

## A commutative Bezout $PM^*$ domain is an elementary divisor ring

B. Zabavsky, A. Gatalevych

Communicated by V. V. Kirichenko

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that any commutative Bezout  $PM^*$  domain is an elementary divisor ring.

The aim of this paper is to study the question of diagonalizability for matrices over a ring. It is well-known that any elementary divisor domain is a Bezout domain and it is a classical open question to determine whether the converse statement is true?

The notion of an elementary divisor ring was introduced by Kaplansky in [6]. There are a lot of researches that deal with the matrix diagonalization in different cases (the most comprehensive history of these researches can be found in [10]). It is an open question dating back at least to Helmer [5] in 1942 to decide, whether a commutative Bezout domain is always an elementary divisor domain. Helmer showed that not only does the domain of entire functions is an elementary divisor domain, it also has a property which he labeled adequate. Henriksen [4] appears to be the first person to have given an example to show that being adequate is a stronger property than that of being an elementary divisor ring. In proving this, Henriksen observed that in an adequate domain each nonzero prime ideal is contained in a unique maximal ideal [4]. It is a natural question to ask whether or not the converse holds and this question is explicitly raised in [7]. The negative answer to this question is given in [1]. Furthermore, it is shown that there exists an elementary divisor ring

---

**2010 MSC:** 13F99.

**Key words and phrases:** Bezout domain, PM-ring, clean element, neat element, elementary divisor ring, stable range 1, neat range 1.

which is not adequate but which does have the property that each nonzero prime ideal is contained in a unique maximal ideal. In this paper we show that a commutative Bezout domain in which each nonzero prime ideal is contained in a unique maximal ideal is an elementary divisor ring. Note that these results are responses to open questions work [12, Questions 10, Problem 6].

We introduce the necessary definitions and facts.

All rings considered will be commutative and have identity. A ring is a *Bezout ring*, if every its finitely generated ideal is principal. A ring  $R$  is an *elementary divisor ring* if every matrix  $A$  (not necessarily square one) over  $R$  admits diagonal reduction, that is, there exist invertible square matrices  $P$  and  $Q$  such that  $PAQ$  is a diagonal matrix, say  $(d_{ij})$ , for which  $d_{ii}$  is a divisor of  $d_{i+1,i+1}$  for each  $i$ . A ring  $R$  to be *right Hermite* if every  $1 \times 2$  matrix over  $R$  admits diagonal reduction. Any Hermite ring is a Bezout ring. For domains, the notions of Hermite and Bezout ring are equivalent. Gillman and Henriksen showed that any commutative ring  $R$  is an Hermite ring if and only if for all  $a, b \in R$  there exist  $a_1, b_1, d \in R$  such that  $a = a_1d$ ,  $b = b_1d$  and  $a_1R + b_1R = R$  [10]. Furthermore, they proved the following result, which we state formally.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative Bezout ring.  $R$  is an elementary divisor ring if and only if  $R$  is an Hermite ring that satisfies the extra condition that for all  $a, b, c \in R$  with  $aR + bR + cR = R$  there exist  $p, q \in R$  such that  $paR + (pb + qc)R = R$ .*

**Definition 1.** Let  $R$  be a commutative Bezout domain. A nonzero element  $a$  in  $R$  is called an adequate element if for every  $b \in R$  there exist  $r, s \in R$  such that  $a = rs$ ,  $rR + bR = R$ , and if  $s'$  is a non-unit divisor of  $s$ , then  $s'R + bR \neq R$ . If every nonzero element of the ring  $R$  is adequate, then  $R$  is called an adequate ring [5, 10].

**Definition 2.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. An element  $a \in R$  is called a clean element if  $a$  can be written as the sum of a unit and an idempotent. If every element of  $R$  is clean, then we say that  $R$  is a clean ring [8, 9].

Any clean ring is a Gelfand ring. Recall that a ring  $R$  is called a *Gelfand ring* if for every  $a, b \in R$  such that  $a + b = 1$  there are  $r, s \in R$  such that  $(1 + ar)(1 + bs) = 0$ . A ring  $R$  is called a *PM-ring* if each prime ideal is contained in a unique maximal ideal. It had been asserted that a commutative ring is a Gelfand ring if and only if it is a PM-ring [2, 3]. A ring  $R$  is called a *PM\*-ring* if each nonzero prime ideal is contained in a

unique maximal ideal [9]. A ring  $R$  is said to be a *ring of stable range 1*, if for any  $a, b \in R$  such that  $aR + bR = R$  there exist  $t \in R$  such that  $(a + bt)R = R$ .

**Definition 3.** An element  $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$  of a commutative ring  $R$  is called a PM-element if the factor ring  $R/aR$  is a PM-ring.

