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Abstract. The length of the lattice of subsemigroups of the inverse symmetric semigroup $\mathcal{I S}_{n}$ is calculated.

## 1. Introduction

In the theory of inverse semigroups the inverse symmetric semigroup $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{S}(M)$ plays a role similar to the role of the symmetric group in group theory. Especially interesting is the case $|M|=n<\infty$, since apart from specific semigroup problems, a lot of combinatorial problems arise there. A great number of papers, and even specialized monographs (see [1], [2] and literature cited there) are dedicated to the study of the semigroup $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{S}_{n}$.

An essential question in the study of any semigroup is about the structure of the lattice of its subsemigroups. Although such lattices were studied actively (see e.g., [3]), not very much is known about the structure of lattices of subsemigroups of particular semigroups. The main reason is that lattices of subsemigroups have quite a complex structure. Even for monogenic semigroups this question is nontrivial. For groups, it is hard too: the paper [4] uses the classification of finite simple groups to calculate the length of the lattice of subgroups of the symmetric group $S_{n}$.

In the case of $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{S}_{n}$ a little is known as well. Though the lattice of two-sided ideals of $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{S}_{n}$ is quite simple (a chain of length $n$ ), the structure of the lattice of left (right) ideals is considerably more complex (see [2,

[^0]Chapter 4.3]). Clearly, additional difficulties arise when considering the lattice of all subsemigroups.

Recall that the length $l(S)$ of a semigroup $S$ is defined as the length of its lattice of subsemigroups, i.e., the maximal integer $n$, for which there exists a strictly increasing chain of subsemigroups

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varnothing \neq H_{0} \subset H_{1} \subset H_{2} \subset \cdots \subset H_{n}=S \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The objective of the present paper is the calculation of the length of the inverse symmetric semigroup $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{S}_{n}$ (Theorem 8). As an auxiliary result, a description of maximal subsemigroups of a finite Brandt semigroup is obtained (Theorem 6).

In this paper only finite semigroups and groups are considered.

## 2. The main Lemma

Lemma 1. For every ideal I of a finite semigroup $S$ the following equality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(S)=l(I)+l(S / I) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S / I$ denotes the Rees quotient modulo the ideal I.
Proof. Let $S$ be a finite semigroup and $I$ an ideal of $S$. Assume that (1) gives a longest chain of subsemigroups in $S$. First we prove that for every extension $H_{k} \subset H_{k+1}$ the set $H_{k+1} \backslash H_{k}$ either is a subset of the ideal $I$ or is disjoint with it. Indeed, if this is not the case, we would have the strict inclusions

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{k} \subset H_{k} \cup\left(H_{k+1} \cap I\right) \subset H_{k+1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subsemigroup $H_{k+1} \cap I$ is an ideal of $H_{k+1}$; therefore, the set $H_{k} \cup$ $\left(H_{k+1} \cap I\right)$, being a union of an ideal and a subsemigroup, would be a subsemigroup too. Then (3) would contradict the maximality of chain (1).

Extend chain (1) by the empty subsemigroup $H_{-1}=\varnothing$ and transform the chain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{-1}=\varnothing \subset H_{0} \subset H_{1} \subset H_{2} \subset \cdots \subset H_{n}=S \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the following way: if this chain contains a fragment $H_{k-1} \subset H_{k} \subset H_{k+1}$, where $H_{k} \backslash H_{k-1} \nsubseteq I$ and $H_{k+1} \backslash H_{k} \subseteq I$, replace it by the fragment

$$
H_{k-1} \subset H_{k-1} \cup\left(H_{k+1} \cap I\right) \subset H_{k+1}
$$

(strictness of the inclusions is obvious, and, similarly to the above, one shows that the set $H_{k-1} \cup\left(H_{k+1} \cap I\right)$ is a subsemigroup). By a finite number of such transformations we get the chain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{-1}=\varnothing \subset H_{0}^{\prime} \subset H_{1}^{\prime} \subset H_{2}^{\prime} \subset \cdots \subset H_{n-1}^{\prime} \subset H_{n}=S \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which all extensions by the elements of ideal $I$ come first, followed by extensions by elements of $S \backslash I$. From this property and maximality of chain (5) it follows that for some $m$ equality $H_{m}^{\prime}=I$ must hold. Thus, from (5) we get the chain

