

On divergence and sums of derivations

E. Chapovsky and O. Shevchyk

Communicated by A. P. Petravchuk

ABSTRACT. Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and A a field of algebraic functions in n variables over \mathbb{K} . (i.e. A is a finite dimensional algebraic extension of the field $\mathbb{K}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$). If D is a \mathbb{K} -derivation of A , then its divergence $\operatorname{div}D$ is an important geometric characteristic of D (D can be considered as a vector field with coefficients in A). A relation between expressions of $\operatorname{div}D$ in different transcendence bases of A is pointed out. It is also proved that every divergence-free derivation D on the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$ is a sum of at most two jacobian derivation.

Introduction

Let \mathbb{K} be a field of characteristic zero and A a field of algebraic functions in n variables over \mathbb{K} , i.e. A is a finite dimensional algebraic extension of the field $\mathbb{K}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. If D is a \mathbb{K} -derivation of A , then the divergence $\operatorname{div}D$ of the derivation D is a very important geometric characteristic of D (D can be considered as a vector field with coefficients in A). In the first part of the paper, a relation between expressions of $\operatorname{div}D$ in different transcendence bases is pointed out (Theorem 1). This theorem generalizes a result of the paper [4]. Naturally, the divergence of a derivation does not change if we pass from one coordinate system in a polynomial ring to another coordinate system. In particular, the set

2010 MSC: Primary 13N15; Secondary 13A99, 17B66.

Key words and phrases: polynomial ring, derivation, divergence, jacobian derivation, transcendence basis.

of all divergence-free derivations of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is invariant under action of automorphisms of this ring. Such derivations form a very important subalgebra L_0 of the Lie algebra $Der_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ of all \mathbb{K} -derivations on $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. The Lie algebra L_0 was studied by many authors (see, for example, [1], [5], [4]). Note that the algebra L_0 contains all the jacobian derivations of $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ which are the simplest divergence-free derivations. So, it is interesting to know relations between divergence-free derivation and jacobian derivations. It is proved that every divergence-free derivation of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$ is a sum of at most two jacobian derivations (Theorem 2). Note that every divergence-free derivation of the ring $\mathbb{K}[x, y]$ is a jacobian derivation. A divergence-free derivation of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$ is pointed out that is not a jacobian one (Proposition 1).

We use standard notation. The ground field \mathbb{K} is of characteristic zero, $\mathbb{K}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the field of rational functions. We denote by A a field of algebraic functions in n variables over the field \mathbb{K} . Let $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ be a transcendence basis of A (over \mathbb{K}). Then every derivation $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$ of the subfield $\mathbb{K}(y_1, \dots, y_n) \subseteq A$ can be uniquely extended to a derivation of the field A . We denote this extension for convenience by the same notation $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$. Denote by Y the set $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\}$ of \mathbb{K} -derivations of A . If a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} are elements of A , then the jacobian derivation $D_{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}}$ is defined by the rule: $D_{(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})}(h) = \det J(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, h)$, where $J(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, h)$ is the Jacobi matrix of the functions $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, h \in A$. The divergence $\text{div}D$ of a derivation $D \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$, $D = \sum p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ is defined by the rule: $\text{div}D = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_i}$.

1. On behavior of divergence under change of a transcendence basis

Let $A \supseteq \mathbb{K}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a field of algebraic functions. It is known that the Lie algebra $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$ of all \mathbb{K} -derivations of A is vector space over A of dimension n (but not a Lie algebra over A). The set $X = \{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\}$ of partial differentiations is a basis of $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$ over A . Thus every element $D \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{K}}R$ can be uniquely written in the form

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad p_1, \dots, p_n \in A. \quad (1)$$

Let $y_1, \dots, y_n \in A$ be a transcendence basis of the field A over the field \mathbb{K} . Then $Y = \{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\}$ is also a basis of the linear space

$\text{Der}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$ over the field A . Therefore for a given derivation $D \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$ there exist elements $q_1, \dots, q_n \in A$ such that

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \quad q_1, \dots, q_n \in A. \quad (2)$$

Denote the divergence of the derivation D in the transcendence bases x_1, \dots, x_n and y_1, \dots, y_n respectively by

$$\text{div}_X D = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_i}, \quad \text{div}_Y D = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial y_i}.$$

Theorem 1. *Let $D \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$. Then*

$$\text{div}_X D = \text{div}_Y D + \frac{D(\Delta)}{\Delta}, \quad \text{where } \Delta = \det\left(\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j}\right)_{i,j=1}^n.$$

Proof. Since $\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial y_j} = \delta_{ij}$ we have by (2) the following equalities

$$q_i = D(y_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3)$$

The derivations $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}$ form a basis of the vector space $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{K}}(A)$, so we can write

