Algebra and Discrete Mathematics Number 3. **(2006).** pp. 92 – 100 (c) Journal "Algebra and Discrete Mathematics"

On the Amitsur property of radicals

N. V. Loi and R. Wiegandt

Communicated by L. Márki

ABSTRACT. The Amitsur property of a radical says that the radical of a polynomial ring is again a polynomial ring. A hereditary radical γ has the Amitsur property if and only if its semisimple class is polynomially extensible and satisfies: $f(x) \in$ $\gamma(A[x])$ implies $f(0) \in \gamma(A[x])$. Applying this criterion, it is proved that the generalized nil radical has the Amitsur property. In this way the Amitsur property of a not necessarily hereditary normal radical can be checked.

1. Introduction

All rings considered are associative, not necessarily with unity element. Radicals are meant in the sense of Kurosh and Amitsur. A radical γ is *hereditary*, if $I \triangleleft A \in \gamma$ implies $I \in \gamma$. For details of radical theory the readers are referred to [3].

Many classical radicals, for instance, the Baer, Levitzki, Köthe, Jacobson, and Brown–McCoy radicals, enjoy an important property concerning polynomial rings, called the Amitsur property: the radical of a polynomial ring is again a polynomial ring.

In several cases it is not so easy to decide that a given radical has the Amitsur property. So it seems to be desirable to have equivalent conditions (as Krempa's condition [5]) for testing the Amitsur property of radicals. We are going to prove such a criterion for hereditary radicals in Theorem 2.4.

Research supported by the Hungarian OTKA Grant # T043034 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16N60.

Key words and phrases: Amitsur property, hereditary, normal and generalized nil radical.

A radical γ has the *Amitsur property*, if for every polynomial ring A[x] it holds

$$\gamma(A[x]) = (\gamma(A[x]) \cap A)[x]. \tag{A}$$

The Amitsur property of a radical states that the radical of a polynomial ring is again a polynomial ring. It seems to be folklore that also the converse is true.

Proposition 1.1. A radical γ has the Amitsur property if and only if $\gamma(A[x])$ is a polynomial ring in x.

Proof. If $\gamma(A[x])$ is a polynomial ring B[x], then the constant polynomials on both sides are equal. Hence $\gamma(A[x]) \cap A = B$, and so γ has the Amitsur property.

A useful criterion for the Amitsur property of a radical was given by Krempa [5].

Proposition 1.2. For a radical γ to have the Amitsur property a necessary and sufficient condition is

$$\gamma(A[x]) \cap A = 0 \quad implies \quad \gamma(A[x]) = 0 \tag{K}$$

for all rings A.

Let $Z(A^1)$ denote the center of the Dorroh extension A^1 of a ring A. We say that a radical γ is closed under *linear substitutions*, if $f(x) \in \gamma(A[x])$ implies $f(ax + b) \in \gamma(A[x])$ for all rings A and all $a, b \in Z(A^1)$.

Proposition 1.3. If a radical γ has the Amitsur property, then γ is closed under linear substitutions. If a radical γ is closed under linear substitutions, then γ satisfies condition

$$f(x) \in \gamma(A[x]) \quad implies \quad f(0) \in \gamma(A[x])$$
 (T)

for all rings A.

Proof. Suppose that γ has the Amitsur property and let

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i x^i \in \gamma(A[x]) = (\gamma(A[x]) \cap A)[x].$$

Then for any $a, b \in Z(A^1)$ we have

$$f(ax+b) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i(ax+b)^i = g(x).$$

Since each $c_i \in \gamma(A[x]) \cap A$ and $a, b \in Z(A^1)$, all the coefficients of g(x) are in $\gamma(A[x]) \cap A$. Hence

$$f(ax+b) = g(x) \in (\gamma(A[x]) \cap A)[x] = \gamma(A[x]).$$

If a radical γ is closed under linear substitutions then γ satisfies trivially condition (T).

We say that the semisimple class $S\gamma$ of a radical γ is polynomially extensible if $A \in S\gamma$ implies $A[x] \in S\gamma$. This notion was introduced and studied in connection with the Amitsur property in [9].

Proposition 1.4. If a radical γ has the Amitsur property, then its semisimple class

 $S\gamma$ is polynomially extensible.

