Algebra and Discrete Mathematics Volume 15 (2013). Number 2. pp. 201 – 212 © Journal "Algebra and Discrete Mathematics"

Weighted zero-sum problems over C_3^r

Hemar Godinho, Abílio Lemos, Diego Marques

Communicated by L. A. Shemetkov

ABSTRACT. Let C_n be the cyclic group of order n and set $s_A(C_n^r)$ as the smallest integer ℓ such that every sequence S in C_n^r of length at least ℓ has an A-zero-sum subsequence of length equal to $\exp(C_n^r)$, for $A = \{-1, 1\}$. In this paper, among other things, we give estimates for $s_A(C_3^r)$, and prove that $s_A(C_3^3) = 9$, $s_A(C_3^4) = 21$ and $41 \leq s_A(C_3^5) \leq 45$.

Introduction

Let G be a finite abelian group (written additively), and S be a finite sequence of elements of G and of length \mathfrak{m} . For simplicity we are going to write S in a *multiplicative* form

$$\mathcal{S} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} g_i^{v_i},$$

where v_i represents the number of times the element g_i appears in this sequence. Hence $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} v_i = \mathfrak{m}$.

Let $A = \{-1, 1\}$. We say that a subsequence $a_1 \cdots a_s$ of S is an *A-zero-sum subsequence*, if we can find $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_s \in A$ such that

$$\epsilon_1 a_1 + \dots + \epsilon_s a_s = 0$$
 in G .

The first two authors were partially supported by a grant from CNPq-Brazil. The third author is partially supported by FAP-DF, FEMAT and CNPq-Brazil **2010 MSC:** 20D60, 20K01.

Key words and phrases: Weighted zero-sum, abelian groups.

Here we are particularly interested in studying the behavior of $s_A(G)$ defined as the smallest integer ℓ such that every sequence S of length greater than or equal to ℓ , satisfies the condition (s_A) , which states that there must exist an A-zero-sum subsequence of S of length $\exp(G)$ (the exponent of G).

For this purpose, two other invariants will be defined to help us in this study. Thus, define $\eta_A(G)$ as the smallest integer ℓ such that every sequence S of length greater than or equal to ℓ , satisfies the condition (η_A) , which says that there exists an A-zero-sum subsequence of S of length at most $\exp(G)$. Define also $g_A(G)$ as the smallest integer ℓ such that every sequence S of distinct elements and of length greater than or equal to ℓ , satisfies the condition (g_A) , which says that there must exist an A-zero-sum subsequence of S of length $\exp(G)$.

The study of zero-sums is a classical area of additive number theory and goes back to the works of Erdös, Ginzburg and Ziv [6] and Harborth [9]. A very thorough survey up to 2006 can be found on Gao-Geroldinger [7], where applications of this theory are also given.

In [8], Grynkiewicz established a weighted version of Erdös-Ginzburg-Ziv theorem, which introduced the idea of considering certain weighted subsequence sums, and Thangadurai [13] presented many results on a weighted Davenport's constant and its relation to s_A .

For the particular weight $A = \{-1, 1\}$, the best results are due to Adhikari *et al* [1], where it is proved that $s_A(C_n) = n + \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor$ (here C_n is a cyclic group of order n) and Adhikari *et al* [2], where it is proved that $s_A(C_n \times C_n) = 2n - 1$, when n is odd. Recently, Adhikari *et al* proved that $s_A(G) = \exp(G) + \log_2 |G| + O(\log_2 \log_2 |G|)$ when $\exp(G)$ is even and $\exp(G) \to +\infty$ (see [3]).

The aim of this paper is to give estimates for $s_A(C_n^r)$, where as usual $C_n^r = C_n \times \cdots \times C_n$ (r times), and here are our results.

Theorem 1. Let $A = \{-1, 1\}, n > 1 \text{ odd and } r \ge 1$. If n = 3 and $r \ge 2$, or $n \ge 5$ then

$$2^{r-1}(n-1) + 1 \le s_A(C_n^r) \le (n^r - 1)\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) + 1.$$

For the case of n = 3 we present a more detailed study and prove Theorem 2. Let $A = \{-1, 1\}$ and $r \ge 5$.

