On monoids of monotone partial transformations of a finite chain whose domains and ranges are intervals

Hayrullah Ayık, Vítor H. Fernandes, and Emrah Korkmaz

Communicated by A. Zhuchok

ABSTRACT. In this note, we consider the monoid \mathcal{PJM}_n of all partial monotone transformations on a chain with n elements whose domains and ranges are intervals and its submonoid \mathcal{IM}_n constituted by the full transformations. For both of these monoids, our aim is to determine their cardinalities and ranks and define them by means of presentations. We also calculate the number of nilpotent elements of \mathcal{PJM}_n .

Introduction

We begin by defining the monoids that are the focus of this paper. For a positive integer n, let Ω_n be a chain with n elements, say $\Omega_n = \{1 < 2 < \cdots < n\}$. It is usual to denote by \mathfrak{PT}_n the monoid of all partial transformations on Ω_n (under composition) and by \mathfrak{T}_n the submonoid

The first author was supported by Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) under the Grant Number 123F463. The author thanks to TUBITAK for their supports.

The second author was supported by national funds through the FCT-Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., under the scope of the projects UIDB/00297/2020 (https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDB/00297/2020) and UIDP/00297/2020 (https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDP/00297/2020) (Center for Mathematics and Applications).

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M20, 20M05, 20M10. Key words and phrases: transformations, monotone, rank, presentations.

of \mathcal{PT}_n of all full transformations on Ω_n . Notice that, we compose the transformations from left to right.

A transformation $\alpha \in \mathcal{PT}_n$ is called order-preserving [order-reversing] if, for all $x, y \in \text{Dom}(\alpha)$, x < y implies $x\alpha \leqslant y\alpha$ [$x\alpha \geqslant y\alpha$], and is called monotone if α is order-preserving or order-reversing. Clearly, the product of two order-preserving transformations or two order-reversing transformations is an order-preserving transformation and the product of an order-preserving transformation by an order-reversing transformation, or vice-versa, is an order-reversing transformation. Moreover, the product of two monotone transformations is monotone. We denote by \mathcal{PO}_n [\mathcal{O}_n] the submonoid of \mathcal{PT}_n [\mathcal{T}_n] of all order-preserving partial [full] transformations and by \mathcal{PM}_n [\mathcal{M}_n] the submonoid of \mathcal{PT}_n [\mathcal{T}_n] of all monotone partial [full] transformations.

A subset I of Ω_n is called an *interval* of Ω_n if for all $x,y,z\in\Omega_n$, $x\leqslant y\leqslant z$ and $x,z\in I$ imply $y\in I$. We denote by \mathfrak{PIO}_n the submonoid of \mathfrak{PO}_n of all order-preserving partial transformations whose domain and image sets are both intervals of Ω_n and by \mathfrak{IO}_n the submonoid \mathfrak{O}_n of all order-preserving transformations whose image sets are intervals of Ω_n . Next, let us denote by \mathfrak{PIM}_n the subset of \mathfrak{PM}_n consisting of all monotone partial transformations whose domain and image sets are both intervals of Ω_n . It is easy to show that \mathfrak{PIM}_n is a submonoid of \mathfrak{PM}_n . Moreover, let \mathfrak{IM}_n be the submonoid of \mathfrak{PIM}_n of all monotone full transformations whose image sets are intervals of Ω_n , i.e. $\mathfrak{IM}_n = \mathfrak{PM}_n \cap \mathfrak{T}_n$.

Recall that the rank of a finite monoid M, rank(M), is the minimum size of a generating set for M, i.e. $rank(M) = min\{|X| | X \subseteq M, \langle X \rangle = M\}$. For a comprehensive background on semigroups and monoids, readers are referred to the textbook by Howie [14].

It is well-known that \mathcal{T}_n and \mathcal{PT}_n have ranks 3 and 4, respectively. In [12], Gomes and Howie showed that the ranks of the monoids \mathcal{O}_n and \mathcal{PO}_n are n and 2n-1, respectively. In [8], Fernandes et al. showed that the ranks of \mathcal{PM}_n and \mathcal{M}_n are n+1 and $\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1$, respectively, where $\left\lceil x \right\rceil$ denotes the least integer greater than or equal to a real number x.

