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Abstract. Let $p$ be simple, and let $S_{p}$ and $T_{p}$ be the symmetric group and the symmetric semigroup of degree $p$, respectively. The theorem of this paper says that the direct product $S_{p} \times T_{p}$ are of wild representation type over any field of characteristic $p$. The main case is $p=2$.

Let $k$ be a field. A semigroup is called of tame representation type (resp. of wild representation type) over $k$ if so is the problem of classifying its representations (see precise general definitions in [1]).

We give the precise definition of semigroups of wild representation type in matrix language.

For a semigroup $S$ and a $k$-algebra $\Lambda$, we denote by $R_{\Lambda}(S)$ the set of all matrix representations of $S$ over $\Lambda ; R_{k}(\Lambda)$ denotes the category of matrix representations of $\Lambda$ over $k$.

A semigroup $S$ is called of wild representation type (or simply wild) over $k$ if there exists a matrix representation $M$ of $S$ over $\Lambda=K_{2}=$ $k<x, y>$ such that the following conditions hold:

1) the matrix representation $M \otimes X$ (of $S$ over $k$ ) with $X \in R_{k}(\Lambda)$ is indecomposable if so is $X$;
2) the matrix representations $M \otimes X$ and $M \otimes X^{\prime}$ are nonequivalent if so are $X$ and $X^{\prime}$.
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Here $K_{2}=k<x, y>$ denotes the free associative $k$-algebra in two noncommuting variables $x$ and $y$.

We call such an $M$ a perfect representation of $S$ over $\Lambda$.
In practice, to simplify the proofs of wildness (not only semigroup but also other objects) one can replace $K_{2}$ by any wild $k$-algebra.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.
Theorem. Let $k$ be a field of characteristic $p \neq 0$ and let $S_{p}$ and $T_{p}$ be the symmetric group and the symmetric semigroup of degree $p$, respectively. Then the semigroup $S_{p} \times T_{p}$ is wild over $k$.

Here $\times$ denotes, as usual, the sign of the direct product.
Note that $T_{p}$ and $S_{p} \times T_{p}$ are monoids.
Since the factor semigroup of $T_{p}$ by its only maximal two-sided ideal (generated by all the non-invertible elements) is isomorphic to $S_{p}$, the semigroup $S_{p} \times T_{p}$ is wild for $p \neq 2$ by the criterion of tameness and wildness of finite groups [2]. In case $p=2$ we will indicate a perfect representation of $S_{p} \times T_{p}$ over the $k$-algebra $\Lambda=k \Gamma$ of paths of the quiver $\Gamma$ with two vertices $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and two arrows $x: p_{1} \rightarrow p_{1}, y: p_{1} \rightarrow p_{2}$ (this quiver is wild $[3,4]$ ).

The monoid $T_{2}$ of transformations of the set $\{1,2\}$ is generated by the elements $a, b$, where $a(1)=2, a(2)=1, b(1)=2, b(2)=2$, with defining relations $a^{2}=1, b^{2}=b, a b=b$ [5]. Obviously that the monoid $S_{2} \times T_{2}$ is generated by the elements $g, a, b$ with the additional relations $g^{2}=1$, $g a=a g, g b=b g\left(g\right.$ denotes the non-identity element of $\left.S_{2}\right)$.

Consider the next matrix representation $\gamma$ of $S_{2} \times T_{2}$ over the algebra $\Lambda=k \Gamma$ :

$$
\gamma(g)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & y & 1 & x \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \gamma(a)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \gamma(b)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$(\gamma(1)$ is equal to the identity matrix).
We will prove that $\gamma$ is a perfect representation.
Let $\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}$ be representations of $\Lambda$ over $k$ having the same dimension $s$ and let $G=(\gamma \otimes \varphi)(g), A=(\gamma \otimes \varphi)(a), B=(\gamma \otimes \varphi)(b), G^{\prime}=\left(\gamma \otimes \varphi^{\prime}\right)(g)$, $A^{\prime}=\left(\gamma \otimes \varphi^{\prime}\right)(a), B^{\prime}=\left(\gamma \otimes \varphi^{\prime}\right)(b)$. Consider the matrix equalities (in the variable $X$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
G X=X G^{\prime}, \quad A X=X A^{\prime}, \quad B X=X B^{\prime} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

viewing all their matrices as $s \times s$ block ones.