(i) If r is odd then

$$s_A(C_3^r) \ge 2^r + 2\binom{r-1}{\frac{r-5}{2}} - 1.$$

- (ii) If r is even, with $m = \left\lfloor \frac{3r-4}{4} \right\rfloor$, then
 - (a) If $r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $s_A(C_3^r) \geq 2 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m} {r \choose j} + 2 \left(\frac{r}{r-2}\right) + 1$, where j takes odd values.
 - (b) If $r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then $s_A(C_3^r) \ge 2 \sum_{1 \le j \le m} \binom{r}{j} + \binom{r}{\frac{r}{2}} + 1$, where j takes odd values.

It is simple to check that $s_A(C_3) = 4$, and it follows from Theorem 3 in [2] that $s_A(C_3^2) = 5$. Our next result presents both exact values of $s_A(C_3^r)$, and r = 3, 4 as well as estimates for $s_A(C_{3^a}^r)$, r = 3, 4, 5, for all $a \ge 1$.

Theorem 3. Let $A = \{-1, 1\}$. Then

- (i) $s_A(C_3^3) = 9$, $s_A(C_3^4) = 21$, $41 \le s_A(C_3^5) \le 45$
- (ii) $s_A(C^3_{3^a}) = 4 \times 3^a 3$, for all $a \ge 1$
- (iii) $8 \times 3^a 7 \le s_A(C_{3^a}^4) \le 10 \times 3^a 9$, for all $a \ge 1$
- (iv) $16 \times 3^a 15 \le s_A(C_{3^a}^5) \le 22 \times 3^a 21$, for all $a \ge 1$

1. Relations between the invariants η_A , g_A and s_A

We start by proving the following result.

Lemma 1. For $A = \{-1, 1\}$, we have

- (i) $\eta_A(C_3) = 2$, $g_A(C_3) = 3$ and $s_A(C_3) = 4$, and
- (ii) $\eta_A(C_3^r) \ge r+1$ for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The proof of item (i) is very simple and will be omitted. For (ii), the proof follows from the fact that the sequence $e_1e_2\cdots e_r$ with $e_j = (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)$, has no A-zero-sum subsequence.

Proposition 1. For $A = \{-1, 1\}$, we have $g_A(C_3^r) = 2\eta_A(C_3^r) - 1$.

Proof. The case r = 1 follows from Lemma 1. Let $S = \prod_{i=1}^{m} g_i$ of length $\mathfrak{m} = \eta_A(C_3^r) - 1$ which does not satisfy the condition (η_A) . In particular S has no A-zero-sum subsequences of length 1 and 2, that is, all elements of S are nonzero and distinct. Now, let S^* be the sequence $\prod_{i=1}^{m} g_i \prod_{i=1}^{m} (-g_i)$. Observe that S^* has only distinct elements, since S has no A-zero-sum subsequences of length 2. It is easy to see that any A-zero-sum of S^* of length 3 is also an A-zero-sum of S, for $A = \{-1, 1\}$. Hence $g_A(C_3^r) \geq 2\eta_A(C_3^r) - 1$.

Let S be a sequence of distinct elements and of length $\mathfrak{m} = 2\eta_A(C_3^r) - 1$, and write

$$\mathcal{S} = \prod_{i=1}^{t} g_i \prod_{i=1}^{t} (-g_i) \prod_{i=2t+1}^{\mathfrak{m}} g_i$$

where $g_r \neq -g_s$ for $2t+1 \leq r < s \leq \mathfrak{m}$. If t = 0, then S has no A-zero-sum of length 2, and 0 can appear at most once in S. Let S^* be the subsequence of all nonzero elements of S, hence $|S^*| = 2\eta_A(C_3^r) - 2 > \eta_A(C_3^r)$, for $r \geq 2$ (see Lemma 1(ii)), hence it must contain an A-zero-sum of length 3.