In 1962, Aizenshtat [1] gave a monoid presentation for \mathcal{O}_n with 2n-2 generators and n^2 relations. In the same year, Popova [18] gave a monoid presentation for \mathcal{PO}_n with 3n-2 generators and $\frac{1}{2}(7n^2-n-4)$ relations (see also [4]). In 2005, Fernandes et. al. [7] provided a monoid presentation for \mathcal{PM}_n with $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + n$ generators and $\frac{1}{4}(7n^2 + 2n + \frac{3}{2}(1 - (-1)^n))$ relations and a monoid presentation for \mathcal{M}_n with n generators and $\frac{1}{2}(n^2 + n + 2)$ relations. A significant amount of research (e.g. [3, 10, 11, 13,

15, 17, 21, 22]) has extensively examined topics closely aligned with the focus of the present work.

In [9], Fernandes and Paulista considered the monoid $\Im O_n$. They showed that $\Im O_n$ coincides with the monoid of all weak endomorphisms of a directed path with n vertices. They also showed that the rank of $\Im O_n$ is n-1. Building upon this work, Fernandes [5] gave a monoid presentation for $\Im O_n$ with n-1 generators and $\frac{1}{2}(3n^2-7n+4)$ relations. After then, in [2], Ayık et al. showed that the rank of $\Im O_n$ is n+1 and gave a monoid presentation for $\Im O_n$ with 4n-4 generators and $3n^2+3n-10$ relations.

The present paper continues in the spirit of the research program outlined above. We give presentations for the monoid \mathcal{PIM}_n , in terms of 4n-3 generators and $5n^2+5n-10$ relations, and for the monoid \mathcal{IM}_n , in terms of 2n-1 generators and $\frac{1}{2}(3n^2+n)$ relations. Moreover, we determine the cardinalities and ranks of these two monoids. In addition, we also characterize and count the nilpotent elements of \mathcal{PIM}_n .

We would like to acknowledge the use of computational tools, namely GAP [20].

1. Combinatorial and algebraic properties

In this section, we collect some combinatorial and algebraic properties of the monoids \mathcal{PIM}_n and \mathcal{IM}_n . It is worth recalling that the cardinalities of the monoids \mathcal{PIO}_n and \mathcal{IO}_n have been calculated as $(n+3)2^n-n^2-3n-2$ in [2, Theorem 3] and $(n+1)2^{n-2}$ in [9, Theorem 2.6] (see also [5]), respectively.

Let $\mathfrak{PIM}_n^r = \{ \alpha \in \mathfrak{PIM}_n \mid \alpha \text{ is order-reversing} \}$ and $\mathfrak{IM}_n^r = \mathfrak{PIM}_n^r \cap \mathfrak{T}_n$. Consider the permutation of order two

$$h = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ n & n-1 & \cdots & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

Observe that, for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{PIM}_n^r$ $[\alpha \in \mathcal{IM}_n^r]$, it is clear that $\alpha h \in \mathcal{PIO}_n$ $[\alpha h \in \mathcal{IO}_n]$ and $Dom(\alpha) = Dom(\alpha h)$. Our first result follows.

Theorem 1. For
$$n \ge 1$$
, $|\mathcal{PIM}_n| = (n+3)2^{n+1} - \frac{n^3 + 5n^2 + 12n + 10}{2}$ and $|\mathcal{IM}_n| = (n+1)2^{n-1} - n$.

Proof. Let us define a mapping $\phi : \mathfrak{PIM}_n^r \to \mathfrak{PIO}_n$ by $\alpha \phi = \alpha h$, for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{PIM}_n^r$. Clearly, ϕ is a bijection, whence $|\mathfrak{PIM}_n^r| = |\mathfrak{PIO}_n|$. Moreover,

since $\mathfrak{PIM}_n^r \cap \mathfrak{PIO}_n$ consists only of constant mappings and there exist $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ non-empty intervals of Ω_n , by including the empty transformation 0_n , we get $|\mathfrak{PIM}_n^r \cap \mathfrak{PIO}_n| = \frac{n^2(n+1)}{2} + 1$. Hence, from [2, Theorem 3] it follows that

$$|\mathfrak{PIM}_n| = 2|\mathfrak{PIO}_n| - \frac{n^2(n+1)}{2} - 1 = (n+3)2^{n+1} - \frac{n^3 + 5n^2 + 12n + 10}{2}.$$

On the other hand, since ϕ is also a bijection from \mathfrak{IM}_n^r into \mathfrak{IO}_n and $|\mathfrak{IM}_n^r \cap \mathfrak{IO}_n| = n$, from [9, Theorem 2.6] it follows that $|\mathfrak{IM}_n| = 2|\mathfrak{IO}_n| - n = (n+1)2^{n-1} - n$.