**Proposition 2.** For a commutative ring  $R$  the following are equivalent:

- 1)  $a \in R$  is a PM-element;
- 2) for each prime ideal  $P$  such that  $a \in P$  there exists a unique maximal ideal  $M$  such that  $P \subset M$ .

*Proof.* This is obvious, since  $\bar{P}$  is a prime ideal of  $R/aR$  if and only if there exists a prime ideal  $P$  such that  $aR \subset P$  and  $\bar{P} = P/aR$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of Proposition 2 we obtain the following result.

**Proposition 3.** A commutative domain  $R$  is a domain in which each nonzero prime ideal is contained in a unique maximal ideal of  $R$  if and only if every nonzero element of  $R$  is a PM-element.

**Proposition 4.** An element  $a$  of a commutative Bezout domain is a PM-element if and only if, for every elements  $b, c \in R$  such that  $aR + bR + cR = R$ , an element  $a$  can be represented as  $a = rs$ , where  $rR + bR = R$ ,  $sR + cR = R$ .

*Proof.* Denote  $\bar{R} = R/aR$ ,  $\bar{b} = b+aR$ ,  $\bar{c} = c+aR$ . Since  $aR + bR + cR = R$ , we see that  $\bar{b}\bar{R} + \bar{c}\bar{R} = \bar{R}$ . Therefore, if  $a = rs$  where  $rR + bR = R$ ,  $sR + cR = R$ , then  $\bar{b}\bar{R} + \bar{c}\bar{R} = \bar{R}$  and  $\bar{0} = \bar{r}\bar{s}$  where  $\bar{r}\bar{R} + \bar{b}\bar{R} = \bar{R}$ ,  $\bar{s}\bar{R} + \bar{c}\bar{R} = \bar{R}$ . By [2],  $\bar{R}$  is a PM-ring.

If  $\bar{R}$  is a PM-ring then, by [9],  $\bar{0} = \bar{r}\bar{s}$  where  $\bar{r}\bar{R} + \bar{b}\bar{R} = \bar{R}$ ,  $\bar{s}\bar{R} + \bar{c}\bar{R} = \bar{R}$  for arbitrary  $\bar{b}, \bar{c} \in \bar{R}$  such that  $\bar{b}\bar{R} + \bar{c}\bar{R} = \bar{R}$ . Whence we obtain  $aR + bR + cR = R$ . Because  $\bar{0} = 0 + aR = \bar{r}\bar{s}$ , we have  $rs \in aR$ , where  $\bar{r} = r + aR$ ,  $\bar{s} = s + aR$ . Let  $rR + aR = r_1R$ ,  $sR + aR = s_1R$ . From this  $r = r_1r_0$ ,  $a = r_1a_0$ ,  $s = s_1s_2$ ,  $a = s_1a_2$ , where  $r_0R + a_0R = R$ ,  $s_2R + a_2R = R$ . Since  $r_0R + a_0R = R$ , we obtain  $r_0u + a_0v = 1$  for some  $u, v \in R$ . Since  $rs \in aR$ , we see that  $rs = at$  for some  $t \in R$ . Then  $r_1r_0s = r_1a_0t$ , because  $R$  is a domain, and we have  $a_0t = r_0s$ . By the equality,  $r_0u + a_0v = 1$  we have  $sr_0u + sa_0v = s$ ,  $a_0(tu + a_0v) = s$ . Therefore  $a = r_1a_0$ , where  $r_1R + bR + r_1a_0R = R$ . Then  $r_1R + bR = R$ . Since  $a_0(tu + a_0v) = s$  and  $a_0R + cR + aR = R$ , we obtain  $a_0R + cR = R$ . The proposition is proved.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** *A commutative Bezout domain in which each nonzero prime ideal is contained in a unique maximal ideal is an elementary divisor ring.*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a commutative Bezout domain with the property that each nonzero prime ideal is contained in a unique maximal ideal. According to Proposition 4, let  $a, b, c \in R$  be such that  $aR + bR + cR = R$ . According to the restrictions imposed on  $R$ , by Proposition 4, we have  $b = rs$  where  $rR + aR = R$ ,  $sR + cR = R$ . Let  $p \in R$  be such that  $sp + ck = 1$  for some  $k \in R$ . Hence  $rsp + rck = r$  and  $bp + crk = r$ . Denoting  $rk = q$  and we obtain  $(br + cq)R + aR = R$ . Let  $pR + qR = dR$  and  $d = pp_1 + qq_1$  with  $p_1R + q_1R = R$ . Hence  $p_1R + (p_1b + q_1c)R = R$  and, since  $pR \subset p_1R$ , we obtain  $p_1R + cR = R$  and  $p_1R + (p_1b + q_1c)R = R$ .