$$
\varnothing \subset H_{0}^{\prime} \subset H_{1}^{\prime} \subset H_{2}^{\prime} \subset \cdots \subset H_{m}^{\prime}
$$

of subsemigroups of $I$ and the chain

$$
0=I / I=H_{m}^{\prime} / I \subset H_{m+1}^{\prime} / I \subset \cdots \subset H_{n-1}^{\prime} / I \subset H_{n} / I=S / I
$$

of subsemigroups of $S / I$. From the definition of Rees quotient it follows that in the last chain all extensions are strict too. This gives us the inequality $l(S) \leq l(I)+l(S / I)$.

The opposite inequality follows from the following fact: if

$$
\varnothing \neq H_{0} \subset H_{1} \subset \cdots \subset H_{p}=I \quad \text { and } \quad \varnothing \neq K_{0} \subset K_{1} \subset \cdots \subset K_{q}=S / I
$$

are chains of subsemigroups for $I$ and $S / I$ correspondingly, and $\pi: S \rightarrow$ $S / I$ is the canonical epimorphism, then

$$
\varnothing \neq H_{0} \subset H_{1} \subset \cdots \subset H_{p} \subseteq \pi^{-1}\left(K_{0}\right) \subset \pi^{-1}\left(K_{1}\right) \subset \cdots \subset \pi^{-1}\left(K_{q}\right)=S
$$

is a chain of subsemigroups for $S$.

## 3. The length of the Brandt semigroup

Recall that the Brandt semigroup $B(n, G)$ over a group $G$ is the semigroup of all matrices of dimension $n$, all entries of which are zero, except for at most one entry which is supposed to be an element of $G$. The matrix, in which an element $g \in G$ is in $k$-th row and $l$-th column, is denoted by $(g)_{k l}$. The zero matrix is the zero in $B(n, G)$ and the multiplication of nonzero elements from $B(n, G)$ is the usual matrix multiplication: $(g)_{k l} \cdot(h)_{p q}$ is equal to $(g h)_{k q}$ if $l=p$, and to 0 otherwise.

We will use the following notation: $e$ is the unit of the group $G$; for an arbitrary subset $H \subseteq G$ denote by $(H)_{k l}$ the set $\left\{(h)_{k l} \mid h \in H\right\}$; for an arbitrary subset $S \subseteq B(n, G)$ denote $S_{k l}=S \cap(G)_{k l}$.

For an arbitrary subgroup $H \leq G$ and elements $g_{1}=e, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}$ of $G$ define $S\left(H ; g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(H ; g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=\left(\bigcup_{k, l}\left(g_{k}^{-1} H g_{l}\right)_{k l}\right) \cup\{0\} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2. For an arbitrary subgroup $H \leq G$ and elements $g_{1}=e, g_{2}$, $\ldots, g_{n}$ of $G$ the set $S\left(H ; g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ is a subsemigroup of the semigroup $B(n, G)$.

Proof. That $S\left(H ; g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ is closed under multiplication is verified by a direct calculation.

Lemma 3. Let $S$ be a subsemigroup of $B(n, G)$ such that for every $k$ and $l S \cap(G)_{k l} \neq \varnothing$. Then $S$ is equal to $S\left(H ; g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ for some $g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}$.

