

## On recurrence in $G$ -spaces

Igor Protasov and Ksenia Protasova

*To the memory of Vitaly Sushchansky*

**ABSTRACT.** We introduce and analyze the following general concept of recurrence. Let  $G$  be a group and let  $X$  be a  $G$ -space with the action  $G \times X \rightarrow X$ ,  $(g, x) \mapsto gx$ . For a family  $\mathfrak{F}$  of subset of  $X$  and  $A \in \mathfrak{F}$ , we denote  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A) = \{g \in G : gB \subseteq A \text{ for some } B \in \mathfrak{F}, B \subseteq A\}$ , and say that a subset  $R$  of  $G$  is  $\mathfrak{F}$ -recurrent if  $R \cap \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $A \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

Let  $G$  be a group with the identity  $e$  and let  $X$  be a  $G$ -space, a set with the action  $G \times X \rightarrow X$ ,  $(g, x) \mapsto gx$ . If  $X = G$  and  $gx$  is the product of  $g$  and  $x$  then  $X$  is called a left regular  $G$ -space.

Given a  $G$ -space  $X$ , a family  $\mathfrak{F}$  of subset of  $X$  and  $A \in \mathfrak{F}$ , we denote

$$\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A) = \{g \in G : gB \subseteq A \text{ for some } B \in \mathfrak{F}, B \subseteq A\}.$$

Clearly,  $e \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A)$  and if  $\mathfrak{F}$  is upward directed ( $A \in \mathfrak{F}$ ,  $A \subseteq C$  imply  $C \in \mathfrak{F}$ ) and if  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $G$ -invariant ( $A \in \mathfrak{F}$ ,  $g \in G$  imply  $gA \in \mathfrak{F}$ ) then

$$\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A) = \{g \in G : gA \cap A \in \mathfrak{F}\}, \quad \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A) = (\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A))^{-1}.$$

If  $X$  is a left regular  $G$ -space and  $\emptyset \notin \mathfrak{F}$  then  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A) \subseteq AA^{-1}$ .

For a  $G$ -space  $X$  and a family  $\mathfrak{F}$  of subsets of  $X$ , we say that a subset  $R$  of  $G$  is  $\mathfrak{F}$ -recurrent if  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A) \cap R \neq \emptyset$  for every  $A \in \mathfrak{F}$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{F}}$  the filter on  $G$  with the base  $\cap\{\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A) : A \in \mathfrak{F}'\}$ , where  $\mathfrak{F}'$  is a finite subfamily of  $\mathfrak{F}$ , and note that, for an ultrafilter  $p$  on  $G$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{F}} \in p$  if and only if each member of  $p$  is  $\mathfrak{F}$ -recurrent.

---

**2010 MSC:** 37A05, 22A15, 03E05.

**Key words and phrases:**  $G$ -space, recurrent subset, ultrafilters, Stone-Ćech compactification.

The notion of an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -recurrent subset is well-known in the case in which  $G$  is an amenable group,  $X$  is a left regular  $G$ -space and  $\mathfrak{F} = \{A \subseteq X : \mu(A) > 0 \text{ for some left invariant Banach measure } \mu \text{ on } X\}$ . See [1] and [2] for historical background.

Now we endow  $G$  with the discrete topology and identify the Stone-Ćech compactification  $\beta G$  of  $G$  with the set of all ultrafilters on  $G$ . Then the family  $\{\bar{A} : A \subseteq G\}$ , where  $\bar{A} = \{p \in \beta G : A \in p\}$ , forms a base for the topology of  $\beta G$ . Given a filter  $\varphi$  on  $G$ , we denote  $\bar{\varphi} = \cap\{\bar{A} : A \in \varphi\}$ .

We use the standard extension [3] of the multiplication on  $G$  to the semigroup multiplication on  $\beta G$ . We take two ultrafilters  $p, q \in \beta G$ , choose  $P \in p$  and, for each  $x \in P$ , pick  $Q_x \in q$ . Then  $\cup_{x \in P} xQ_x \in pq$  and the family of these subsets forms a base of the ultrafilter  $pq$ .

We recall [4] that a filter  $\varphi$  on a group  $G$  is *left topological* if  $\varphi$  is a base at the identity  $e$  for some (uniquely at defined) left translation invariant (each left shift  $x \mapsto gx$  is continuous) topology on  $G$ . If  $\varphi$  is left topological then  $\bar{\varphi}$  is a subsemigroup of  $\beta G$  [4]. If  $G = X$  and a filter  $\varphi$  is left topological then  $\varphi = \mathfrak{R}_\varphi$ .

