

## On $\tau$ -closed $n$ -multiply $\omega$ -composition formations with Boolean sublattices

Pavel Zhiznevsky

Communicated by L. A. Shemetkov

**ABSTRACT.** In the universe of finite groups the description of  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations with Boolean sublattices of  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition subformations is obtained.

### Introduction

Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a nonempty nilpotent saturated formation, and let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a nonempty  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation such that  $\mathfrak{F} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  denotes the sublattice of all  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations  $\mathfrak{M}$  such that  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ . In this paper we obtain the description of  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations  $\mathfrak{F}$  such that  $\mathfrak{F} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{H}$  and the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  is Boolean, where  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a nonempty nilpotent saturated formation. This is the solution of the following problem [1]:

**Problem (A.N. Skiba, L.A. Shemetkov).** Describe  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations  $\mathfrak{F} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{H}$  such that the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  is Boolean, where  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a nonempty nilpotent saturated formation.

The solution of that problem in the case, when  $\tau$  is the trivial subgroup functor (i.e.,  $\tau(G) = \{G\}$  for all groups  $G$ ) and  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{N}$  is the formation of all nilpotent groups is obtained in paper [2]. In the other case, when  $\tau$  is the trivial subgroup functor,  $n = 1$  and  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{N}$ , the solution of that problem was given in [3].

---

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 20D10, 20F17.

**Key words and phrases:** finite group, formation,  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation, Boolean lattice, complemented lattice.

### 1. Preliminaries

All groups considered in this paper are finite. We use the terminology of [1, 4, 5, 6]. Here, we recall some definitions and notation.

Let  $\omega$  be a nonempty set of prime numbers. Every function of the form  $f : \omega \cup \{\omega'\} \rightarrow \{\text{formations of groups}\}$  is called an  $\omega$ -composition satellite. Following Doerk and Hawkes [7] we use  $C^p(G)$  to denote the intersection of all centralizers of abelian chief  $p$ -factors of  $G$  (we note that  $C^p(G) = G$  if  $G$  has no such chief factors). If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a set of groups, then we use  $Com^+(\mathfrak{X})$  to denote the class of all abelian simple groups  $A$  such that  $A \simeq H/K$  for some composition factor  $H/K$  of a group  $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ . We write  $Com^+(G)$  for the set  $Com^+(\{G\})$ .

Let  $f$  be an  $\omega$ -composition satellite. Then following [1] we put

$$CF_\omega(f) = \{G \mid G/R_\omega(G) \in f(\omega') \text{ and } G/C^p(G) \in f(p) \text{ for all } p \in \pi(Com(G)) \cap \omega\}.$$

Here  $R_\omega(G)$  denotes the largest normal soluble  $\omega$ -subgroup of  $G$ . If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a formation such that  $\mathfrak{F} = CF_\omega(f)$  for some  $\omega$ -composition satellite  $f$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called an  $\omega$ -composition formation, and  $f$  is its an  $\omega$ -composition satellite.

Every group formation is considered as 0-multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation. For  $n \geq 1$ , a formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation, if  $\mathfrak{F} = CF_\omega(f)$ , where all values of an  $\omega$ -composition satellite  $f$  are  $(n - 1)$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations.

Let  $\tau$  be a functor such that for any group  $G$ ,  $\tau(G)$  is a set of subgroups of  $G$ , and  $G \in \tau(G)$ . Following [5] we say that  $\tau$  is a subgroup functor if for every epimorphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  and any groups  $H \in \tau(A)$  and  $T \in \tau(B)$  we have  $H^\varphi \in \tau(B)$  and  $T^{\varphi^{-1}} \in \tau(A)$ . A group  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called  $\tau$ -closed if  $\tau(G) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  for all  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ . The set of all  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations is denoted by  $c_{\omega_n}^\tau$ . A satellite  $f$  is called a  $c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau$ -valued  $\omega$ -composition satellite if all values of  $f$  belong to  $c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau$ .

A  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called  $\mathfrak{H}_{\omega_n}^\tau$ -critical (or a minimal  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition non- $\mathfrak{H}$ -formation) if  $\mathfrak{F} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{H}$  but all proper  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$  are contained in  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a set of groups. Then  $c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form}\mathfrak{X}$  is the  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation generated by  $\mathfrak{X}$ , i.e.,  $c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form}\mathfrak{X}$  is the intersection of all  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation containing  $\mathfrak{X}$ . If  $\mathfrak{X} = \{G\}$ , then  $c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form}\mathfrak{X} = c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form}G$  is called an one-generated  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation.