Proof. Let $S$ be a subsemigroup of $B(n, G)$ such that $S_{k l} \neq \varnothing$ for arbitrary $k$ and $l$. In every $S_{k l}$ choose an element $s_{k l}=\left(g_{k l}\right)_{k l}$. Obviously, $S_{11}$ is a subgroup in $B(n, G)$; thus, $S_{11}$ is equal to $(H)_{11}$, where $H$ is some subgroup of $G$. In particular, we can take $g_{11}=e$. From the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{l k} \cdot S_{k k} \cdot s_{k l} \subseteq S_{l l}, \quad S_{k k} \cdot s_{k l} \subseteq S_{k l}, \quad S_{k l} \cdot s_{l k} \subseteq S_{k k} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that all of the sets $S_{k l}$ are equinumerous. Hence, inclusions (7) are equalities.

Since $s_{1 k} s_{k 1} \in S_{11}$, then $g_{1 k} g_{k 1} \in H$. From the equality $S_{k 1}=s_{k 1} \cdot S_{11}$ it follows that, replacing $g_{k 1}$ by $g_{k 1} \cdot\left(g_{1 k} g_{k 1}\right)^{-1}$, we can assume $g_{k 1}=g_{1 k}^{-1}$. Then, from the equality $S_{k l}=s_{k 1} \cdot S_{11} \cdot s_{1 l}$ it follows that $S_{k l}=\left(g_{1 k}^{-1} H g_{1 l}\right)_{k l}$ and the subsemigroup $S$ is equal to $S\left(H ; g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$, where $g_{i}=g_{1 i}$, $2 \leq i \leq n$.

Corollary 4. The semigroup $S\left(H ; g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $B(n, H)$.

Proof. The map $\left(g_{k}^{-1} h g_{l}\right)_{k l} \mapsto(h)_{k l}$ is an isomorphism between $S\left(H ; g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ and $B(n, H)$.

For arbitrary subsets $K, L \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(K, L)=B(n, G) \backslash \bigcup_{i \in K, j \in L}(G)_{i j} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5. If sets $K$ and $L$ form a covering of the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, then $S(K, L)$ is a subsemigroup of the semigroup $B(n, G)$.

Proof. If $S(K, L)$ is not a subsemigroup, then there exist non-zero elements $(g)_{p q},(h)_{r t} \in S(K, L)$ such that $(g)_{p q} \cdot(h)_{r t} \notin S(K, L)$. But then $p \in K$, $q \notin L, t \in L, r \notin K$ and $q=r$, which is impossible.

Theorem 6. Let $n>1$ and $G$ be a finite group. A subsemigroup $S$ of a Brandt semigroup $B(n, G)$ is maximal if and only if either it is equal to the subsemigroup $S\left(H ; g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$, where $H$ is a maximal subgroup of the group $G$, or to the subsemigroup $S(K, L)$, where sets $K$ and $L$ form a partition of the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.

Proof. Let $S$ be a subsemigroup of $B(n, G)$. There are two possible cases.
I. For arbitrary $k$ and $l$ we have $S_{k l} \neq \varnothing$. Then, by Lemma $3, S$ is equal to some $S\left(H ; g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$. From the statement that all sets $S_{k l}$ are equinumerous it follows that $S\left(H ; g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ is a maximal subsemigroup of $B(n, G)$ if and only if $H$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$.
II. There exist $k$ and $l$ such that $S_{k l}=\varnothing$. Since from $S_{i j} \neq \varnothing$ and $S_{j i} \neq \varnothing$ it follows that $S_{i i} \supseteq S_{i j} \cdot S_{j i} \neq \varnothing$, we can assume that $k \neq l$. Denote

$$
K=\left\{p \mid S_{k p} \neq \varnothing\right\} \cup\{k\}, \quad L=\left\{q \mid S_{q l} \neq \varnothing\right\} \cup\{l\}
$$

Sets $K$ and $L$ do not intersect. Indeed, if $i \in K \cap L$, then for arbitrary $(g)_{k i} \in S_{k i}$ and $(h)_{i l} \in S_{i l}$ we have $(g h)_{k l} \in S_{k l}$, which contradicts to the condition $S_{k l}=\varnothing$.