Proof. The statement is a special case of [9, Proposition 3.4].

Let us observe that the Amitsur property of a radical γ is independent from the polynomial extensibility of γ (that is $A \in \gamma$ implies $A[x] \in \gamma$), as proved in [9, Corollary 3.8 (iii)].

2. Hereditary radicals and the Amitsur property

We shall denote by $(f(x))_{A[x]}$ the principal ideal of the polynomial ring A[x] generated by the polynomial $f(x) \in A[x]$.

Proposition 2.1. For a hereditary radical γ condition (T) is equivalent to

$$(f(x))_{A[x]} \in \gamma \quad implies \quad (f(0))_{A[x]} \in \gamma.$$
 (S)

Proof. Straightforward.

The following Lemma may be useful also in other contexts.

Lemma 2.2. Let γ be a hereditary radical. If $A \in \gamma$ and $\gamma(A[x]) \subseteq xA[x]$, then $\gamma(A[x]) = 0$.

Proof. Let us consider the set

$$K = \{ f \in xA[x] \mid xf \in \gamma(A[x]) \}.$$

Clearly $\gamma(A[x]) \subseteq K \triangleleft A[x].$

For arbitrary polynomials $f, g \in K$ we have $xfg \in \gamma(A[x])$ and g = xhwith a suitable polynomial $h \in A[x]$. Hence $fh \in K$, so $fg = xfh \in \gamma(A[x])$. Thus $K^2 \subseteq \gamma(A[x])$, that is, $(K/\gamma(A[x]))^2 = 0$.

We define a mapping $\varphi: K \to \gamma(A[x])/x\gamma(A[x])$ by the rule

$$\varphi(f) = xf + x\gamma(A[x]) \qquad \forall f \in K.$$

Obviously this mapping preserves addition. Further,

$$\ker \varphi = \{ f \in K \mid xf \in x\gamma(A[x]) \},\$$

so to each $f \in \ker \varphi$ there exists a $g \in \gamma(A[x])$ such that xf = xg, that is, x(f - g) = 0. Since x is an indeterminate, f = g follows. Hence $\ker \varphi \subseteq \gamma(A[x])$. The inclusion $\gamma(A[x]) \subseteq \ker \varphi$ is obvious, therefore $\ker \varphi = \gamma(A[x])$. Taking into account that

$$\operatorname{im} \varphi \cong K/\ker \varphi = K/\gamma(A[x]),$$

by $(K/\gamma(A[x]))^2 = 0$ we conclude that φ is a ring homomorphism. Since γ is hereditary, from

$$K/\gamma(A[x]) \cong \operatorname{im} \varphi \triangleleft \gamma(A[x])/x\gamma(A[x]) \in \gamma$$

it follows that $K/\gamma(A[x]) \in \gamma$. We have also

$$K/\gamma(A[x]) \triangleleft A[x]/\gamma(A[x]) \in \mathcal{S}\gamma,$$

and therefore $K/\gamma(A[x]) \in \gamma \cap S\gamma = 0$. Thus $K = \gamma(A[x])$.

Let us define the ideal

$$M = \{ f \in A[x] \mid xf \in \gamma(A[x]) \}$$

of A[x]. Obviously $M \cap xA[x] = K = \gamma(A[x])$. Then $M/\gamma(A[x]) \triangleleft A[x]/\gamma(A[x]) \in S\gamma$ implies $M/\gamma(A[x]) \in S\gamma$. Further,

$$M/\gamma(A[x]) = M/(M \cap xA[x]) \cong (M + xA[x])/xA[x] \triangleleft A[x]/xA[x] \cong A.$$

Since $A \in \gamma$, by the hereditariness of γ we have

$$(M + xA[x])/xA[x] \in \gamma \cap \mathcal{S}\gamma = 0,$$

and so $M \subseteq xA[x]$. This implies

$$\gamma(A[x]) = M \cap xA[x] = M.$$

Suppose that $\gamma(A[x]) \neq 0$ and $p = \sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i x^i \in \gamma(A[x])$ is a polynomial of minimal degree. Taking into consideration that $\gamma(A[x]) \subseteq xA[x]$, we have $a_0 = 0$ and p = xq with an appropriate polynomial $q \in A[x]$. By the definition of M we have that $q \in M = \gamma(A[x])$. But the degree of q is t-1 < t, a contradiction. This proves $\gamma(A[x]) = 0$.