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (4)$$

for some $r_i^j \in A$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. These elements can be found from (4):

$$r_i^j = \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Analogously we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Using the relation (3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_Y D &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial y_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \left(\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The first summand in the right side of (5) can be written (using (3)) in the form

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \right) (p_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (p_j) = \operatorname{div}_X D.$$

Write the second summand in the right side of (5) (using (4) and the equality $[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}] = 0$) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \left(\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j} \right) &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n p_j \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_k} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,k=1}^n \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial y_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \left(\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_k} \right) = \sum_{i,k=1}^n \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial y_i} D \left(\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The matrix $(\frac{\partial x_k}{\partial y_i})_{k,i=1}^n$ is inverse to the matrix $(\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_i})_{k,i=1}^n$, so we have

$$\frac{\partial x_k}{\partial y_i} = \frac{A_k^i}{\Delta}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n,$$

where A_i^k is the cofactor of the element $\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_i}$ in the determinant $\Delta = \det \left(\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_i} \right)_{k,i=1}^n$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,k=1}^n \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial y_i} D \left(\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_k} \right) &= \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{i,k=1}^n A_k^i D \left(\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_k} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{k=1}^n \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_1} & \dots & D \left(\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_k} \right) & \dots & \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial y_n}{\partial x_1} & \dots & D \left(\frac{\partial y_n}{\partial x_k} \right) & \dots & \frac{\partial y_n}{\partial x_n} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{D(\Delta)}{\Delta}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

2. Divergence-free and jacobian derivations

Some known results about divergence-free derivations are collected in the next Lemma (see, for example, [2]) or [3]):

Lemma 1. 1) If D is a jacobian derivation of the ring $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then $\operatorname{div}D = 0$;

2) Every divergence-free derivation of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x, y]$ is a jacobian derivation;

3) If D_1, D_2 are divergence-free derivations of the ring $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then so are $D_1 + D_2$ and $[D_1, D_2]$.

Theorem 2. Let D be a \mathbb{K} -derivation of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$ with $\operatorname{div}D = 0$. Then there exist jacobian derivations D_1 and D_2 of the ring $\mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$ such that $D = D_1 + D_2$.

Proof. Write D in the form

$$D = p(x, y, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + q(x, y, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + r(x, y, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z},$$

where $p, q, r \in \mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$. Then by the conditions of the theorem, $p'_x + q'_y + r'_z = \operatorname{div}D = 0$. First find a jacobian derivation D_1 of the ring $\mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$ of the form

$$D_1 = p(x, y, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + q_1(x, y, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

for some $q_1 \in \mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$. Denote by $s = s(x, y, z)$ a polynomial in $\mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$ such that $s'_y = p$, i.e. $s = \int p(x, y, z) dy$ (it is obvious that such a polynomial does exist). Denote by $D_1 = D_{(s, z)}$ the jacobian derivation determined by the polynomials $s, z \in \mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$. It is easy to see that

$$D_1 = p(x, y, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - s'_x(x, y, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Set $D_2 = D - D_1$. Let us show that D_2 is a jacobian derivation of the ring $\mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$. It is obvious that

$$D_2 = q_2(x, y, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + r(x, y, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \text{ where } q_2 = q - s'_x.$$

Consider $q_2(x, y, z)$ and $r(x, y, z)$ as polynomials of variables y, z with coefficients in the ring $\mathbb{K}[x]$. Since $\operatorname{div}D_2 = \operatorname{div}D - \operatorname{div}D_1 = 0$ we have $\frac{\partial q_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial r}{\partial z} = 0$. Denote for convenience $\varphi = -r$, $\psi = q_2$. Then the vector field

$\varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ is potential since the equality $\varphi'_z = \psi'_y$ holds. Therefore there exists a polynomial $t(x, y, z)$ such that $t'_y = \varphi$, $t'_z = \psi$ (the polynomial t can be obtained by formal integrating the polynomials ψ and φ on variables y and z respectively).

$$t(x, y, z) = \int_{0,0,0}^{M(x,y,z)} \varphi(x, y, z) dy + \psi(x, y, z) dz.$$

Thus $t'_y = -r(x, y, z)$, $t'_z = q_2(x, y, z)$. Let us consider the jacobian derivation $D_{(t,x)}$. It is obvious that

$$D_{(t,x)} = t'_z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - t'_y \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = q_2(x, y, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + r(x, y, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = D_2.$$

So we have $D = D_1 + D_2$, where D_1, D_2 are jacobian derivations. \square

Proposition 1. *The derivation $D = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - 2z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$ is divergence-free but not a jacobian derivation.*

