$= F(\sum_{t\in T} \sum_{j} f_{t'} \lambda_{h_{t}^{-1}}^{(j)} \otimes \lambda_{t^{-1}}^{(j)})(\sum_{t\in T} \sum_{i} l_{t}^{(i)} \otimes l_{h_{t}}^{(i)} v_{t'}) =$$

$$= \sum_{t\in T} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} (f_{t'} \lambda_{h_{t}^{-1}}^{(j)})(\lambda_{t^{-1}}^{(j)} l_{t}^{(i)} l_{h_{t}}^{(i)} v_{t'}) = \sum_{t\in T} f_{t'}(\lambda_{t'^{-1}} l_{t'} v_{t'})$$

=

It is easy to see that  $F_x$  is an *R*-homomorphism, since  $R \subseteq C_{\Lambda_1}(\Lambda)$ . The map *F* is a monomorphism. Indeed; let  $F(\sum_{t \in T} f_t \otimes \lambda_{t^{-1}}) = 0$ , then for  $a_g \in \Lambda_g$ ,  $g \in G$  and  $v \in V$  we conclude that the equality

$$F(\sum_{t\in T} f_t \otimes \lambda_{t^{-1}})(a_g \otimes v) = 0$$

implies the equalities  $f_g \lambda_g a_g = 0$  for  $g \in G$ ,  $a_g \in \Lambda_g$ . Hence we conclude, by taking  $a_t^{(i)}$  as in the equality (2.1), the equalities

$$\sum_{t \in T} f_t \otimes \lambda_{t^{-1}} = \sum_{t \in T} \sum_i f_t \lambda_{t^{-1}} a_t^{(i)} \otimes b_{t^{-1}}^{(i)} = 0.$$

Now we prove that the map F is surjective. If  $f \in Hom_R(V^G, B)$  we define  $f_t^{(i)}: V \to B$  by  $f_t^{(i)}(v) = f(a_t^{(i)} \otimes v)$  for  $a_t^{(i)}$  as in (2.1). Since f is an R-homomorphism then for  $r \in R$ ,  $v \in V$  we get

$$f_t^{(i)}(rv) = f(a_t^{(i)} \otimes rv) = rf(a_t^{(i)} \otimes v) = rf_t^{(i)}(v)$$

Now we prove that  $f = F(\sum_{t \in T} \sum_{i} f_t^{(i)} \otimes b_{t^{-1}}^{(i)})$ . We remark that for  $\sum_{t \in T} l_t \otimes v_t \in V^G$  we have

$$F(\sum_{t\in T}\sum_{i}f_{t}^{(i)}\otimes b_{t^{-1}}^{(i)})(\sum_{t\in T}l_{t}\otimes v_{t})=\sum_{t\in T}\sum_{i}f_{t}^{(i)}(b_{t^{-1}}^{(i)}l_{t}v_{t})=$$

$$\sum_{t \in T} \sum_{i} f(a_t^{(i)} \otimes b_{t^{-1}}^{(i)} l_t v_t) = \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{i} f(a_t^{(i)} b_{t^{-1}}^{(i)} l_t \otimes v_t) = f(\sum_{t \in T} l_t \otimes v_t).$$

Finally we prove that the map F is a  $\Lambda$ -homomorphism. Let  $g \in G$ ,  $\lambda_g \in \Lambda_g$  and  $K = \{g^{-1}t : t \in T\}$  another left transversal of H in G. If  $k \in K, t \in T, l_k \in \Lambda_k$  and  $v \in V$ , it is enough to prove that

$$F\left[(f_t \otimes \lambda_{t^{-1}})\lambda_g\right](l_k \otimes v) = \left[F(f_t \otimes \lambda_{t^{-1}})\lambda_g\right](l_k \otimes v).$$

The left hand term of this equality is equal to zero, if  $k \neq gt^{-1}$ , and is equal to  $f_t(\lambda_{t^{-1}}\lambda_g l_k v)$ , if  $k = gt^{-1}$ . For the right hand term we have:

$$F(f_t \otimes \lambda_{t^{-1}})(\lambda_g l_k \otimes v) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } gk \neq t \\ f_t(\lambda_{t^{-1}}\lambda_g l_k v), & \text{if } gk = t. \end{cases}$$

It follows that F is a  $\Lambda$ -homomorphism and the statement i) is proved.

ii) Let  $\Lambda = \sum_{t \in T} \bar{t} \Lambda_H$  be a crossed product. We consider the map

$$F: [Hom_R(B,V)]^G \to Hom_R(B,V^G)$$

defined by  $\overline{t} \otimes \varphi_t \mapsto \varphi_t^*$ , where  $\varphi_t^* : B \to \Lambda \otimes_{\Lambda_H} V$ , is defined by  $b \mapsto \overline{t} \otimes \varphi_t(b)$ , for  $t \in T$  and  $b \in B$ . Similarly as in i), we prove that F has the required properties.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let G be an arbitrary group and H a subgroup of G of finite index, V a left  $\Lambda_H$ -module and W a right  $\Lambda$ -module. Then there exist isomorphism

$$(D(V))^G \cong D(V^G)$$
 and  $(V^*)^G \cong (V^G)^*$ 

of right  $\Lambda$ -modules.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let G be a finite group. If there exists an isomorphism  $D(\Lambda_1) \cong \Lambda_1$  of right  $\Lambda_1$ -modules then there exists an isomorphism  $D(\Lambda) \cong \Lambda$  of right  $\Lambda$ -modules.

## References

- I. Assem, D. Simson and A. Skowroński, Elements of Representation Theory of Associative Algebras, I:Techniques of Representation Theory, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [2] M. Auslander, I. Reiten and S. Smalø, Representation Theory of Artin Algebras, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [3] C. Curtis and I. Reiner, Methods of Representation Theory with Applications to Finite Groups and Orders, Vol. I, Wiley, New York, 1981.
- [4] E.C. Dade, Group-graded rings and modules, Math. Z. 174 (1980), 241-262.

- [5] M.E. Harris, On classical Clifford theory, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 309 (1988), 831-842, .
- [6] J. Haefner, On Gorenstein orders, J. Algebra, 132 (1990), 406-430.
- [7] G. Karpilovsky, Symmetric and G-algebras with Applications to Group Representations, Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 60, Kluwer Academ Publishers, Dordrecht, 1990.
- [8] G. Karpilovsky, Algebraic Structure of Crossed Products, Mathematics Studies, No 142, North-Holland, 1987.
- [9] G. Karpilovsky, Induced Modules over Group Algebras, No 161, North-Holland, 1990.
- [10] Th. Theohari-Apostolidi and A. Wiedemann, The integral representation theory of local crossed products of finite lattice type, Bayreuther Mathematische Schriften, 40 (1992), 169-176.
- [11] Th. Theohari-Apostolidi and H. Vavatsoulas, On the separability of the restriction functor, J. Alg. and Disc. Math. 3 (2003), 95-101.

#### CONTACT INFORMATION

Th.Theohari-	Department of Mathematics,
Apostolidi,	Aristotle University of Thessaloniki,
H. Vavatsoulas	Thessaloniki 54124 Greece
	E-Mail: theohari@math.auth.gr,
	vava@math.auth.gr

Received by the editors: 28.05.2004 and final form in 06.07.2005.