**Proposition 1.** *For every  $G$ -space  $X$  and any family  $\mathfrak{F}$  of subsets of  $X$ , the filter  $\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{F}$  is left topological.*

*Proof.* By [4], a filter  $\varphi$  on a group  $G$  is left topological if and only if, for every  $\Phi \in \varphi$ , there is  $H \in \varphi$ ,  $H \subseteq \Phi$  such that, for every  $x \in H$ ,  $xH_x \subseteq \Phi$  for some  $H_x \in \varphi$ .

We take an arbitrary  $A \in \mathfrak{F}$ , put  $\Phi = \Delta_\mathfrak{F}(A)$  and, for each  $g \in \Delta_\mathfrak{F}(A)$ , choose  $B_g \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $gB_g \in A$ . Then  $g\Delta_\mathfrak{F}(B_g) \subseteq \Delta_\mathfrak{F}(A)$  so put  $H = \Phi$ .

To conclude the proof, let  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathfrak{F}$ . We denote

$$\Phi_1 = \Delta_\mathfrak{F}(A_1), \quad \dots, \quad \Phi_n = \Delta_\mathfrak{F}(A_n), \quad \Phi = \Phi_1 \cap \dots \cap \Phi_n.$$

We use the above paragraph, to choose  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  corresponding to  $\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n$  and put  $H = H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_n$ . □

Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -space and let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a family of subsets of  $X$ . We say that a family  $\mathfrak{F}'$  of subsets of  $X$  is  $\mathfrak{F}$ -disjoint if  $A \cap B \notin \mathfrak{F}$  for any distinct  $A, B \in \mathfrak{F}'$ .

A family  $\mathfrak{F}'$  of subsets of  $X$  is called  $\mathfrak{F}$ -packing large if, for each  $A \in \mathfrak{F}'$ , any  $\mathfrak{F}$ -disjoint family of subsets of  $X$  of the form  $gA$ ,  $g \in G$  is finite.

We say that a subset  $S$  of a group  $G$  is a  $\Delta_\omega$ -set if  $e \in A$  and every infinite subset  $Y$  of  $G$  contains two distinct elements  $x, y$  such that  $x^{-1}y \in S$  and  $y^{-1}x \in S$ .

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -space and let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a  $G$ -invariant upward directed family of subsets of  $X$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $\mathfrak{F}$ -packing large if and only if, for each  $A \in \mathfrak{F}$ , the subset  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A)$  of  $G$  is a  $\Delta_\omega$ -set.*

*Proof.* We assume that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $\mathfrak{F}$ -packing large and take an arbitrary infinite subset  $Y$  of  $G$ . Then we choose distinct  $g, h \in Y$  such that  $gA \cap hA \in \mathfrak{F}$ , so  $g^{-1}h \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A)$ ,  $hg \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A)$  and  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A)$  is a  $\Delta_\omega$ -set.

Now we suppose that  $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A)$  is a  $\Delta_\omega$ -set and take an arbitrary infinite subset  $Y$  of  $G$ . Then there are distinct  $g, h \in Y$  such that  $g^{-1}h \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}(A)$  so  $g^{-1}hA \cap A \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $gA \cap hA \in \mathfrak{F}$ . It follows that the family  $\{gA : g \in Y\}$  is not  $\mathfrak{F}$ -disjoint.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** *For every infinite group  $G$ , the following statements hold*

- (i) *a subset  $A \subseteq G$  is a  $\Delta_\omega$ -set if and only if  $e \in A$  and every infinite subset  $Y$  of  $G$  contains an infinite subset  $Z$  such that  $x^{-1}y \in A$ ,  $y^{-1}x \in A$  for any distinct  $x, y \in Z$ ;*
- (ii) *the family  $\varphi$  of all  $\Delta_\omega$ -sets of  $G$  is a filter;*
- (iii) *if  $A \in \varphi$  then  $G = FA$  for some finite subset  $F$  of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* (i) We assume that  $A$  is a  $\Delta_\omega$ -set and define a coloring  $\chi$  of  $[Y]^2$ ,  $\chi : [Y]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  by the rule:  $\chi(\{x, y\}) = 1$  if and only if  $x^{-1}y \in A$ ,  $y^{-1}x \in A$ . By the Ramsey theorem, there is an infinite subset  $Z$  of  $Y$  such that  $\chi$  is monochrome on  $[Z]^2$ . Since  $A$  is a  $\Delta_\omega$ -set  $\chi(\{x, y\}) = 1$  for all  $\{x, y\} \in [Z]^2$ .