The equalities (of the $s \times s i j$-blocks)

$$
(G X)_{i j}=\left(X G^{\prime}\right)_{i j}, \quad(A X)_{i j}=\left(X A^{\prime}\right)_{i j}, \quad(B X)_{i j}=\left(X B^{\prime}\right)_{i j}
$$

$i, j \in\{1,2,3,4\}$ are denoted by $(1 ; i j),(2 ; i j),(3 ; i j)$, respectively.
We first write down all equalities of the forms $(2 ; i j)$ and $(3 ; i j)$ besides the trivial identities $0=0$ and $X_{i i}=X_{i i}$ :
$(2 ; 1,1): X_{21}=0$,
$(2 ; 1,2): X_{22}=X_{11}$,
$(2 ; 1,3): X_{23}=0$,
$(2 ; 1,4): X_{24}=X_{13}, \quad(2 ; 2,2): 0=X_{21}, \quad(2 ; 2,4): 0=X_{23}$,
$(2 ; 3,1): X_{41}=0, \quad(2 ; 3,2): X_{42}=X_{31}, \quad(2 ; 3,3): X_{43}=0$,
$(2 ; 3,4): X_{44}=X_{33}, \quad(2 ; 4,2): 0=X_{41}, \quad(2 ; 4,4): 0=X_{43}$,
$(3 ; 1,2): X_{12}=0, \quad(3 ; 1,3): X_{13}=0, \quad(3 ; 1,4): X_{14}=0$,
$(3 ; 2,1): 0=X_{21}, \quad(3 ; 3,1): 0=X_{31}, \quad(3 ; 4,1): 0=X_{41}$.

From these equalities it follows that

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
X_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & X_{11} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & X_{32} & X_{33} & X_{34} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & X_{33}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then from the equalities

$$
(1 ; 3,2): \varphi(y) X_{11}=X_{33} \varphi^{\prime}(y), \quad(1 ; 3,4): \varphi(x) X_{33}=X_{33} \varphi^{\prime}(x) \quad(* *)
$$

(the only two nontrivial equalities of the form $(1 ; i j)$ modulo the equalities $(2 ; i j)$ and $(3 ; i j))$ we have that the matrix $k$-representations $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{\prime}$ of $\Lambda=k \Gamma$ are equivalent if so are the matrix $k$-representations $\gamma \otimes \varphi$ and $\gamma \otimes \varphi^{\prime}$ of $S_{2} \times T_{2}$ (because $X_{11}$ and $X_{33}$ are invertible if so is $X$ ).

Thus, for the representation $\gamma$ condition 2) of the definition of wild semigroups holds.

From the form of the matrix $X$ it follows that the endomorphism algebra of $\gamma \otimes \varphi$ is local if and only if so is the endomorphism algebra of $\varphi$ (these algebras are defined, respectively, by $(*)$ and $(* *)$ with $\left.\varphi=\varphi^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore $\gamma \otimes \varphi$ is indecomposable if $\varphi$ is indecomposable, and consequently $\gamma$ satisfies condition 1) of the mentioned definition too.

The theorem is proved.
Because as a perfect matrix representation of the quiver $\Gamma$ over the algebra $K_{2}^{\prime}=k<x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}>$ one can take the representation

$$
x \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & x^{\prime} \\
1 & y^{\prime}
\end{array}\right), \quad y \rightarrow\binom{1}{0}
$$

it follows from the proof of our theorem that the following representation $\lambda$ of the semigroup $S_{2} \times T_{2}$ over $K_{2}^{\prime}$ is perfect:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda(g)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & x^{\prime} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & y^{\prime} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \lambda(a)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
\lambda(b)=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$
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