For any set  $\{\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I\}$  of  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations, we put

$$\bigvee_{\omega_n}^\tau (\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I) = c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form} \left( \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{F}_i \right).$$

In particular,  $\mathfrak{M} \bigvee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{H} = c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form} (\mathfrak{M} \cup \mathfrak{H})$ .

A lattice is called modular if and only if its elements satisfy the modular identity: if  $x \leq z$ , then  $x \vee (y \wedge z) = (x \vee y) \wedge z$ .

A lattice is called distributive if and only if it satisfies the following:

*L1.*  $(x \wedge y) \vee (y \wedge z) \vee (z \wedge x) = (x \vee y) \wedge (y \wedge z) \wedge (z \wedge x)$ ,

*L2.*  $x \wedge (y \vee z) = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)$ ,

*L3.*  $x \vee (y \wedge z) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z)$ .

An element which covers 0 in a partly ordered set  $P$  (i.e., a minimal element in the subset of  $P$  obtained by excluding 0) is called an atom.

By a complement of an element  $x$  of a lattice  $L$  with 0 and 1 is meant an element  $y \in L$  such that  $x \wedge y = 0$  and  $x \vee y = 1$ ;  $L$  is called complemented if all its elements have complements.

In the paper we consider only subgroup functors  $\tau$  such that the set  $\tau(G)$  is contained in the set of all subnormal subgroups of  $G$ , for any group  $G$ .

**Lemma 1** ([8], Theorem 2). *The lattice  $c_{\omega_n}^\tau$  of all  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations is modular for any non-negative number  $n$ .*

**Lemma 2** ([6, p. 65]). *Any sublattice of a modular lattice is modular.*

**Lemma 3** ([6, p. 73], Theorem 6). *Let  $L$  be any modular lattice, and let  $u$  and  $v$  be any two elements of  $L$ . Then the correspondences  $x \rightarrow u \vee x$  and  $y \rightarrow v \wedge y$  are inverse isomorphisms between  $[u \wedge v, v]$  and  $[u, u \vee v]$ . Moreover, they carry quotients in these intervals into transposed quotients.*

**Lemma 4** ([9], Theorem 2). *Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a nonempty nilpotent saturated formation, and let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation such that  $\mathfrak{F} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{H}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). Then  $\mathfrak{H}_{\omega_n}^\tau$ -defect of the formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is equal 1 if and only if  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{M} \bigvee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{K}$ , where  $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ ,  $\mathfrak{K}$  is a minimal  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition non- $\mathfrak{H}$ -formation, besides:*

1) *if  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition subformation  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$ , such that  $\mathfrak{F}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{M} \bigvee_{\omega_n}^\tau (\mathfrak{K} \cap \mathfrak{H})$ ;*

2) *if  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition subformation  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$ , such that  $\mathfrak{F}_1 \not\subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = \mathfrak{K} \bigvee_{\omega_n}^\tau (\mathfrak{F}_1 \cap \mathfrak{H})$ .*

**Lemma 5** ([9], Lemma 17). *Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a nonempty nilpotent saturated formation,  $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ , and let  $\Omega = \{\mathfrak{K}_i \mid i \in I\}$  be some set of minimal  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition non- $\mathfrak{H}$ -formations. If  $\mathfrak{K}$  is some  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition non- $\mathfrak{H}$ -formation of  $\mathfrak{M} \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} (\vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{K}_i \mid i \in I)$ , then  $\mathfrak{K} \in \Omega$ .*

**Lemma 6** ([9], Theorem 4). *Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a nonempty nilpotent saturated formation, and let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be an one-generated  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation such that  $\mathfrak{F} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{H}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). If  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  is a complemented lattice and  $\mathfrak{M}$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$ , then*

$$\mathfrak{M} = \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} ((\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{K}_i \mid i \in I),$$

where  $\{\mathfrak{K}_i \mid i \in I\}$  is the set of all minimal  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition non- $\mathfrak{H}$ -subformations of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

**Lemma 7** ([9], Theorem 1). *Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a nonempty nilpotent saturated formation, and let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation such that  $\mathfrak{F} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{H}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  has at least one a minimal  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition non- $\mathfrak{H}$ -subformation.*

**Lemma 8** ([9], Lemma 24). *Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a nonempty nilpotent saturated formation, and let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation such that  $\mathfrak{F} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{H}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). Then  $\mathfrak{M}$  is an atom of the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{K}$ , where  $\mathfrak{K}$  is a minimal  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition non- $\mathfrak{H}$ -subformation of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .*