Proof. By Theorem 10.1.1 from [3], it holds $\text{Ker} D \neq \mathbb{K}$. Take any polynomial $f \in \text{Ker} D$ and write it as a sum $f = f_0 + f_1 + \dots + f_n$ of homogeneous components. Since the derivation D is homogeneous, all the polynomials f_i are also in $\text{Ker} D$. Therefore we can assume without loss of generality that f is a homogenous polynomial of degree k . The equality $D(f) = 0$ means that $x f'_x + y f'_y - 2z f'_z = 0$ and therefore it holds

$$k f - 3z f'_z = 0 \tag{6}$$

(here $x f'_x + y f'_y + z f'_z = k f$ since $\deg f = k$). Consider the polynomial f as a polynomial of z with coefficients in $\mathbb{K}[y, z]$ and write

$$f = \varphi_0 + \varphi_1 z + \dots + \varphi_m z^m, \quad \varphi_i \in \mathbb{K}[x, y], m = \deg_z f.$$

Then $3z f'_z = 3z \varphi_1 + 6z^2 \varphi_2 + \dots + 3m \varphi_m z^m$ and using (6) we get

$$\varphi_0 = 0, \quad z \varphi_1 (k - 3) = 0, \quad z^2 \varphi_2 (k - 6) = 0, \dots, \quad z^m \varphi_m (k - 3m) = 0. \tag{7}$$

Since $\varphi_m \neq 0$ (because of equality $m = \deg_z f$) we have $k = 3m$ and

$$\varphi_1 = 0, \dots, \varphi_{m-1} = 0.$$

The latter means that $f = z^m \varphi_m$, where $k = 3m$. The equality $\deg f = k$ implies $\deg \varphi_m = 2m$ and therefore $\varphi_m = \varphi_m(x, y)$ is a homogeneous

polynomial of degree $2m$. Denote for convenience $\psi_{2m} = \varphi_m$. Then $f = z^m \cdot \psi_{2m}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $3m$.

Conversely, if $f = z^m \cdot \psi_{2m}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $3m$, where $\psi_{2m} = \psi_{2m}(x, y)$, then $3zf'_z = 3mf'$. Setting $k = 3m$ we get $zh'_z = 3kf$, i.e. the polynomial f satisfies the equality (6). Then

$$xf'_x + yf'_y - 2zf'_z = 0$$

and therefore $f \in \text{Ker}D$. Thus $\text{Ker}D$ is a linear combination of homogeneous polynomials of the form $z^m\psi_{2m}$, where ψ_{2m} is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $2m$ in variables x, y .

Now suppose $D = D_{(a,b)}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{K}[x, y, z]$. Then $a, b \in \text{Ker}D$ and omitting the constant terms in the polynomials a and b we get

$a = \alpha_1z\varphi_2 + \alpha_2z^2\varphi_4 + \dots + \alpha_mz^m\varphi_{2m}$, $b = \beta_1z\psi_2 + \beta_2z^2\psi_4 + \dots + \beta_sz^s\psi_{2s}$ for some $\varphi_i, \psi_j \in \mathbb{K}[x, y]$, $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \mathbb{K}$, $\alpha_m \neq 0$, $\beta_s \neq 0$. Then

$$D(x) = D_{(a,b)}(x) = a'_yb'_y - a'_zb'_y.$$

On the other hand, $D(x) = x$ and therefore $a'_yb'_y - a'_zb'_y = x$. The polynomials a'_y and b'_y are divided by z , so the polynomial x is also divided by z . The obtained contradiction shows that D is not a jacobian derivation. The proof is complete. \square

References

- [1] V.V. Bavula, The groups of automorphisms of the Lie algebras of polynomial vector fields with zero or constant divergence, *Comm. in Algebra*, (2016), doi.org/10.1080/009278872.2016.1175596.
- [2] G. Freudenburg, Algebraic theory of locally nilpotent derivations, *Encyclopaedia of Math. Sciences*, 136, 2006.
- [3] A. Nowicki, Polynomial Derivations and their Rings of Constants, *Uniwersytet Mikolaja Kopernika, Torun*, 1994.
- [4] A. P. Petravchuk, V. V. Stepukh, On bases of Lie algebras of derivations, *Bull. Taras Shevchenko Nat. Univ. of Kyiv, Ser. Fiz.-Mat.*, (2015), 8, no.1, 63-71.
- [5] Petravchuk A.P. , Iena O.G., On centralizers of elements in the Lie algebra of the special Cremona group $SA_2(k)$, *J. Lie Theory*. (2006) v. 16, no.3, 61–567.

CONTACT INFORMATION

E. Chapovsky, Department of Algebra and Mathematical Logic,
O. Shevchyk Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, Kyiv
 Taras Shevchenko University, 64, Volodymyrska
 street, 01033 Kyiv, Ukraine
E-Mail(s): oshev4ik@gmail.com

Received by the editors: 05.12.2016
 and in final form 07.12.2016.