For the case $t \ge 1$, we may assume $g_j \ne 0$, for every $j = 2t + 1, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}$ since otherwise, $g_t + (-g_t) + g_{j_0}$ is A-zero-sum subsequence of length 3. But now, either $t \ge \eta_A(C_3^r)$, so that $\prod_{i=1}^t g_i$ has an A-zero-sum of length 3, or $\mathfrak{m} - t \ge \eta_A(C_3^r)$, so that $\prod_{i=1}^t (-g_i) \prod_{i=2t+1}^{\mathfrak{m}} g_i$ has an A-zero-sum subsequence of length 3.

Here we note that by the definition of these invariants and the proposition above, we have

$$s_A(C_3^r) \ge g_A(C_3^r) = 2\eta_A(C_3^r) - 1.$$
 (1)

Proposition 2. For $A = \{-1, 1\}$, we have $s_A(C_3^r) = g_A(C_3^r)$, for $r \ge 2$.

Proof. From Theorem 3 in [2] we have $s_A(C_3^2) = 5$ and, on the other hand, the sequence (1,0)(0,1)(2,0)(0,2) does not satisfy the condition (g_A) , hence $s_A(C_3^2) = g_A(C_3^2)$ (see (1)). From now on, let us consider $r \geq 3$.

Let S be a sequence of length $\mathfrak{m} = s_A(C_3^r) - 1$ which does not satisfy the condition (s_A) . In particular S does not contain three equal elements, since 3g = 0. If S contains only distinct elements, then it does not satisfy also the condition (g_A) , and then $\mathfrak{m} \leq g_A(C_3^r) - 1$, which implies $s_A(C_3^r) = g_A(C_3^r)$ (see (1)). Hence, let us assume that S has repeated elements and write

$$S = \mathcal{E}^2 \mathcal{F} = \prod_{i=1}^t g_i^2 \prod_{j=2t+1}^m g_j \tag{2}$$

where $g_1, \ldots, g_t, g_{2t+1}, \ldots, g_{\mathfrak{m}}$ are distinct. If for some $1 \leq j \leq \mathfrak{m}$ we have $g_j = 0$, then the subsequence of all nonzero elements of S has length at least equal to $s_A(C_3^r) - 3 \geq 2\eta_A(C_3^r) - 4 \geq \eta_A(C_3^r)$ for $r \geq 3$ (see Lemma 1 (ii)). Then it must have an A-zero-sum of length 2 or 3. And if the A-zero-sum is of length 2, together with $g_j = 0$ we would have an A-zero-sum of length 3 in S, contradicting the assumption that it does not satisfy the condition (s_A) .

Hence let us assume that all elements of S are nonzero. Observe that we can not have g in \mathcal{E} and h in \mathcal{F} (see (2)) such that h = -g, for g+g-h=3g=0, an A-zero-sum of length 3. Therefore the new sequence

$$\mathcal{R} = \prod_{i=1}^{t} g_i \prod_{i=1}^{t} (-g_i) \prod_{i=2t+1}^{\mathfrak{m}} g_i$$

has only distinct elements, length $\mathfrak{m} = s_A(C_3^r) - 1$, and does not satisfy the condition (g_A) . Hence $\mathfrak{m} \leq g_A(C_3^r) - 1$, and this concludes the proof according to (1).

2. Proof of Theorem 1

2.1. The lower bound for $s_A(C_n^r)$

Let e_1, \ldots, e_r be the elements of C_n^r defined as $e_j = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, and for every subset $I \subset \{1, \ldots, r\}$, of *odd cardinality*, define $\mathfrak{e}_I = \sum_{i \in I} e_i$ (e.g., taking $I = \{1, 3, r\}$, we have $\mathfrak{e}_I = (1, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0, 1)$), and let \mathscr{I}_m be the collection of all subsets of $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ of cardinality odd and at most equal to m.