Since  $bp + cq = d(bp_1 + cq_1)$ , and  $(bp + cq)R + aR = R$  we obtain  $(bp_1 + cq_1)R + aR = R$ . Finally, we have  $ap_1R + (bp_1 + cq_1)R = R$ . By Proposition 1, we obtain that  $R$  is an elementary divisor ring. The theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Note that in order to prove this theorem, it is necessary that only the element  $b \in R$  is a PM-element.

Let  $R$  be a commutative Bezout domain. We denote by  $S = S(R)$  the set of all PM-elements of  $R$ . Since  $1 \in R$ , the set  $S$  is nonempty. Furthermore, we obtain the following result.

**Proposition 5.** *The set  $S(R)$  of all PM-elements of a commutative domain  $R$  is a saturated multiplicatively closed set.*

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in S(R)$ . We show that  $ab \in S(R)$ . Suppose the contrary. Then there exist a prime ideal  $P$  and maximal ideals  $M_1, M_2$  such that  $M_1 \neq M_2$  and  $ab \in P \subset M_1 \cap M_2$ . Since  $ab \in P$ , we obtain that  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$ . It is impossible because  $a \in S(R)$ ,  $b \in S(R)$  and  $P \subset M_1 \cap M_2$ . Therefore  $S(R)$  is a multiplicatively closed set.

Let  $ab \in S(R)$  for some  $a, b \in R$ . If  $a \notin S(R)$  then there exists a prime ideal  $P$  such that  $a \in P$  and  $P \subset M_1 \cap M_2$  for some maximal ideals  $M_1, M_2$  and  $M_1 \neq M_2$ . Therefore,  $ab \in P$  and  $P \subset M_1 \cap M_2$ ,  $M_1 \neq M_2$ . It is impossible because  $ab \in S(R)$ . Hence  $S(R)$  is a saturated multiplicatively closed set. The Proposition is proved.  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a commutative Bezout domain and  $S(R)$  be the set of all PM-elements of  $R$ . Since  $S(R)$  is a saturated multiplicatively closed set, we can consider the localization of  $R$  with denominators from  $S(R)$  i.e. the ring of fractions  $R_S$ . We have:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative elementary divisor domain. Then a ring  $R_S$  is an elementary divisor ring.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $R$  is an elementary divisor ring. We need to show that  $R_S$  is also an elementary divisor ring. Let  $as^{-1}, bs^{-1}, cs^{-1}$  be any elements from  $R_S$  such that

$$as^{-1}R_S + bs^{-1}R_S + cs^{-1}R_S = R_S.$$

Then  $aR + bR + cR = dR$ , for some element  $d \in S(R)$ . Let  $a = a_1d, b = b_1d, c = c_1d$  for some elements  $a_1, b_1, c_1 \in R$  such that  $a_1R + b_1R + c_1R = R$ . Since  $R$  is an elementary divisor ring, there are elements  $u, v, p, q \in R$  such that

$$a_1pu + (b_1p + c_1q)v = 1.$$

Then

$$apR_S + (bp + cq)R_S = R_S.$$

By [6],  $R_S$  is an elementary divisor ring. Theorem is proved. □

Let  $R$  be a commutative Bezout domain and  $S = S(R)$  be the set of all PM-elements of  $R$ . Since  $S(R)$  is a saturated multiplicatively closed set, we can construct by transfinite induction a natural chain

$$\{R^\alpha \mid \alpha \text{ is an ordinal}\}$$

of the saturated multiplicatively closed sets in  $R$  as follows. Let  $R^0 = S(R)$ . Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal greater than zero and assume  $R^\beta$  has been defined and is a saturated multiplicatively closed set in  $R$ , whenever  $\beta < \alpha$  and let  $K_\beta = R_{R_\beta}$ . Then  $K_\beta$  is a commutative Bezout domain (see [10]) and hence  $S(K_\beta)$  is a saturated multiplicatively closed set by Proposition 5.

We define  $R^\alpha$  by  $R^\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} R^\beta$  if  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal and  $R^\alpha = S(K_{\alpha-1}) \cap R$  otherwise. It is obvious that  $R^\alpha$  is a saturated multiplicatively closed set. If  $\alpha, \beta$  are ordinals such that  $\alpha \leq \beta$  then  $R^\alpha \subset R^\beta \subset R$ . Also  $R^\alpha = R^{\alpha+1}$  for some ordinal  $\alpha$ . In case, when  $R^\alpha \neq R^{\alpha+1}$  for each ordinal  $\alpha$ , then

$$\text{card}(R^\alpha) > \text{card}(\alpha).$$

Choosing  $\beta$  such that  $\text{card}(\beta) > \text{card}(R)$  we obtain

$$\text{card}(\beta) > \text{card}(R) > \text{card}(R^\beta),$$

a contradiction. We let  $\alpha_0$  denote the least ordinal such that

$$R^{\alpha_0} = R^{\alpha_0+1}$$

and we call

$$\{R^\alpha \mid 0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_0\}$$

a D-chain in  $R$ . In this situation  $R^{-1}$  will denote the group of units of  $R$ .