Let S be a semigroup with zero 0. An element $s \in S$ is called *nilpotent* if there exists a positive integer k such that $s^k = 0$. Let us denote by N(S) the set of all nilpotent elements of S. Observe that, N(S) might not be a subsemigroup of S.

Obviously, $0_n \in \mathcal{PIM}_n$ and so \mathcal{PIM}_n is a semigroup with zero 0_n . In order to find the cardinality of $N(\mathcal{PIM}_n)$, we begin by providing a characterization of the nilpotent elements of \mathcal{PIM}_n which belong to \mathcal{PIM}_n^r .

Lemma 1. Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{PIM}_n^r$. Then, α is nilpotent if and only if $\mathrm{Im}(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{Dom}(\alpha) = \emptyset$.

Proof. First, suppose that $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha) \cap \operatorname{Dom}(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$. Take $i \in \operatorname{Im}(\alpha) \cap \operatorname{Dom}(\alpha)$ and let $k \in \Omega_n$ be such that $k\alpha = i$. If $i \leq k$, then $[i,k] \subseteq \operatorname{Dom}(\alpha)$ and $i = k\alpha \leq i\alpha$, whence $[i,k]\alpha = [i,i\alpha]$ and so $i\alpha \leq k$, which implies that $i\alpha \in \operatorname{Dom}(\alpha) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\alpha)$. Similarly, if $k \leq i$, we can show that $i\alpha \in \operatorname{Dom}(\alpha) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\alpha)$. It follows by iteration that $i\alpha^m \in \operatorname{Dom}(\alpha) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\alpha)$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha) \cap \operatorname{Dom}(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ implies $\alpha^m \neq 0_n$, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. α is not nilpotent.

Conversely, if $\operatorname{Im}(\alpha) \cap \operatorname{Dom}(\alpha) = \emptyset$, then $\operatorname{Dom}(\alpha^2) = \emptyset$, i.e. $\alpha^2 = 0_n$, and so α is nilpotent, as required.

Now, remember we proved in [2, Proposition 4] that $|N(\mathfrak{PIO}_n)| = 2^{n+2} - n^2 - 3n - 3$. Therefore, we can now establish our next result.

Proposition 1. For $n \ge 1$,

$$|N(\mathfrak{PIM}_n)| = 2^{n+2} - n^2 - 3n - 3 + 2\sum_{r=2}^{n-2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-r+1} \sum_{k=2}^{j-1} (j-k) {r-1 \choose k-1}.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{PIM}_n^r$ be a non-null nilpotent element of \mathcal{PIM}_n . Then, $\mathrm{Dom}(\alpha) = [j, j+r-1]$, for some $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-r+1$, and it is not difficult to see that there are

$$\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (j-k) {r-1 \choose k-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-j-r+1} (n-j-r-k+2) {r-1 \choose k-1}$$

possibilities for transformations α with such domain. Since $|\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)| = 1$ implies $\alpha \in N(\mathfrak{PIO}_n)$, we conclude that

$$\begin{split} &N(\mathfrak{PIM}_n^r) \setminus N(\mathfrak{PIO}_n) \\ &= \sum_{r=2}^{n-2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-r+1} \left[\sum_{k=2}^{j-1} (j-k) {r-1 \choose k-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{n-j-r+1} (n-j-r-k+2) {r-1 \choose k-1} \right] \\ &= 2 \sum_{r=2}^{n-2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-r+1} \sum_{k=2}^{j-1} (j-k) {r-1 \choose k-1}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$|N(\mathfrak{PIM}_n)| = |N(\mathfrak{PIO}_n)| + |N(\mathfrak{PIM}_n^r) \setminus N(\mathfrak{PIO}_n)|$$