There is a natural isomorphism between the cyclic groups $C_n^r \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^r$, and this result here will be proved for $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^r$. Let $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ be the canonical group epimorphism, and define $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}^r \to (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^r$ as $\varphi(a_1, \dots, a_r) = (\phi(a_1), \dots, \phi(a_r))$. If $\mathcal{S} = g_1 \dots g_m$ is a sequence over the group \mathbb{Z}^r , let us denote by $\varphi(\mathcal{S})$ the sequence $\varphi(\mathcal{S}) = \varphi(g_1) \dots \varphi(g_m)$ of same length over the group $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^r$.

Let e_1^*, \ldots, e_r^* be the canonical basis (i.e., $e_j^* = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$) of the group \mathbb{Z}^r , and define, as above

$$\mathfrak{e}_I^* = \sum_{i \in I} e_i^*$$

Now consider the sequence

$$\mathcal{S} = \prod_{I \in \mathscr{I}_r} (\mathfrak{e}_I^*)^{n-1}$$

of length $2^{r-1}(n-1)$. We will prove that the corresponding sequence

$$\varphi(\mathcal{S}) = \prod_{I \in \mathscr{I}_r} \mathfrak{e}_I^{n-1},$$

has no A-zero-sum subsequences of length n, which is equivalent to prove that given $A = \{-1, 1\}$ and any subsequence $\mathcal{R} = g_1 \cdots g_n$ of \mathcal{S} , it is not possible to find $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_s \in A$ such that (with an abuse of notation)

$$\epsilon_1 g_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n g_n \equiv (0, \dots, 0) \pmod{n}. \tag{3}$$

Writing $g_k = (c_1^{(k)}, \ldots, c_r^{(k)})$, for $1 \le k \le n$, it follows from (3) that, for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \epsilon_k c_j^{(k)} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$
(4)

For every $1 \leq j \leq r$, let us define the sets

$$A_j = \{\ell \mid c_j^{(\ell)} = 1\}.$$

Since $c_j^{(\ell)} \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\epsilon_j \in \{-1, 1\}$ for any j and any ℓ , we must have, according to (4), that either

$$|A_j| = n \quad \text{or} \quad |A_j| \quad \text{is even.} \tag{5}$$

Since $g_{\ell} = \mathfrak{e}_{I_{\ell}}$, for some *I*, by the definition we have $\sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{j}^{(\ell)} = |I|$ for all ℓ , then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} |A_j| = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} c_j^{(\ell)} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_j^{(\ell)} = |I_1| + \dots + |I_n|,$$

an odd sum of odd numbers. Hence there exists a j_0 , such that $|A_{j_0}| = n$ (see (5)), but then, it follows from (4) that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \epsilon_k c_{j_0}^{(k)} = n$ and therefore $\epsilon_1 = \cdots = \epsilon_n = 1$. And the important consequence is that we must have $g_1 = \cdots = g_n$, which is impossible since in the sequence S no element appears more than n - 1 times.

Remark 1. If we consider the sequence $\varphi(S) = \prod_{I \in \mathscr{I}_r} \mathfrak{e}_I$, for n = 3, we see that this does not satisfy the condition (η_A) . So $\eta_A(C_3^r) \ge 2^{r-1} + 1$ for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, which is an improvement of the item (ii) of the Lemma 1.

2.2. The upper bound for $s_A(C_n^r)$

Let us consider the set of elements of the group C_n^r as the union $\{0\} \cup G^+ \cup G^-$, where if $g \in G^+$ then $-g \in G^-$. And write the sequence S as

$$\mathcal{S} = 0^m \prod_{g \in G^+} (g^{v_g(\mathcal{S})}(-g)^{v_{-g}(\mathcal{S})}).$$

First observe that if for some g, $v_g(\mathcal{S}) + v_{-g}(\mathcal{S}) \geq n$, then we can find a subsequence $\mathcal{R} = c_1 \cdots c_n$ of \mathcal{S} , which is an A-zero-sum, for $A = \{-1, 1\}$, and any sum of n equal elements is equal to zero in C_n^r . Now consider $m \geq 1$ and $m + v_g(\mathcal{S}) + v_{-g}(\mathcal{S}) > n$, then we can find a subsequence $\mathcal{R} = h_1 \cdots h_t$ of \mathcal{S} of even length $t \geq n - m$ with $h_j \in \{-g, g\}$. Since $A = \{-1, 1\}$, this is an A-zero-sum. Hence, the subsequence $T = 0^{m^*} \mathcal{R}$ $(m^* \leq m)$ of \mathcal{S} is an A-zero-sum of length n.