**Lemma 9** ([10, p. 50], Lemma 9). *The following inequalities hold in any lattice:*

- 1)  $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z) \leq x \wedge (y \vee z)$ ;
- 2)  $x \vee (y \wedge z) \leq (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z)$ ;
- 3)  $(x \wedge y) \vee (y \wedge z) \vee (z \wedge x) \leq (x \vee y) \wedge (y \vee z) \wedge (z \vee x)$ ;
- 4)  $(x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z) \leq x \wedge (y \vee (x \wedge z))$ .

*The first three are called distributive inequalities, and the last is the modular inequality.*

## 2. The Main result

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ , where  $\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{F}$  are  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations, and let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a nonempty nilpotent saturated formation ( $n \geq 1$ ). If  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  is a complement of  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  in the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$ , then  $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{H}_1$  is a complement of  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  in the lattice  $\mathfrak{M}/_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{H}$ .*

*Proof.* By the conditions of the lemma we have that  $\mathfrak{M}_1 \cap \mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_1 \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{F}$ . It follows from Lemmas 1 and 2 that  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M} \cap (\mathfrak{M}_1 \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{H}_1) = \mathfrak{M}_1 \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau (\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{H}_1)$ . Moreover, it is clear that  $(\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{H}_1) \cap \mathfrak{M}_1 = \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{H}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{H}_1$  is a complement of  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  in the lattice  $\mathfrak{M}/_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{H}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a nonempty nilpotent saturated formation, let  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}$  be  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations such that  $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). If  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  is a complemented lattice, then  $\mathfrak{M}/_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  is also a complemented lattice.*

*Proof.* From Lemmas 1 and 2 we see that the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  is modular. By Lemma 3 we have the following isomorphism of lattices:

$$((\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{M})/_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H} \simeq \mathfrak{M}/_{\omega_n}^\tau (\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) = \mathfrak{M}/_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{H}.$$

Since  $((\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{M})/_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  is a sublattice of  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$ , then by Lemma 10, it follows that  $(\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H} \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{M})/_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  is a complemented lattice. Consequently,  $\mathfrak{M}/_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  is also a complemented lattice.  $\square$

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a nonempty nilpotent saturated formation, and let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation such that  $\mathfrak{F} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{H}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). Denote by  $\Omega$  the set of all  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations  $\mathfrak{F}_i$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  ( $i \in I$ ) such that  $\mathfrak{F}_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{H}$  and  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  is a maximal  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition subformation of  $\mathfrak{F}_i$ . Put*

$$\mathfrak{R} = c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form} \left( \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{F}_i \right),$$

where  $\mathfrak{F}_i \in \Omega$ . If  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition subformation of  $\mathfrak{R}$  with maximal subformation  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  and  $\mathfrak{M} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ , then  $\mathfrak{M} \in \Omega$ .

*Proof.* Following Lemma 4, for every  $i \in I$  we have  $\mathfrak{F}_i = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{R}_i$ , where  $\mathfrak{R}_i$  is a minimal  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition non- $\mathfrak{H}$ -formation. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R} &= c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form} \left( \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{F}_i \right) = c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form} \left( \bigcup_{i \in I} ((\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{R}_i) \right) = \\ &= c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form} \left( (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \cup (\vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{R}_i \mid i \in I) \right) = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau (\vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{R}_i \mid i \in I). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 4 we have  $\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{R}$ , where  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a minimal  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition non- $\mathfrak{H}$ -formation. Consequently, by Lemma 5 we get  $\mathfrak{R} \in \{\mathfrak{R}_i \mid i \in I\}$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R}_i$  for some  $i \in I$ . Thus,

$$\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{R} \in \{(\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{R}_i \mid i \in I\} = \Omega.$$

$\square$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a nonempty nilpotent saturated formation, and let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation such that  $\mathfrak{F} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{H}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1)  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  is a complemented lattice;
- 2)  $\mathfrak{F} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} (\vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{K}_i \mid i \in I)$ , where  $\{\mathfrak{K}_i \mid i \in I\}$  is the set of all minimal  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition non- $\mathfrak{H}$ -subformation of  $\mathfrak{F}$ ;
- 3)  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  is a Boolean lattice.