The next statement is crucial in proving Theorem 2.4.

Lemma 2.3. Let γ be a hereditary radical. If γ satisfies condition (T) and the semisimple class $S\gamma$ is polynomially extensible, then γ satisfies Krempa's condition (K).

Proof. For proving the validity of Krempa's condition (K), we suppose that $\gamma(A[x]) \cap A = 0$, and have to show that $\gamma(A[x]) = 0$.

Let us consider an arbitrary polynomial $f(x) \in \gamma(A[x])$. By the assumption condition (T) implies that $f(0) \in \gamma(A[x]) \cap A = 0$. Hence we have got that $\gamma(A[x]) \subseteq xA[x]$.

If $A \in \gamma$ then an application of Lemma 2.2 yields that $\gamma(A[x]) = 0$.

If $\gamma(A) = 0$, then by the polynomial extensibility of $S\gamma$ it follows that $\gamma(A[x]) = 0$, and Krempa's condition is trivially fulfilled.

Hence we may confine ourselves to the case $0 \neq \gamma(A) \neq A$. We have to prove that $\gamma(A[x]) = 0$. Since the semisimple class $S\gamma$ is polynomially extensible, $A/\gamma(A) \in S\gamma$ implies that

$$A[x]/\gamma(A)[x] \cong (A/\gamma(A))[x] \in \mathcal{S}\gamma.$$

Hence $\gamma(A[x]) \subseteq \gamma(A)[x]$. For the radical $B = \gamma(A)$ of A, the hereditariness of γ yields

$$\gamma(B[x]) = \gamma(A[x]) \cap B[x] \subseteq \gamma(A[x]),$$

and so

$$\gamma(B[x]) \cap B \subseteq \gamma(A[x]) \cap A = 0$$

follows. Hence applying Lemma 2.2 to $B = \gamma(A) \in \gamma$, we get that $\gamma(B[x]) = 0$. Thus, we arrive at

$$\gamma(A[x]) = \gamma(\gamma(A[x])) \subseteq \gamma(\gamma(A)[x]) = \gamma(B[x]) = 0.$$

From Propositions 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 we get immediately

Theorem 2.4. A hereditary radical γ has the Amitsur property if and only if γ satisfies condition (T) and its semisimple class $S\gamma$ is polynomially extensible.

3. Strict and special radicals

In this section we shall look at the Amitsur property of strict special radicals.

A radical γ is *strict* if $S \subseteq A$ and $S \in \gamma$ imply $S \subseteq \gamma(A)$ for every subring S of every ring A.

Proposition 3.1. If γ is a strict radical, then γ satisfies condition (T).

Proof. The mapping $\varphi : A[x] \to A$ defined by $\varphi(f(x)) = f(0)$ for all $f(x) \in A[x]$, is obviously a homomorphism onto A. Since γ is strict, we have

$$\varphi(\gamma(A[x])) \subseteq \gamma(A) \subseteq \gamma(A[x])$$

Hence $f(x) \in \gamma(A[x])$ implies that $f(0) \in \gamma(A[x])$.

An ideal I of A is said to be *essential* in A if $I \cap K \neq 0$ for every nonzero ideal K of A, and we denote this fact by $I \triangleleft \cdot A$. A hereditary class ρ of prime rings is called a *special class* if $I \triangleleft \cdot A$ and $I \in \rho$ imply $A \in \rho$. The upper radical

$$\gamma = \mathcal{U}\varrho = \{A \mid A \longrightarrow f(A) \in \varrho \Rightarrow f(A) = 0\}$$

is called a special radical. As is well known, every special radical is hereditary and every γ -semisimple ring $A \in S\gamma$ is a subdirect sum of rings in ρ , that is, $S\gamma$ is the subdirect closure $\overline{\rho}$ of the class ρ (see, for instance [3, Theorem 3.7.12 and Corollary 3.8.5]).