Thus assume that, for every g in S we have $v_g(S) + v_{-g}(S) \leq n - m$, which gives

$$|\mathcal{S}| \le \begin{cases} m + \frac{n^r - 1}{2}(n - m) & \text{if } m > 0 \text{ even} \\ m - 1 + \frac{n^r - 1}{2}(n - m) & \text{if } m > 0 \text{ odd} \\ \frac{n^r - 1}{2}(n - 1) & \text{if } m = 0, \end{cases}$$

for $|G^+| = \frac{n^r - 1}{2}$. We observe than in the case m even $m + \frac{n^r - 1}{2}(n - m) \le 2 + \frac{n^r - 1}{2}(n - 2) \le 2 + \frac{n^r - 1}{2}(n - 2) + \frac{n^r - 1}{2} - 1$ and the equality only happens when n = 3 and r = 1. In any case, if $|\mathcal{S}| \ge \frac{n^r - 1}{2}(n - 1) + 1$, it has a subsequence of length n which is an A-zero-sum.

Remark 2. For n = 3, the upper bound for $s_A(C_3^r)$ can be improved using the result of Meshulam[12] as follows. According to Proposition 2, $s_A(C_3^r) = g_A(C_3^r)$ for $r \ge 2$, and it follows from the definition that $g_A(C_3^r) \le g(C_3^r)$, where $g(C_3^r)$ is the invariant $g_A(C_3^r)$ with $A = \{1\}$. Now we use the Theorem 1.2 of [12] to obtain $s_A(C_3^r) = g_A(C_3^r) \le g(C_3^r) \le 2 \times 3^r/r$.

3. Proof of Theorem 2

Now we turn our attention to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3. If r > 3 is odd and $A = \{-1, 1\}$ then $\eta_A(C_3^r) \ge 2^{r-1} + \binom{r-1}{\delta}$, where

$$\delta = \delta(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{(r-3)}{2} & \text{if } r \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{(r-5)}{2} & \text{if } r \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$
(6)

Proof. We will prove this proposition by presenting an example of a sequence of length $2^{r-1} + \binom{r-1}{\delta} - 1$ with no A-zero-sum subsequences of length smaller or equal to 3. Let $\ell = \binom{r-1}{\delta}$, and consider the sequence

$$S = \mathcal{E}.\mathcal{G} = \left(\prod_{I \in \mathscr{I}_{r-2}} \mathfrak{e}_I\right) \cdot g_1 \cdots g_\ell,$$

with

$$g_1 = (-1, \underbrace{-1, \ldots, -1}_{\delta}, 1, 1, \ldots, 1)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$g_{\ell} = (-1, 1, \ldots, 1, \underbrace{-1, \ldots, -1}_{\delta}),$$

where \mathfrak{e}_I and \mathscr{I}_{r-2} are defined in the beginning of section 2. Clearly \mathcal{S} has no A-zero-sum subsequences of length 1 or 2 and also sum or difference of two elements of \mathcal{G} will never give another element of \mathcal{G} , for no element of \mathcal{G} has zero as one of its coordinates. Now we will consider $\mathfrak{e}_s - \mathfrak{e}_t$, where \mathfrak{e}_s and \mathfrak{e}_t represent the \mathfrak{e}_I 's for which s coordinates are equal to 1 and t coordinates are equal to 1 respectively. Thus, we see that $\mathfrak{e}_s - \mathfrak{e}_t$ will never be an element of \mathcal{G} since it necessarily has either a zero coordinate or it has an odd number of 1's and -1's (and $\delta + 1$ is even).