= $2^{n+2} - n^2 - 3n - 3 + 2 \sum_{r=2}^{n-2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-r+1} \sum_{k=2}^{j-1} (j-k) {r-1 \choose k-1},$

as claimed.

n	$ \mathfrak{IM}_n $	$ \mathfrak{PIM}_n $	$ N(\mathfrak{PIM}_n) $
1	1	2	1
2	4	9	3
3	13	37	11
4	36	123	35
5	91	352	95
6	218	913	231
7	505	2219	521
8	1144	5163	1117
9	2551	11662	2315
10	5622	25809	4693
11	12277	56305	9395
12	26612	121579	18523

Notice that, for $n \ge 2$, it is easy to verify that $N(\mathcal{PIM}_n)$ is not a semigroup.

Next, we will determine the ranks of the monoids \mathfrak{IM}_n and \mathfrak{PIM}_n . First, we consider the monoid \mathfrak{IM}_n . For $n \ge 3$ and $1 \le i \le n-1$, let

$$a_i = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & \cdots & i & i & \cdots & n-1 \end{array}\right)$$

and

$$b_i = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & n \\ 2 & \cdots & i+1 & i+1 & \cdots & n \end{array}\right).$$

It is shown in [9, Proposition 3.3] that $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{n-2}, b_{n-1}\}$ is a generating set of \mathbb{JO}_n with minimum size. Notice that, $\mathbb{JM}_1 = \mathcal{T}_1$ and it is routine matter to check that $\mathbb{JM}_2 = \langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rangle$. Hence, clearly, \mathbb{JM}_1 has rank 0 and \mathbb{JM}_2 has rank 2. Let us consider $n \geq 3$ and define

$$c_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & n-i-1 & n-i & n-i+1 & n-i+2 & \cdots & n \\ n-1 & \cdots & i+1 & i & i & i-1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

for $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to a real number x.

Lemma 2. Let $n \geq 3$. Then, $\{c_1, \ldots, c_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}, h\}$ is a generating set of \mathfrak{IM}_n .

Proof. Since $\alpha = (\alpha h)h$ and $\alpha h \in \mathfrak{IO}_n$, for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{IM}_n^r$, we conclude that \mathfrak{IM}_n is generated by $\mathfrak{IO}_n \cup \{h\}$. On the other hand, it is a routine matter to check that

$$b_{n-1} = c_1 h$$
, $a_i = h c_i$ and $a_{n-i} = c_i c_1$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

Hence, $\mathfrak{IM}_n = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_{n-2}, b_{n-1}, h \rangle \subseteq \langle c_1, \ldots, c_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}, h \rangle$, and so the set $\{c_1, \ldots, c_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}, h\}$ generates \mathfrak{IM}_n , as required.

In order to prove that $\{c_1,\ldots,c_{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor},h\}$ is a generating set of \mathfrak{IM}_n with minimum size, let $D_r=\{\alpha\in\mathfrak{IM}_n\mid|\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)|=r\}$, for $r\in\{n-1,n\}$, and let π_i be the equivalence on Ω_n defined by the partition $\{\{1\},\ldots,\{i-1\},\{i,i+1\},\{i+2\},\ldots,\{n\}\}$, for $1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1$. It is clear that $D_n=\{1_n,h\}=\langle h\rangle$, where 1_n denotes the identity transformation on Ω_n . On the other hand, it is easy to check that $D_{n-1}=\{a_i,ha_i,a_ih,ha_ih\mid 1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1\}$ and, for $1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1$, we have $\operatorname{Ker}(a_i)=\operatorname{Ker}(ha_ih)=\pi_i$ and $\operatorname{Ker}(ha_i)=\operatorname{Ker}(a_ih)=\pi_{n-i}$.

Theorem 2. Let $n \ge 3$. Then, $\operatorname{rank}(\mathfrak{IM}_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$.