(ii) follows from (i).

(iii) We assume the contrary and choose an injective sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in  $G$  such that  $x_{n+1} \notin x_n A$  for each  $n \in \omega$ , and denote  $Y = \{x_n : n \in \omega\}$ . Then  $x_m^{-1}x_n \in A$  for every  $m, n, m < n$ , so  $A$  is not a  $\Delta_\omega$ -set.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $G$  be a infinite group and let  $\varphi$  denotes the filter of all  $\Delta_\omega$ -sets of  $G$ . Then  $\bar{\varphi}$  is the smallest closed subset of  $\beta G$  containing all ultrafilters on  $G$  of the form  $q^{-1}q$ ,  $q \in \beta G$ ,  $q^{-1} = \{A^{-1} : A \in q\}$ .*

*Proof.* We denote by  $Q$  the smallest closed subset of  $\beta G$  containing all  $q^{-1}q$ ,  $q \in \beta G$ . It follows directly from the definition of the multiplication in  $\beta G$  that  $p \in Q$  if and only if either  $p$  is principal and  $p = e$  or, for each  $P \in p$ , there is an injective sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in  $G$  such that  $x_m^{-1}x_n \in P$  for all  $m < n$ .

Applying Proposition 3(i), we conclude that  $q^{-1}q \in \overline{\varphi}$  for each  $q \in \beta G$  so  $Q \subseteq \overline{\varphi}$ . On the other hand, if  $p \notin \overline{\varphi}$  then there is  $P \in p$  such that  $G \setminus P$  is a  $\Delta_\omega$ -set. By above paragraph,  $p \notin Q$  so  $\overline{\varphi} \subseteq Q$ .  $\square$

Now let  $G$  be an amenable group,  $X$  be a left regular  $G$ -space and  $\mathfrak{F} = \{A \in X : \mu(A) > 0 \text{ for some left invariant Banach measure } \mu \text{ on } G\}$ . For combinatorial characterization of  $\mathfrak{F}$  see [6]. Clearly,  $\mathfrak{F}$  is upward directed  $G$ -invariant and  $\mathfrak{F}$ -packing large. By Proposition 2,  $\overline{\varphi} \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{F}}}$ . By Proposition 4,  $\overline{\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{F}}}$  contains all ultrafilters of the form  $q^{-1}q$ ,  $q \in \beta G$ , so we get Theorem 3.14 from [1].

We suppose that a  $G$ -space  $X$  is endowed with a  $G$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu$  defined on some ring of subsets of  $X$ . Then the family  $\mathfrak{F}\{A \subseteq X : \mu(B) > 0 \text{ for some } B \subseteq A\}$  is  $\mathfrak{F}$ -packing large.

In particular, we can take a compact group  $X$ , endow  $X$  with the Haar measure, choose an arbitrary subgroup  $G$  of  $X$  and endow  $G$  with the discrete topology.

Another example: let a discrete group  $G$  acts on a topological space  $X$  so that, for each  $g \in G$ , the mapping  $X \rightarrow X, (g, x) \mapsto gx$  is continuous. We take a point  $x \in X$ , denote by  $\mathfrak{F}$  the filter of all neighborhoods of  $x$ , and recall that  $x$  is *recurrent* if, for every  $U \in \mathfrak{F}$ , there exists  $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$  such that  $gx \in U$ . Clearly,  $x$  is a recurrent point if and only if  $G \setminus \{e\}$  if a set of  $\mathfrak{F}$ -recurrence, so by Proposition 1,  $x$  defines some non-discrete left translation invariant topology on  $G$ .

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $G$  be a infinite group,  $A$  be a  $\Delta_\omega$ -set of  $G$  and let  $\tau$  be a left translation invariant topology on  $G$  with continuous inversion  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$  at the identity  $e$ . Then the closure  $cl_\tau A$  is a neighborhood of  $e$  in  $\tau$ .*

*Proof.* On the contrary, we suppose that  $cl_\tau A$  is not a neighborhood of  $e$ , put  $U = G \setminus cl_\tau A$ . Then  $U$  is open and  $e \in cl_\tau U$ .