Now, if for some s, t we would have

$$\mathfrak{e}_s + \mathfrak{e}_t = g_i,$$

Then $\mathfrak{e}_t, \mathfrak{e}_s$ would have $\delta + 1$ nonzero coordinates at the same positions (to obtain $\delta + 1$ coordinates -1's). Hence we would need to have

$$r + (\delta + 1) = s + t$$

Which is impossible since s + t is even and $r + (\delta + 1)$ is odd, for δ is odd in any of the two cases.

Thus, the only possible A-zero-sum subsequence of length 3 would necessarily include one element of \mathcal{E} and two elements of \mathcal{G} .

Let v, w be elements of \mathcal{G} . Now it simple to verify that (the calculations are modulo 3) either v + w or v - w have two of their entries with opposite signs (for $\delta(r) < (r-1)/2$) and hence either of them can not be added to an $\pm \mathfrak{e}_I$ to obtain an A-zero-sum, since all its nonzero entries have the same sign.

Proposition 4. Let r > 4 be even, $m = \left\lfloor \frac{3r-4}{4} \right\rfloor$ and $A = \{-1, 1\}$. Then

$$\eta_A(C_3^r) \ge \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j \text{ odd}}}^m \binom{r}{j} + \ell(r) + 1,$$

where

$$\ell(r) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{r}{r-2}\right) & \text{if } r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ \left(\frac{r}{\frac{r}{2}}\right)/2 & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Consider the sequence $\mathcal{K} = g_1 \cdots g_{\tau}$ with

$$g_1 = (\underbrace{-1, \dots, -1}_{\delta}, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$g_{\tau} = (1, 1, \dots, 1, \underbrace{-1, \dots, -1}_{\delta})$$

where

$$\tau = \begin{cases} \ell(r) & \text{if } r \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ 2\ell(r) & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \text{ and } \delta = \begin{cases} \frac{r-2}{2} & \text{if } r \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{r}{2} & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

and rearrange the elements of the sequence \mathcal{K} , and write it as

$$\mathcal{K} = \prod_{i=1}^{\tau/2} g_i \prod_{i=1}^{\tau/2} (-g_i) = \mathcal{K}^+ \mathcal{K}^-.$$

It is simple to observe that if $r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $\tau = \ell$ and $\mathcal{K}^- = \emptyset$.

Now define the sequence

$$\mathcal{S} = \left(\prod_{I \in \mathscr{I}_m} \mathfrak{e}_I\right) \mathcal{G},$$

where $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{K}$ if $r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ or $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{K}^+$ if $r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and $m = \left\lfloor \frac{3r-4}{4} \right\rfloor$, a sequence of length $|\mathcal{S}| = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \text{ odd}}}^m \binom{r}{j} + \ell(r) + 1$.

The first important observation is that S has no A-zero-sum subsequences of length 1 or 2. And also sum or difference of two elements of \mathcal{G} will never be another element of \mathcal{G} , for it necessarily will have a zero as coordinate. Also $\mathfrak{e}_I - \mathfrak{e}_J$ will never be an element of \mathcal{G} since it necessarily has either a zero coordinate or it has an odd number of 1's and -1's (and δ is even). Now, if for some s, t (both defined as in the proof of the Proposition 3) we would have

$$\mathfrak{e}_s + \mathfrak{e}_t = \pm g_j$$
, for some j

then $\mathfrak{e}_t, \mathfrak{e}_s$ would necessarily have δ nonzero coordinates at the same positions (to obtain δ coordinates -1's). But then

$$s+t=r+\delta \ge rac{3r-2}{2}, \ \ {
m for \ any \ value \ of \ } \delta$$

which is impossible since

$$s+t \le 2m \le \frac{3r-4}{2}.$$

Thus the only A-zero-sum subsequence of length 3 possible necessarily includes an element \mathfrak{e}_t and two elements of \mathcal{G} .