Proof. Let U be any generating set of \mathfrak{IM}_n . It is clear that $h \in U$ and $U \cap D_{n-1} \neq \emptyset$, since $\langle h \rangle = D_n$. Let $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Then, there exist $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t \in U$ such that $a_i = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_t$. If t = 1, then $a_i \in U$ and $\operatorname{Ker}(a_i) = \pi_i$. Suppose that $t \geqslant 2$. Since $h^2 = 1_n$, we can also suppose that $\alpha_1 \neq h$ or $\alpha_2 \neq h$. If $\alpha_1 \neq h$, then $\alpha_1 \in D_{n-1}$ and $\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha_1) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(a_i) = \pi_i$, whence $\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha_1) = \pi_i$. On the other hand, if $\alpha_1 = h$, then $\alpha_2 \neq h$ and $ha_i = \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_t$, whence $\alpha_2 \in D_{n-1}$ and $\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha_2) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(ha_i) = \pi_{n-i}$, and so $\operatorname{Ker}(\alpha_2) = \pi_{n-i}$. Therefore, clearly, U contains at least $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ distinct elements of D_{n-1} , whence $|U| \geqslant \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$, and so, by Lemma 2, we get $\operatorname{rank}(\mathfrak{IM}_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$, as required. \square

Now, we consider the monoid \mathcal{PIM}_n . Notice that, $\mathcal{PIM}_1 = \mathcal{PT}_1$ and so \mathcal{PIM}_1 has rank 1. On the other hand, it is easy to check that $\{\binom{1}{1},\binom{1}{1},\binom{1}{1},\binom{2}{1},\binom{1}{2}\}$ is a generating set of \mathcal{PIM}_2 with minimum size and so \mathcal{PIM}_2 has rank 3. For $n \geq 3$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, define the following elements of \mathcal{PIM}_n :

$$e_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i \\ 1 & \cdots & i \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $f_{i+1} = \begin{pmatrix} i+1 & \cdots & n \\ i+1 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix}$.

Recall that, we have shown that $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{n-2}, b_{n-1}, e_{n-1}, f_2\}$ is a minimal generating set of \mathcal{PIO}_n (see [2, Theorem 11]).

Lemma 3. Let $n \ge 3$. Then, $\{c_1, \ldots, c_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}, e_{n-1}, h\}$ is a generating set of \mathfrak{PIM}_n .

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{PIM}_n^r$. Then, $\alpha = (\alpha h)h$ and $\alpha h \in \mathcal{PIO}_n$. This allows us to conclude that \mathcal{PIM}_n is generated by $\mathcal{PIO}_n \cup \{h\}$ and so $\{a_1,\ldots,a_{n-2},b_{n-1},e_{n-1},f_2,h\}$ also generates \mathcal{PIM}_n . Since the transformations $a_1,\ldots,a_{n-2},b_{n-1}$ belong to $\langle c_1,\ldots,c_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2}\rfloor},h\rangle$, by Lemma 2, and $f_2=he_{n-1}h$, it follows that $\mathcal{PIM}_n=\langle a_1,\ldots,a_{n-2},b_{n-1},e_{n-1},h\rangle\subseteq\langle c_1,\ldots,c_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2}\rfloor},e_{n-1},h\rangle$ and so $\{c_1,\ldots,c_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2}\rfloor},e_{n-1},h\}$ generates \mathcal{PIM}_n , as required.

Theorem 3. Let $n \ge 3$. Then, $\operatorname{rank}(\mathfrak{PIM}_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2$.

Proof. Let V be any generating set of \mathfrak{PIM}_n . Clearly, as for \mathfrak{IM}_n , we must have $h \in V$. Let $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Then, there exist $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t \in V$ such that $a_i = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_t$. Since $\mathrm{Dom}(\alpha_1) = \mathrm{Dom}(a_i) = \Omega_n$ and, if $t \geq 2$, $\mathrm{Dom}(\alpha_2) = \mathrm{Dom}(ha_i) = \Omega_n$, the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2 allows us to deduce that V also contains at least $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ distinct

elements of D_{n-1} . On the other hand, V must contain at least a non-full transformation, whence $|V| \ge \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2$, and so, by Lemma 3, we have $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{PIM}_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2$, as stated.