*Proof.* 1) $\Rightarrow$ 2). Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be an one-generated  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition subformation of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Then by Lemma 11 the lattice  $\mathfrak{M}/_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  is complemented. From Lemma 6 we have:

$$\mathfrak{M} = \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} ((\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{K}_i \mid i \in I) = (\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} (\vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{K}_j \mid j \in J),$$

where  $\{\mathfrak{K}_j \mid j \in J \subseteq I\}$  is the set of all minimal  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition non- $\mathfrak{H}$ -subformations of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Obviously, any  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation is a join (in the lattice  $c_{\omega_n}^{\tau}$ ) of all proper one-generated  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition subformations, i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{F} = \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} (c_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \text{ form } G \mid G \in \mathfrak{F}) = \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{M}_i \mid i \in I).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F} &= \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{M}_i \mid i \in I) = \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} ((\mathfrak{M}_i \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} (\vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{K}_j \mid j \in J_i) \mid i \in I) = \\ &= \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{M}_i \cap \mathfrak{H} \mid i \in I) \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} (\vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{K}_i \mid i \in I). \end{aligned}$$

For every  $i \in I$  we have  $\mathfrak{M}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{M}_i \cap \mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$ . Therefore,  $\vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{M}_i \cap \mathfrak{H} \mid i \in I) \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H} \not\subseteq \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} ((\mathfrak{M}_i) \cap \mathfrak{H} \mid i \in I)$ , and let  $G \in (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \setminus \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{M}_i \cap \mathfrak{H} \mid i \in I)$ . Since

$$c_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \text{ form } G = (c_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \text{ form } G) \cap \mathfrak{H} \subseteq \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{M}_i \cap \mathfrak{H} \mid i \in I),$$

we have  $G \in \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{M}_i \cap \mathfrak{H} \mid i \in I)$ . A contradiction. Hence, we get

$$\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H} \subseteq \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{M}_i \cap \mathfrak{H} \mid i \in I) \text{ and } \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H} = \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{M}_i \cap \mathfrak{H} \mid i \in I).$$

Thus,  $\mathfrak{F} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} (\vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{K}_i \mid i \in I)$ , i.e., 2) is true.

2) $\Rightarrow$ 1). First we shall show that every element of  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  is a join of atoms which are contained in that element.

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{M}$  is  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation. Let  $\psi = \{\mathfrak{K}_i \mid i \in I\}$  be the set of all minimal  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition non- $\mathfrak{H}$ -subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$ ,  $\psi_1 = \{\mathfrak{K}_i \mid i \in I_1\}$  be a set of all minimal  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition non- $\mathfrak{H}$ -subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$  such that  $\mathfrak{K}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$  for all  $i \in I_1 \subseteq I$ , and let  $\psi_2$  is a

complement to  $\psi_1$  in  $\psi$ . Then  $\mathfrak{R}_i = c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form}(\psi_i)$  is the  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation generated by  $\psi_i$ , where  $i = 1, 2$ . Since the lattice  $c_{\omega_n}^\tau$  is modular, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M} &= \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{M} \cap ((\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau (\vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{R}_i \mid i \in I)) = \\ &= (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau (\mathfrak{M} \cap (\vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{R}_i \mid i \in I)) = \\ &= (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau (\mathfrak{M} \cap (\mathfrak{R}_1 \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{R}_2)) = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{R}_1 \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau (\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{R}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that  $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{R}_2 \not\subseteq \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$ . Then by Lemma 7  $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{R}_2$  has a minimal  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition non- $\mathfrak{H}$ -formation  $\mathfrak{R}_i$  for some  $i \in I$ . Hence, by Lemma 5 we get  $\mathfrak{R}_i \in \psi_1 \cap \psi_2 = \emptyset$ . A contradiction. Therefore,  $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{R}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M} &= (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{R}_1 = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau (\vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{R}_i \mid \mathfrak{R}_i \in \psi_1) = \\ &= \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau ((\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{R}_i \mid \mathfrak{R}_i \in \psi_1). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 6 we see that every element  $\mathfrak{M}$  of the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  is a join of an atoms which are contained in  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

Now we shall show that every element  $\mathfrak{M}$  of the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  has a complement. If  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{F}$ , then  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  is complement to  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$ . Therefore, we can assume that  $\mathfrak{M} \neq \mathfrak{F}$ . Denote by  $\Sigma$  the set of all atoms of  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$ , and denote by  $\Omega_1$  a set of all atoms of  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  contained in  $\mathfrak{M}$ . If  $\Sigma = \Omega_1$ , then

$$\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau (\vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{R}_i \mid i \in I) = \mathfrak{F}.$$