Note, that for arbitrary $i \in K, j \in L$ we have $S_{i j}=\varnothing$. Indeed, for $S_{k j}$ and $S_{i l}$ this follows from the definition of the sets $K$ and $L$. If $i \in K \backslash\{k\}$, $j \in L \backslash\{l\}$, this follows from the inclusion $S_{k i} \cdot S_{i j} \cdot S_{j l} \subseteq S_{k l}$.

Show that if $S$ is a maximal subsemigroup, then $K \cup L=\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Indeed, let $m \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \backslash(K \cup L)$. Assume that there exists $p \in K$ such that $S_{p m} \neq \varnothing$. Then $S$ is a proper subset of the subsemigroup $S_{1}=\left\langle S,(G)_{k m}\right\rangle$. On the other hand, from the inclusion $S_{p m} \cdot S_{m q} \subseteq S_{p q}$ it follows that $S_{m q}=\varnothing$ for all $q \in L$. Thus, $S_{1}$ does not contain elements of $(G)_{k l}$, i.e. it is a proper subsemigroup of $B(n, G)$. If for all $p \in K$ we have $S_{p m}=\varnothing$, then by the same argument it can be proved that $S$ is a proper subsemigroup of $\left\langle S,(G)_{m l}\right\rangle$.

Therefore, if $S$ is a maximal subsemigroup, then $K$ and $L$ form a partition of the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Since $S$ is a subset of $S(K, L)$, it must be equal to the last one, i.e. $S=S(K, L)$.

It is left to show that, if $K$ and $L$ form a partition, then the subsemigroup $S(K, L)$ is maximal. Indeed, $S(K, L)$ cannot be a subset of any other subsemigroup of this kind. Thus, if $S^{*} \supsetneqq S(K, L)$, then $S_{k l}^{*} \neq \varnothing$ for arbitrary $k$ and $l$, and by Lemma $3, S^{*}$ is equal to some subsemigroup $S\left(H ; g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$. But $S_{11}^{*}=(G)_{11}$, so $H=G$ and $S\left(H ; g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=$ $B(n, G)$.

We denote by $G^{0}$ the group $G$ with a zero adjoint.
Theorem 7. For a finite group $G$

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(B(n, G))=n \cdot l\left(G^{0}\right)+\frac{n(n-1)}{2}|G|+n-1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We use induction on the parameter $n$. Since $B(1, G) \simeq G^{0}$, then for $n=1$ equality ( 9 ) is true.

Now, assume that equality (9) is proved for all semigroups $B(m, G)$, where $m<n$. From Theorem 6 it follows that $l(B(n, G))$ is equal to $1+l(S(K, L))$ for some partition $K \cup L=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, or to $1+$ $l\left(S\left(H ; g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)\right)$ for some maximal subgroup $H<G$.

Let us determine $l(S(K, L))$ for $|K|=p,|L|=q, p+q=n$. To this end, consider three subsets of $S(K, L)$ :

$$
S_{K}=\{0\} \cup \bigcup_{i, j \in K}(G)_{i j}, \quad S_{L}=\{0\} \cup \bigcup_{i, j \in L}(G)_{i j}, \quad I=\{0\} \cup \bigcup_{i \in L, j \in K}(G)_{i j}
$$

It is obvious that each of these subsets is a subsemigroup; in particular, $S_{K} \simeq B(p, G), S_{L} \simeq B(q, G)$, and $I$ is a subsemigroup with zero multiplication. Moreover, $S(K, L)=S_{K} \cup S_{L} \cup I$. It is easy to check that $I$ is an ideal in $S(K, L)$, and the Rees quotient $S(K, L) / I$ is isomorphic to $S_{K} \cup S_{L}$. In turn, in the semigroup $S_{K} \cup S_{L}$ both subsemigroup $S_{K}$ and $S_{L}$ are ideals with the following property: Rees quotient modulo $S_{K}$ is isomorphic to $S_{L}$ and, vice versa, Rees quotient modulo $S_{L}$ is isomorphic to $S_{K}$. Therefore, by Lemma 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(S(K, L))=l(I)+l\left(S_{K} \cup S_{L}\right)=l(I)+l\left(S_{K}\right)+l\left(S_{L}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since for a semigroup $T$ with zero multiplication we have $l(T)=|T|-1$, by the inductive hypothesis we get:

$$
\begin{gathered}
l(S(K, L))=p q|G|+\left(p \cdot l\left(G^{0}\right)+\frac{p(p-1)}{2}|G|+p-1\right)+ \\
+\left(q \cdot l\left(G^{0}\right)+\frac{q(q-1)}{2}|G|+q-1\right)=n \cdot l\left(G^{0}\right)+\frac{n(n-1)}{2}|G|+n-2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

In particular, $l(S(K, L))$ does not depend on the choice of $K$ and $L$.
To complete the proof of the Theorem we need to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(B(n, G))=l(S(K, L))+1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will do this by induction on the order of the group $G$. Equality (11) is obvious if $|G|=1$, because in this case every maximal subsemigroup of $B(n, G)$ is isomorphic to $S(K, L)$. Assume now that (11) holds for all groups of order $t$. Let $t<|G| \leq 2 t$ and $H$ be a maximal subgroup of $G$, then $|H| \leq|G| / 2 \leq t$. Since $S\left(H ; g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \simeq B(n, H)$, then by the inductive hypothesis

$$
l\left(S\left(H ; g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)\right)=n \cdot l\left(H^{0}\right)+\frac{n(n-1)}{2}|H|+n-1
$$

what clearly is less than $l(S(K, L))$. This completes the proof of the equality (11).

## 4. Length of $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{S}_{n}$

Theorem 8. The length of the inverse symmetric semigroup $\mathcal{I S}_{n}$ is equal to

$$
l\left(\mathcal{I} \mathcal{S}_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\binom{n}{k}\left(\left\lceil\frac{3 k}{2}\right\rceil-b(k)+1\right)+\frac{\binom{n}{k}\left(\binom{n}{k}-1\right)}{2} \cdot k!-1\right]
$$

where we denote by $\lceil x\rceil$ the least integer, which is not less than $x$, and by $b(k)$ the number of nonzero digits in the binary expansion of $k$.

Proof. It is known that semigroup $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{S}_{n}$ has $n+1$ ideals [2, Chapter 4], which form the chain

$$
\{0\}=I_{0} \subset I_{1} \subset I_{2} \subset \cdots \subset I_{n}=\mathcal{I} \mathcal{S}_{n}
$$

For every $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, the Rees quotient $I_{k} / I_{k-1}$ is isomorphic to the Brandt semigroup $\left.B\binom{n}{k}, S_{k}\right)$, where $S_{k}$ is the symmetric group of degree $k$. Thus, from Lemma 1 and Theorem 7 it follows that the length of semigroup $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{S}_{n}$ is equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
l\left(\mathcal{I} \mathcal{S}_{n}\right) & =\sum_{k=1}^{n} l\left(I_{k} / I_{k-1}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} l\left(B\left(\binom{n}{k}, S_{k}\right)\right)  \tag{12}\\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[\binom{n}{k} \cdot l\left(S_{k}^{0}\right)+\frac{\binom{n}{k}\left(\binom{n}{k}-1\right)}{2}\left|S_{k}\right|+\binom{n}{k}-1\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

In the paper [4] it is proved that $l\left(S_{k}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{3 k}{2}\right\rceil-b(k)-1$. Therefore, $l\left(S_{k}^{0}\right)=l\left(S_{k}\right)+1=\left\lceil\frac{3 k}{2}\right\rceil-b(k)$. Moreover, $\left|S_{k}\right|=k!$. Putting these values into (12), we get the statement of the Theorem.
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