Proposition 3.2. For a special class ρ and special radical $\gamma = U\rho$ the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) $A \in \rho$ implies $A[x] \in \overline{\rho}$,
- (ii) the semisimple class $\overline{\varrho} = S\gamma$ is polynomially extensible.

Proof. The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is trivial.

Assume the validity of (i), and let $A \in \overline{\varrho}$. Then A is a subdirect sum of rings $A/I_{\lambda} \in \varrho$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\cap I_{\lambda} = 0$. By condition (i) we have $(A/I_{\lambda})[x] \in \overline{\varrho}$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Since

$$A[x]/I_{\lambda}[x] \cong (A/I_{\lambda})[x]$$

and $\cap I_{\lambda}[x] = 0$, the ring A[x] is a subdirect sum of $(A/I_{\lambda})[x] \in \overline{\varrho}$. Hence $A[x] \in \overline{\varrho}$ holds.

Example 3.3. The generalized nil radical \mathcal{N}_g is the upper radical of all domains, that is, of all rings without zero-divisors. It is well known that \mathcal{N}_g is a strict special radical and the semisimple class \mathcal{SN}_g is the

class of all reduced rings, that is, of all rings which do not possess nonzero nilpotent elements (see, for instance, [3, Theorem 4.11.11 and Proposition 4.11.12]). Hence by Proposition 3.1 the radical \mathcal{N}_g satisfies condition (T) and a moment's reflection shows – without making use of Proposition 3.2 – that the semisimple class \mathcal{SN}_g is polynomially extensible. Thus by Theorem 2.4 the generalized nil radical \mathcal{N}_g has the Amitsur property.

Let us mention that by Puczyłowski [6] the generalized nil radical \mathcal{N}_g is the smallest strict special radical.

4. Subidempotent, normal and A-radicals

A hereditary radical γ is called *subidempotent*, if the radical class γ consists of idempotent rings, or equivalently, the semisimple class $S\gamma$ contains all nilpotent rings.

Proposition 4.1. $\gamma(A[x]) = 0$ for every subidempotent radical γ and every ring A, and every subidempotent radical γ has the Amitsur property.

Proof. If $\gamma(A[x]) \neq 0$ for a ring A, then by the hereditariness of γ we have that $x\gamma(A[x]) \in \gamma$. Hence

$$0 \neq x\gamma(A[x])/(x\gamma(A[x]))^2 \in \gamma,$$

and so the subidempotent radical γ contains a non-zero ring with zero multiplication, a contradiction. Thus $\gamma(A[x]) = 0$ follows. This means that Krempa's condition (K) in Proposition 1.2 is trivially fulfilled, and therefore γ has the Amitsur property.

A radical γ is said to be an *A*-radical, if the radicality depends only on the additive group of the ring; this may be defined as follows: $A \in \gamma$ if and only if the zero-ring $A^0 \in \gamma$.

Proposition 4.2. Every A-radical γ has the Amitsur property.

Proof. Gardner's [2, Proposition 1.5 (ii)] states that $\gamma(A[x]) = \gamma(A)[x]$. Hence by Proposition 1.1 the assertion follows.

Next, we shall focus our attention to normal radicals which are defined via Morita contexts and characterized as left strong and principally left hereditary radicals. A radical γ is said to be *left strong*, if $L \triangleleft_{\ell} A$ and $L \in \gamma$ imply $L \subseteq \gamma(A)$, and principally left hereditary if $A \in \gamma$ implies $Aa \in \gamma$ for every $a \in A$. Jaegermann and Sands [4] proved the following result. Let

$$\gamma^0 = \{A \mid A^0 \in \gamma\}$$

be the A-radical determined by a radical γ , β the Baer (prime) radical and $\mathcal{L}(\gamma \cup \beta)$ the lower radical generated by γ and β , that is, $\mathcal{L}(\gamma \cup \beta)$ is the union $\gamma \lor \beta$ in the lattice of all radicals.

Proposition 4.3. Every normal radical γ is the intersection $\gamma = \gamma^0 \cap \mathcal{L}(\gamma \cup \beta)$.

Notice that the normal radical γ as well as the A-radical γ^0 need not be hereditary, but $\mathcal{L}(\gamma \cup \beta)$, as a supernilpotent normal radical is hereditary (cf. [3, Theorem 3.18.12]).