Let v, w elements of \mathcal{G} . First, observe that if they do not have -1's in common positions, then v + w has an even amount of zeros and an even amount of -1's (since r and δ are both even), i.e., $v + w \neq \pm \mathfrak{e}_I$. If we make v - w also have an even amount of nonzero coordinates, i.e., we haven't $\pm \mathfrak{e}_I$. Now, assuming that v, w have at last a -1 in same position, it simple to verify that (the calculations are modulo 3) either v + w or v - w have two or more of their entries with opposite signs and hence either of them can not be added to an $\pm \mathfrak{e}_I$ to obtain an A-zero-sum, since all its nonzero entries have the same sign. \Box

Theorem 2 now follows from propositions 1, 2, 3 and 4.

4. Proof of Theorem 3

We start by proving the following proposition.

Proposition 5. *For* $A = \{-1, 1\}$ *, we have*

- (i) $\eta_A(C_3^2) = 3;$
- (ii) $\eta_A(C_3^3) = 5;$
- (iii) $\eta_A(C_3^4) = 11;$
- (iv) $21 \le \eta_A(C_3^5) \le 23$.

Proof. By Propositions 1 and 2, we have that $s_A(C_3^r) = g_A(C_3^r) = 2\eta_A(C_3^r) - 1$, for r > 1, and by definition, we have $g_A(C_3^r) \le g(C_3^r)$ resulting in $\eta_A(C_3^r) \le \frac{g(C_3^r)+1}{2}$, for r > 1. It follows from

$$g(C_3^2) = 5$$
 ([10]), $g(C_3^3) = 10$, $g(C_3^4) = 21$ ([11]), $g(C_3^5) = 46$ ([5]),

that $\eta_A(C_3^2) \leq 3$, $\eta_A(C_3^3) \leq 5$, $\eta_A(C_3^4) \leq 11$ and $\eta_A(C_3^5) \leq 23$. It is easy to see that the sequences (1,0)(0,1) and (1,0,0)(0,1,0)(0,0,1)(1,1,1) has no A-zero-sum of length at most three, so $\eta_A(C_3^2) = 3$ and $\eta_A(C_3^3) = 5$. It is also simple to check that following sequences of lengths 10 and 20 respectively do not satisfy the condition (η_A) :

$$\begin{array}{c} (1,1,0,0) \cdots (0,0,1,1)(1,1,1,0) \cdots (0,1,1,1) \\ \text{and} \\ (1,1,0,0,0) \cdots (0,0,0,1,1)(1,1,1,0,0) \cdots (0,0,1,1,1), \end{array}$$

$$(7)$$

hence $\eta_A(C_3^4) = 11$ and $\eta_A(C_3^5) \ge 21$.

Proposition 5 together with propositions 1 and 2 gives the proof of item (i) of Theorem 3. The proof of the remaining three items is given in Proposition 7 below.

Before going further, we need a slight modification of a result due to Gao *et al* for $A = \{1\}$ in [4]. Here we shall use it in the case $A = \{-1, 1\}$. The proof in this case is analogous to the original one, and shall be omit it.

Proposition 6. Let G be a finite abelian group, $A = \{-1, 1\}$ and $H \leq G$. Let S be a sequence in G of length

$$\mathfrak{m} \ge (s_A(H) - 1) \exp(G/H) + s_A(G/H).$$

Then S has an A-zero-sum subsequence of length $\exp(H) \exp(G/H)$. In particular, if $\exp(G) = \exp(H) \exp(G/H)$, then

$$s_A(G) \le (s_A(H) - 1) \exp(G/H) + s_A(G/H).$$

Proposition 7. For $A = \{-1, 1\}$, we have

- (i) $s_A(C^3_{3^a}) = 4 \times 3^a 3$, for all $a \ge 1$;
- (ii) $8 \times 3^a 7 \le s_A(C_{3^a}^4) \le 10 \times 3^a 9$, for all $a \ge 1$;
- (iii) $16 \times 3^a 15 \le s_A(C_{3^a}^5) \le 22 \times 3^a 21$, for all $a \ge 1$.