2. Presentations

We begin this section by recalling some notions on presentations. For a set A, let A^* denote the free monoid on A consisting of all finite words over A. The empty word is denoted by 1. A monoid presentation is an ordered pair $\langle A \mid R \rangle$, where A is an alphabet and R is a subset of $A^* \times A^*$. Each element (u, v) of R is called a (defining) relation, and it is usually written by u = v. A monoid M is said to be defined by a presentation $\langle A \mid R \rangle$ if M is isomorphic to A^*/\sim_R , where \sim_R denotes the congruence on A^* generated by R, i.e. \sim_R is the smallest congruence on A^* containing R. Let X be a generating set of a monoid M and let $\phi:A\to M$ be an injective mapping such that $A\phi=X$. If $\varphi:A^*\to M$ is the (unique) homomorphism that extends ϕ to A^* , then we say that X satisfy a relation u = v of A^* if $u\varphi = v\varphi$. Usually, if there is no danger of ambiguity, we represent the set of generators of M and the alphabet by the same symbol, as well as their elements, thus considering the mapping $\phi: A \to M$ such that $x \mapsto x$, for all $x \in A$. For more details, see [16] or [19].

Next, we describe the process established in [6] to obtain a presentation for a finite monoid T given a presentation for a certain submonoid of T. This method will be applied to obtain presentations for \mathfrak{IM}_n and \mathfrak{PIM}_n .

Let T be a (finite) monoid with identity 1, let M be a submonoid of T and let y be an element of T such that $y^2 = 1$. Let us suppose that T is generated by M and y. Let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$ $(k \in \mathbb{N})$ be a generating set of M and $\langle X \mid R \rangle$ a presentation for M. Suppose there exists a set of canonical forms W for $\langle X \mid R \rangle$, i.e. a transversal for the congruence \sim_R of X^* , two subsets U and V of W and a word $u_0 \in X^*$ such that $W = U \cup V$ and u_0 is a factor of each word in U. Let $Y = X \cup \{y\}$ (notice that Y generates T) and suppose there exist words $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k \in X^*$ such that the following relations over the alphabet Y are satisfied by the generating set Y of T:

$$(N_1) yx_i = v_i y$$
, for all $1 \le i \le k$;

$$(N_2) u_0 y = v_0.$$

Observe that the relation (over the alphabet Y)

$$(N_0) y^2 = 1$$

is also satisfied (by the generating set Y of T), by hypothesis. Let

$$\overline{R} = R \cup N_0 \cup N_1 \cup N_2$$
 and $\overline{W} = W \cup \{wy \mid w \in V\} \subseteq Y^*$.

Then, we have the following result.

Theorem 4 ([6, Theorem 2.4]). Under the previous conditions, if W contains the empty word, then \overline{W} is a set of canonical forms for the presentation $\langle Y \mid \overline{R} \rangle$. Moreover, if $|\overline{W}| \leqslant |T|$, then the monoid T is defined by the presentation $\langle Y \mid \overline{R} \rangle$.

Throughout this section we consider $n \ge 2$.

Let $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}\}$ and $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}\}$. Then, $A \cup B$ is a set of generators of $\Im O_n$. Let us consider a presentation $\langle A \cup B \mid R \rangle$ for $\Im O_n$ on these generators; for instance, the presentation established by Fernandes in [5, Theorem 4.8]. Let $\varphi : (A \cup B)^* \to \Im O_n$ be the surjective homomorphism extending the mapping $A \cup B \to \Im O_n$, $a_i \mapsto a_i$ and $b_i \mapsto b_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let W be a set of canonical forms for $\langle A \cup B \mid R \rangle$ and let

$$V = \{ w \in W \mid |\operatorname{Im}(w\varphi)| \geqslant 2 \}.$$

Take $u_0 = a_1^{n-1}$. Then, $u_0 \varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & n \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and so, clearly, $(u_0 w) \varphi = w \varphi$, for all $w \in W \setminus V$. Let

$$U = \{u_0 w \mid w \in W \setminus V\}.$$

Hence, $U \cup V$ is also a set of canonical forms for $\langle A \cup B \mid R \rangle$ and u_0 is a factor of each word in U. Notice that V must contain the empty word.