Puczyłowski [7] and Tumurbat [8] kindly informed us about

Proposition 4.4. The radicals with Amitsur property form a sublattice in the lattice of all radicals.

Proof. Let γ, δ be radicals with Amitsur property. The union $\gamma \lor \delta$ in the lattice of all radicals is the lower radical $\vartheta = \mathcal{L}(\gamma \cup \delta)$ generated by γ and δ . By Krempa's criterion (K) it suffices to show that $\vartheta(A[x]) \neq 0$ implies $\vartheta(A[x]) \cap A \neq 0$. If $\vartheta(A[x]) \neq 0$, then either $\gamma(A[x]) \neq 0$ or $\delta(A[x]) \neq 0$. Thus by (K) one of them has nonzero intersection with A. Since both of them are contained in $\vartheta(A[x])$, necessarily also $\vartheta(A[x]) \neq 0$.

The meet $\tau = \gamma \wedge \delta$ is just the intersection $\tau = \gamma \cap \delta$ of the radical classes. For a given ring A, let I be the smallest ideal of A such that $\tau(A[x]) \subseteq I([x])$. Such an ideal I exists, it is the intersection of all ideals containing $\tau(A[x])$. We have

$$\tau(A[x]) = \tau(I[x]) \subseteq \gamma(A[x]),$$

and by the Amitsur property of γ it holds $\gamma(A[x]) = J[x]$ with some ideal J of I. Moreover, $J[x] = \gamma(I[x]) \triangleleft A[x]$, therfore $J \triangleleft A$. Hence by the minimality of I we conclude that I = J. Thus $I[x] \in \gamma$. By the same token also $I[x] \in \delta$. Consequently, $\tau(A[x]) = I[x]$ which means by Proposition 1.1 that τ has the Amitsur property. \Box

The next result shows that for the Amitsur property of a normal radical γ it is enough to check the hereditary radical $\mathcal{L}(\gamma \cup \beta)$.

Proposition 4.5. A normal radical γ has the Amitsur property if and only if the hereditary normal radical $\mathcal{L}(\gamma \cup \beta)$ has the Amitsur property.

Proof. Suppose that γ has the Amitsur property. Since β has the Amitsur property, by Proposition 4.4 also $\mathcal{L}(\gamma \cup \beta)$ has it.

Assume that $\mathcal{L}(\gamma \cup \beta)$ has the Amitsur property. Then by Propositions 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 also $\gamma = \gamma^0 \cap \mathcal{L}(\gamma \cup \beta)$ has the Amitsur property. \Box

Corollary 4.6. A normal radical γ has the Amitsur property if and only if the hereditary radical $\mathcal{L}(\gamma \cup \beta)$ satisfies condition (T) and its semisimple class is polynomially extensible.

Proof. Apply Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 4.5.

The authors are indebted to the referee for many improvements in the manuscript.

References

- [1] S. A. Amitsur, Radicals of polynomial rings, Canad. J. Math. 8 (1956), 355–361.
- [2] B. J. Gardner, Radicals of abelian groups and associative rings, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 24 (1973), 259–268.
- [3] B. J. Gardner and R. Wiegandt, Radical theory of rings, Marcel Dekker, 2004.
- [4] M. Jaegermann and A. D. Sands, On normal radicals, N-radicals and A-radicals, J. Algebra 50 (1978), 337–349.
- [5] J. Krempa, On radical properties of rings, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 20 (1972), 545– 548.
- [6] E. R. Puczyłowski, Remarks on stable radicals, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 28 (1980), 11–16.
- [7] E. R. Puczyłowski, private communication, 2005.
- [8] S. Tumurbat, private communication, 2005.
- [9] S. Tumurbat and R. Wiegandt, Radicals of polynomial rings, Soochow J. Math. 29 (2003), 425–434.

CONTACT INFORMATION

N. V. Loi,	A. Rényi Institute of Mathematics
R. Wiegandt	P. O. Box 127
	H–1364 Budapest
	Hungary
	E-Mail: nvloi@hotmail.com,
	wiegandt@renyi.hu

Received by the editors: 04.04.2005 and in final form 28.09.2005.