By Theorem 2 and the fact that union of elementary divisor rings are an elementary divisor ring and using D-chain of a commutative Bezout domain we can conclude that the problem of being a commutative Bezout domain an elementary divisor ring is reduced to the case of a commutative Bezout domain where PM-elements are the only units, when  $U(R) = S(R)$ .

**Definition 4.** Let  $R$  be a commutative Bezout domain. An element  $a \in R$  is called a neat element if  $R/aR$  is a clean ring.

Obvious examples of neat elements are units of a ring, and adequate elements of a ring [11]. If  $R$  is a commutative Bezout domain and  $a$  is a neat element of  $R$ , then  $R/aR$  is a clean ring [9], that is  $R/aR$  is a PM-ring. Hence we obtain the following result.

**Proposition 6.** *Every neat element of a commutative Bezout domain is a PM-element.*

**Definition 5.** A commutative ring  $R$  is said to be of the neat range 1 if for any  $a, b \in R$  such that  $aR + bR = R$  there exists  $t \in R$  such that for the element  $a + bt = c$  the ring  $R/cR$  is a clean ring [11].

**Theorem 3** ([11]). *A commutative Bezout domain is an elementary divisor ring if and only if  $R$  is a ring of the neat range 1.*

From this we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative Bezout domain and  $U(R) = S(R)$ . Then  $R$  is an elementary divisor ring if and only if stable range of  $R$  is equal to 1.*

*Proof.* Since every neat element is a PM-element and  $U(R) = S(R)$ , then only units in a ring are neat elements. Then by Theorem 3,  $R$  is an elementary divisor ring if and only if  $R$  is a ring of stable range 1. Theorem is proved.  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a commutative Bezout domain and  $a \in R$  is a neat element of  $R$ . By [9] the stable range of  $R/aR$  is equal to 1. Consequently by Theorem 4, we have a next result.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative Bezout domain such that for every nonzero element  $a \in R$  stable range of  $R/aR$  is not equal 1. Then  $R$  is not an elementary divisor ring.*

---

### References

- [1] *J. W. Brewer, P. F. Conrad, P. R. Montgomery.* Lattice-ordered groups and a conjecture for adequate domains, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, 43(1) (1974), pp.31–34. pp.93–108.
- [2] *M. Contessa,* On pm-rings, Comm. Algebra, 10(1) (1982), pp.93–108.
- [3] *G. De Marco, A. Orsatti.* Commutative rings in which every prime ideal is contained in a unique maximal ideal, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, 30(3) (1971), pp.459–466.
- [4] *M. Henriksen,* Some remarks about elementary divisor rings, Michigan Math. J., 3(1955/56) pp.159–163.
- [5] *O. Helmer,* The elementary divisor for certain rings without chain conditions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 49(2)(1943), pp.225–236.
- [6] *I. Kaplansky.* Elementary divisors and modules, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 66(1949), pp. 464–491.
- [7] *M. Larsen, W. Lewis, T. Shores,* Elementary divisor rings and finitely presented modules, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 187(1974) pp.231–248.
- [8] *W. K. Nicholson,* Lifting idempotents and exchange rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 229(1977) pp. 269–278.
- [9] *W. McGovern,* Neat rings, J. of Pure and Appl. Algebra, 205(2)(2006) pp. 243–266.
- [10] *B. V. Zabavsky,* Diagonal reduction of matrices over rings, Mathematical Studies, Monograph Series, v. XVI, Lviv (2012), 251p.
- [11] *B. V. Zabavsky,* Diagonal reduction of matrices over finite stable range, Mat. Stud., 41(1)(2014) pp.101–108.
- [12] *B. V. Zabavsky,* Questions related to the K-theoretical aspect of Bezout rings with various stable range conditions, Mat.Stud. 42(1)(2014), pp. 89–109.

### CONTACT INFORMATION

**B. V. Zabavsky,** Department of Mechanics and Mathematics,  
**A. Gatalevych** Ivan Franko National Univ., Lviv, Ukraine  
*E-Mail(s):* zabavskii@gmail.com,  
gatalevych@ukr.net

Received by the editors: 07.03.2015  
and in final form 13.07.2015.