Let $C = A \cup B \cup \{h\}$. Then, C is a generating set of \mathfrak{IM}_n . Let us consider the following relations over the alphabet C:

$$(N_0) h^2 = 1;$$

$$(N_1) \ ha_i = b_{n-i}h, \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n-1;$$

$$(N_2) a_1^{n-1}h = b_{n-1}^{n-1}.$$

It is a routine matter to check that all relations from $N_0 \cup N_1 \cup N_2$ are satisfied by the generating set C of \mathfrak{IM}_n . Let

$$\overline{R} = R \cup N_0 \cup N_1 \cup N_2$$
 and $\overline{W} = U \cup V \cup \{wh \mid w \in V\} \subseteq C^*$.

Notice that, clearly, $|\overline{W}| = 2|W| - |U| = 2|\Im \mathfrak{I}_n| - n = |\Im \mathfrak{M}_n|$. Observe also that, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, we have

$$hb_i \sim_{N_0} h(b_i h) h \sim_{N_1} h(ha_{n-i}) h \sim_{N_0} a_{n-i} h,$$
 (1)

whence $hb_i \sim_{\overline{R}} a_{n-i}h$ and so, by Theorem 4 and [5, Theorem 4.8], we are able to immediately conclude the following.

Theorem 5. The monoid \mathfrak{IM}_n is defined by the presentation $\langle C \mid \overline{R} \rangle$ on 2n-1 generators and $\frac{1}{2}(3n^2+n)$ relations.

Next, in a similar way, we will get a presentation for \mathcal{PIO}_n .

Let $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$ and $F = \{f_2, \dots, f_n\}$. Then, $A \cup B \cup E \cup F$ is a set of generators of \mathfrak{PIO}_n . For instance, consider the presentation $\langle A \cup B \cup E \cup F \mid R' \rangle$ for \mathfrak{PIO}_n on these generators established by Ayık et al. in [2, Theorem 31]. Let $\psi : (A \cup B \cup E \cup F)^* \to \mathfrak{PIO}_n$ be the surjective homomorphism that extends the mapping $A \cup B \cup E \cup F \to \mathfrak{PIO}_n$, $a_i \mapsto a_i$, $b_i \mapsto b_i$, $e_i \mapsto e_i$ and $f_{i+1} \mapsto f_{i+1}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let W' be a set of canonical forms for $\langle A \cup B \cup E \cup F \mid R' \rangle$ and let

$$V' = \{ w \in W' \mid |\operatorname{Im}(w\psi)| \ge 2 \}.$$

Notice that V' must also contain the empty word. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, $0 \leq j \leq n-i$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$, let $w_{i,j,k} \in W'$ be such that

$$w_{i,j,k}\psi = \begin{pmatrix} i & \cdots & i+j \\ k & \cdots & k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let also $w_0 \in W'$ be such that $w_0 \psi = 0_n$. Observe that

$$W' \setminus V' = \{w_{i,j,k} \mid 1 \le i \le n, 0 \le j \le n - i, 1 \le k \le n\} \cup \{w_0\}.$$

Since $w_{i,j,k}\psi = (w_{i,j,1}u_0w_{1,0,k})\psi$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, $0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-i$ and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, and $(u_0w_0)\psi = w_0\psi$, with

$$U' = \{w_{i,j,1}u_0w_{1,0,k} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant n - i, 1 \leqslant k \leqslant n\} \cup \{u_0w_0\},\$$

we get that $U' \cup V'$ is also a set of canonical forms for $\langle A \cup B \cup E \cup F \mid R' \rangle$ and u_0 is a factor of each word in U'.

Now, let $D = A \cup B \cup E \cup F \cup \{h\}$. Then, D is a generating set of \mathcal{PIM}_n . Consider the following relations over the alphabet D:

$$(N'_1) he_i = f_{n-i+1}h, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

We can routinely prove that all relations from N_1' are satisfied by the generating set D of \mathcal{PIM}_n and so, by a previous observation, all relations from $N_0 \cup N_1 \cup N_1' \cup N_2$ are satisfied by the generating set D of \mathcal{PIM}_n . Let

$$\widehat{R} = R' \cup N_0 \cup N_1 \cup N_1' \cup N_2$$
 and $\widehat{W} = U' \cup V' \cup \{wh \mid w \in V'\} \subseteq D^*$.