We take an arbitrary  $x_0 \in U$  and choose an open neighborhood  $U_0$  of the identity such that  $x_0 U_0^{-1} \subseteq U$ . Then we take  $x_1 \in U_0 \cap U$  and choose an open neighborhood  $U_1$  of  $e$  such that  $U_1 \subseteq U_0$  and  $x_1 U_1^{-1} \subseteq U$ . We take  $x_2 \in U_1 \cap U$  and choose an open neighborhood  $U_0$  of  $e$  such that  $U_2 \subseteq U_1$  and  $x_2 U_2^{-1} \subseteq U$  and so on. After  $\omega$  steps, we get a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$  in  $G$  such that  $x_n x_m^{-1} \in U$  for all  $n < m$ . We denote  $Y = \{x_n^{-1} : n \in \omega\}$ . Then  $(x_n^{-1})^{-1} x_m^{-1} \in A$  for all  $n < m$ , so  $A$  is not a  $\Delta_\omega$ -set.  $\square$

A subset  $A$  of an infinite group  $G$  is called a  $\Delta_{<\omega}$ -set if  $e \in A$  and there exists a natural number  $n$  such that every subset  $Y$  of  $G, |Y| = n$

contains two distinct  $x, y \in Y$  such that  $x^{-1}y \in A$ ,  $y^{-1}x \in A$ . These subsets were introduced in [5] under name thick subsets, but thick subsets are well-known in combinatorics with another meaning [3]:  $A$  is thick if, for every finite subset  $F$  of, there is  $g \in A$  such that  $Fg \subseteq A$ . The family  $\psi$  of all  $\Delta_{<\omega}$ -sets of  $G$  is a filter [5], clearly,  $\psi \subseteq \varphi$ . Every infinite group  $G$  has a  $\Delta_\omega$ -set but not  $\Delta_{<\omega}$ -set  $A$ : it suffices to choose inductively a sequence  $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$  of subsets of  $G$ ,  $|X_n| = n$  such that  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} X_n^{-1}X_n$  has no infinite subsets of the form  $Y^{-1}Y$  and put

$$A = \{e\} \cup (G \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \omega} X_n^{-1}X_n),$$

so  $\psi \subset \varphi$ .

By analogy with Propositions 3 and 4, we can prove

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite group and let  $\psi$  be the filter of all  $\Delta_{<\omega}$ -subsets of  $G$ . Then  $p \in \bar{\psi}$  if and only if either  $p$  is principal and  $p = e$  or, for every  $A \in p$ , there exists a sequence  $(X_n)_{n \in \omega}$  of subsets of  $G$ ,  $|X_n| = n + 1$ ,  $X_n = \{x_{n0}, \dots, x_{nn}\}$  such that  $x_{ni}^{-1}x_{nj} \in A$  for all  $i < j \leq n$ .*

Let  $A$  be a subset of a group  $G$  such that  $e \in A$ ,  $A = A^{-1}$ . We consider the Cayley graph  $\Gamma_A$  with the set of vertices  $G$  and the set of edges  $\{\{x, y\} : x^{-1}y \in A, x \neq y\}$ . We recall that a subset  $S$  of vertices of a graph is *independent* if any two distinct vertices from  $S$  are not incident. Clearly,  $A$  is a  $\Delta_\omega$ -set if and only if any independent set in  $\Gamma_A$  is finite, and  $A$  is  $\Delta_\omega$ -set if and only if there exists a natural number  $n$  such that any independent set  $S$  is of size  $|S| < n$ .

**Problem 1.** Characterize all infinite graphs with only finite independent set of vertices.

**Problem 2.** Given a natural number  $n$ , characterize all infinite graphs such that any independent set  $S$  of vertices is of size  $|S| < n$ .

In the context of this note, above problems are especially interesting in the case of Cayley graphs of groups.

## References

- [1] V. Bergelson, N. Hindman, *Quotient sets and density recurrent sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), 4495-4531.
- [2] H. Furstenberg, *Poincare recurrence and number theory*, Bulletin Amer. Math. Soc. **5.3** (1981), 211-234.

- [3] N. Hindman, D. Strauss, *Algebra in the Stone-Čech compactification*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1998.
- [4] I. Protasov, *Filters and topologies on groups*, *Mat. Stud.* **3** (1994),15-28.
- [5] E. Reznichenko, O. Sipacheva, *Discrete subsets in topological groups and countable extremally disconnected groups*, preprint (arxiv: 1608.03546v2) 2016.
- [6] P. Zakrzewski, *On the complexity of the ideal of absolute null sets*, *Ukr. Math. J.* **64** (2012),306-308.

## CONTACT INFORMATION

**I. Protasov,**  
**K. Protasova**

Kyiv University, Department of Cybernetics,  
Kyiv National University, Volodimirska 64,  
Kyiv 01033, Ukraine  
*E-Mail(s)*: i.v.protasov@gmail.com,  
k.d.ushakova@gmail.com

Received by the editors: 04.02.2017.