A contradiction. Therefore,  $\Sigma \neq \Omega_1$ . Let  $\Omega_2$  be a complement of  $\Omega_1$  in  $\Sigma$ , and let  $\mathfrak{R} = c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form}(\Omega_2)$ . We prove that  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a complement of  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$ . Since by the condition  $\mathfrak{F} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau (\vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{R}_i \mid i \in I)$ , then by Lemma 8 we have  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{M} \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{R}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{R}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{R}$  are elements of the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$ , we have  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{A} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$ . According to Lemma 7, the formation  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a minimal  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition non- $\mathfrak{H}$ -formation  $\mathfrak{R}_i$  for some  $i \in I$ . Hence,  $(\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{R}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ . By Lemma 4,  $(\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{R}_i$  has a maximal  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition subformation which is contained in  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Now by Lemma 12 and applying the result of previous paragraph, we have  $(\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{R}_i \in \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 = \emptyset$ . A contradiction. Hence,  $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a complement of  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$ . So, the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  is complemented.

3) $\Rightarrow$ 1). This case is obvious.

1) $\Rightarrow$ 3). We need to show that for any elements  $\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2, \mathfrak{M}_3$  of the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  the following equality is true:

$$\mathfrak{M}_1 \cap (\mathfrak{M}_2 \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau \mathfrak{M}_3) = (\mathfrak{M}_1 \cap \mathfrak{M}_2) \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau (\mathfrak{M}_1 \cap \mathfrak{M}_3). \quad (*)$$

According to Lemma 9, for the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  the following inclusion holds:

$$(\mathfrak{M}_1 \cap \mathfrak{M}_2) \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{M}_1 \cap \mathfrak{M}_3) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_1 \cap (\mathfrak{M}_2 \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{M}_3).$$

Put  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{M}_1 \cap (\mathfrak{M}_2 \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{M}_3)$  and  $\mathfrak{Y} = (\mathfrak{M}_1 \cap \mathfrak{M}_2) \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} (\mathfrak{M}_1 \cap \mathfrak{M}_3)$ . We show  $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{Y}$ . By Lemma 11 for every element  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  a lattice  $\mathfrak{M}/_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  is complemented. Since  $1) \Rightarrow 2)$  is true, it follows that

$$\mathfrak{M} = (\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} (\vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{K}_i \mid i \in I), \tag{**}$$

where  $\{\mathfrak{K}_i \mid i \in I\}$  is the set of all minimal  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition non- $\mathfrak{H}$ -subformations of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$  are elements of  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H}$ ,  $(**)$  is true. Therefore, now we need to show that every minimal  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition non- $\mathfrak{H}$ -subformation  $\mathfrak{K}$  of  $\mathfrak{X}$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . Denote by  $\psi_j$  the set of all minimal  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition non- $\mathfrak{H}$ -subformations of  $\mathfrak{M}_j$ , where  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . Clearly,  $\mathfrak{K} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_1$ . From  $(**)$  for  $\mathfrak{M}_1$  we have  $\mathfrak{K} \in \psi_1$ . Besides, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{K} &\subseteq \left( (\mathfrak{M}_2 \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \left( c_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \text{form} \left( \bigcup_{\mathfrak{K}_i \in \psi_2} \mathfrak{K}_i \right) \right) \right) \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \\ &\vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \left( (\mathfrak{M}_3 \cap \mathfrak{H}) \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \left( c_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \text{form} \left( \bigcup_{\mathfrak{K}_i \in \psi_3} \mathfrak{K}_i \right) \right) \right) = \\ &= \left( (\mathfrak{M}_2 \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \mathfrak{M}_3) \cap \mathfrak{H} \right) \vee_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \left( c_{\omega_n}^{\tau} \text{form} \left( \bigcup_{\mathfrak{K}_i \in \psi_2 \cup \psi_3} \mathfrak{K}_i \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 12 we get  $\mathfrak{K} \in \psi_2 \cup \psi_3$ . Then either  $\mathfrak{K} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_1 \cap \mathfrak{M}_2$  or  $\mathfrak{K} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_1 \cap \mathfrak{M}_3$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{K} \subseteq \mathfrak{Y}$ , i.e., equality  $(*)$  is true. So, 3) is true.  $\square$

Recall that  $L_{\omega_n}^{\tau}(\mathfrak{F})$  denotes the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . In the case  $\mathfrak{H} = (1)$  from theorem 1 we obtain

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a non-identity  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation ( $n \geq 1$ ). Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1)  $L_{\omega_n}^{\tau}(\mathfrak{F})$  is a complemented lattice;
- 2)  $\mathfrak{F} = \otimes_{i \in I} \mathfrak{F}_i$ , where  $\{\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I\}$  is the set of all atoms of the lattice  $L_{\omega_n}^{\tau}(\mathfrak{F})$ ;
- 3)  $L_{\omega_n}^{\tau}(\mathfrak{F})$  is a Boolean lattice.