Proof. It follows of (i) from Theorem 3 that $s_A(C_3^3) = 4 \times 3 - 3 = 9$. Now assume that $s_A(C_{3^{a-1}}) = 4 \cdot 3^{a-1} - 3$. Thus, Proposition 6 yields

$$s_A(C_{3^a}^3) \leq 3 \times (s_A(C_{3^{a-1}}^3) - 1) + s_A(C_3^3) \\ \leq 4 \times 3^a - 3.$$

On the other hand, Theorem 1 gives $s_A(C_{3^a}^3) \ge 4 \times 3^a - 3$, concluding the proof of (i).

Again by (i) from Theorem 3, we have that $s_A(C_3^4) = 10 \times 3 - 9 = 21$. Now, assume that $s_A(C_{3^{a-1}}^4) \leq 10 \cdot 3^{a-1} - 9$. It follows from Proposition 6 that

$$s_A(C_{3^a}^4) \leq 3 \times (s_A(C_{3^{a-1}}^4) - 1) + s_A(C_3^4) \\ \leq 10 \times 3^a - 9.$$

On the other hand, Theorem 1 gives the lower bound $s_A(C_{3^a}) \ge 8 \times 3^a - 7$, concluding the proof of (ii). The proof of item (iii) is analogous to the proof of item (ii), again using (i) of the Theorem 3 and Theorem 1. \Box

References

- S. D. Adhikari, Y. G. Chen, J. B. Friedlander, S. V. Konyagin, F. Pappalardi. Contributions to zero-sum problems. Discrete Math., 306:1-10, 2006.
- [2] S. D. Adhikari, R. Balasubramanian, F. Pappalardi, P. Rath. Some zero-sum constants with weights. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.), 128 (2):183-188, 2008.
- [3] S. D. Adhikari, D. J. Grynkiewicz, Zhi-Wei Sun. On weighted zero-sum sequences. arXiv:1003.2186v1 [math.CO] 10 Mar 2010.
- [4] R. Chi, S. Ding, W. Gao, A. Geroldinger, W. A. Schmid. On zero-sum subsequence of restricted size. IV. Acta Math. Hungar., 107(4):337-344, 2005.
- [5] Y. Edel, S. Ferret, I. Landjev, L. Storme. The classification of the largest caps in AG(5,3). J. Comb. Theory, 99:95-110, 2002.
- [6] P. Erdös, A. Ginzburg and A. Ziv. Theorem in the additive number theory. Bulletim Research Council Israel 10F, 41-43, 1961.
- [7] W. Gao, A. Geroldinger. Zero-sum problem in finite abelian groups: A survey. Expo. Math., 24(6): 337-369, 2006.
- [8] D. J. Grynkiewicz. A weighted Erdös-Ginzburg-Ziv theorem. Combinatorica 26, no. 4, 445–453, 2006.
- [9] H. Harborth. Ein Extremal Problem f
 ür Gitterpunkte. J. Reine Angew. Math., 262: 356-360, 1973.
- [10] A. Kemnitz. On a lattice point problem. Ars Combinatoria, 16: 151-160, 1983.
- [11] D. E. Knuth, *Computerprogramme*, http://www-cs-faculty.stanford.edu/~knuth/programs/setset-all.w.
- [12] R. Meshulam. On subsets of finite abelian groups with no 3-term arithmetic progressions. J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A, 71: 168-172, 1995.
- [13] R. Thangadurai. A variant of Davenport's constant. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.), 117: 147-158, 2007.

CONTACT INFORMATION

H. Godinho,	Departamento de Matemática, Universidade de
D. Marques	Brasília, Brasília-DF, Brazil
	E-Mail: hemar@mat.unb.br,
	diego@mat.unb.br
A. Lemos	Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Viçosa-MG, Brazil
	E-Mail: abiliolemos@ufv.br

Received by the editors: 13.12.2011 and in final form 26.06.2012.