In the case when  $\tau$  is trivial subgroup functor,  $n = 1$ ,  $\omega = \mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathfrak{H} = (1)$  from theorem 1 we get



**Corollary 2** ([11], Theorem 2.3). *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a non-identity composition formation. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1)  $L_c(\mathfrak{F})$  is a complemented lattice;
- 2) for any group  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ , we have  $G = A \times A_1 \times \cdots \times A_t$ , where  $A$  is nilpotent and  $G, A_1, \dots, A_t$  are simple non-abelian groups.

**Corollary 3** ([2], Theorem 6). *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a non-nilpotent  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation ( $n \geq 1$ ). Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1)  $\mathfrak{F}/_n^{\omega} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}$  is a complemented lattice;
- 2)  $\mathfrak{F} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}) \vee_n^{\omega} (\vee_n^{\omega} \mathfrak{K}_i \mid i \in I)$ , where  $\{\mathfrak{K}_i \mid i \in I\}$  is the set of all minimal  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition non-nilpotent subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$ ;
- 3)  $\mathfrak{F}/_n^{\omega} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}$  is a Boolean lattice.

**Corollary 4** ([3], Theorem 1). *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a non-nilpotent  $\omega$ -composition formation. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1)  $\mathfrak{F}/^{\omega} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}$  is a complemented lattice;
- 2)  $\mathfrak{F} = (\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}) \vee^{\omega} (\vee^{\omega} \mathfrak{K}_i \mid i \in I)$ , where  $\{\mathfrak{K}_i \mid i \in I\}$  is the set of all minimal  $\omega$ -composition non-nilpotent subformations of  $\mathfrak{F}$ ;
- 3)  $\mathfrak{F}/^{\omega} \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}$  is a Boolean lattice.

## References

- [1] A.N.Skiba, L.A.Shemetkov "Multiply  $\mathcal{L}$ -composition formations of finite groups" [in Russian], Ukrainian Math. J., v. 52, No. 6, 2000, pp. 783-797.
- [2] P.A. Zhiznevsky "To the theory of multiply partially composition formations of finite groups" [in Russian], Preprint GGU im. F.Skoriny, 2008, No. 30, 35p.
- [3] P.A. Zhiznevsky, V.G.Safonov "On  $\mathcal{L}$ -composition formations with complemented sublattices" [in Russian], Izvestiya vitebskogo gos. universiteta, 2008, No. 3(49), pp. 93-100.
- [4] L.A. Shemetkov, A.N. Skiba *Formations of Algebraic Systems* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow, 1989.
- [5] A.N. Skiba, *Algebra of Formations* [in Russian], Bel. Navuka, Minsk, 1997.
- [6] G. Birkhoff "Lattice theory" New York: American mathematical society colloquium publications, Vol. XXV, 1948.
- [7] K. Doerk, T. Hawkes *Finite Soluble Groups*, Berlin; New York: Walter de Gruyter, 1992.
- [8] P.A. Zhiznevsky "On modularity and inductance of the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition finite groups formations" [in Russian], Izvestiya gomelskogo gos. universiteta, 2010, No. 1(58), pp. 185-191.
- [9] P.A. Zhiznevsky "On  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations with Boolean sublattice" [in Russian], Preprint GGU im. F.Skoriny, 2010, No. 3, 24p.
- [10] G. Grätzer "General lattice theory" New York: Academic Press, 1978.
- [11] I.V. Bliznets, A.N. Skiba "Critical and directly-reducible  $\omega$ -composition formations" [in Russian], Preprint GGU im. F.Skoriny, 2002, No. 33, 23p.

## CONTACT INFORMATION

**P. A. Zhiznevsky**      Mathematics Department, Francisk Scorina  
Gomel State University, Sovetskaya Str., 104,  
246019 Gomel, Belarus  
*E-Mail:* [pzhiznevsky@yahoo.com](mailto:pzhiznevsky@yahoo.com)

Received by the editors: 07.02.2011  
and in final form 26.02.2011.