Since $|\widehat{W}| = 2|W'| - |U'| = 2|\mathfrak{PIO}_n| - \frac{(1+n)n^2}{2} - 1 = |\mathfrak{PIM}_n|$ and, in a similar way to (1), we get $hf_i \sim_{\widehat{R}} e_{n-i+1}h$, for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, then we have all the conditions guaranteed to, in view of Theorem 4 and [2, Theorem 31], conclude that:

Theorem 6. The monoid \mathfrak{PIM}_n is defined by the presentation $\langle D \mid \widehat{R} \rangle$ on 4n-3 generators and $5n^2+5n-10$ relations.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her comments and observations.

References

- [1] Aizenshtat, A.Ya.: Opredelyayushie sootnosheniya polugruppy endomorfizmov konechnogo lineynogo uporyadochennogo mnozhestva (Defining relations of the semigroup of endomorphisms of a finite linearly ordered set). Sibirskiy Matematicheskiy Zhurnal 3, 161–169 (1962) (in Russian)
- Ayık, H., Fernandes, V.H., Korkmaz, E.: On the monoid of partial order-preserving transformations of a finite chain whose domains and ranges are intervals. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2503.19459 (2025)
- Bugay, L.: On the ranks of certain ideals of monotone contractions. Hacet. J. Math. Stat. 49(6), 1988–1996 (2020). https://doi.org/10.15672/hujms.604715
- [4] Fernandes, V.H.: Presentations for some monoids of partial transformations on a finite chain: a survey. Semigroups, Algorithms, Automata and Languages. In: Gomes, G.M.S., Pin, J.-É., Silva, P.V. (eds.) World Scientific, pp. 363–378 (2002). https://doi.org/10.1142/9789812776884_0015
- [5] Fernandes, V.H.: On the monoid of order-preserving transformations of a finite chain whose ranges are intervals. Semigroup Forum 109, 336–346 (2024). https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00233-024-10466-2
- [6] Fernandes, V.H., Gomes, G.M.S., Jesus, M.M.: Presentations for some monoids of injective partial transformations on a finite chain. Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 28, 903–918 (2004)

- [7] Fernandes, V.H., Gomes, G.M.S., Jesus, M.M.: Presentations for some monoids of partial transformations on a finite chain. Comm. Algebra 33(2), 587–604 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1081/AGB-200047446
- [8] Fernandes, V.H., Gomes, G.M.S., Jesus, M.M.: Congruences on monoids of order-preserving or order-reversing transformations on a finite chain. Glasgow Math. J. 47(2), 413–424 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1017/S0017089505002648
- [9] Fernandes, V.H., Paulista, T.: On the rank of monoids of endomorphisms of a finite directed path. Asian-Eur. J. Math. 16(4), 2350069 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1142 /S1793557123500699
- [10] Fernandes, V.H., Santos, P.G.: Endomorphisms of semigroups of order-preserving partial transformations. Semigroup Forum 99, 333–344 (2019). https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00233-018-9948-z
- [11] Garba, G.U.: Nilpotents in semigroups of partial order-preserving transformations. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 37(3), 361–377 (1994). https://doi.org/10.1017/S0 01309150001885X
- [12] Gomes, G.M.S., Howie, J.M.: On the ranks of certain semigroups of order-preserving transformations. Semigroup Forum 45, 272–282 (1992). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03025769
- [13] Gyudzhenov, I., Dimitrova, I.: On the maximal subsemigroups of the semigroup of all monotone transformations. Discuss. Math. **26**, 199–217 (2006). https://doi.org/10.7151/dmgaa.1112
- [14] Howie, J.M.: Fundamentals of semigroup theory. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1995). https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198511946.001.0001
- [15] Koppitz, J., Worawiset, S.: Ranks and presentations for order-preserving transformations with one fixed point. Turkish J. Math. 46(8), 3408–3418 (2022). https:// doi.org/10.55730/1300-0098.3341
- [16] Lallement, G.: Semigroups and combinatorial applications. John Wiley & Sons, New York (1979).
- [17] Li, D.B., Fernandes, V.H.: Endomorphisms of semigroups of monotone transformations. J. Algebra Appl. 23(13), 2450224 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498824502244
- [18] Popova, L.M.: Opredelyayushie sootnosheniya polugruppy chastichnyh endomorfizmov konechnogo linejno uporyadochennogo mnozhestva (Defining relations of the semigroup of partial endomorphisms of a finite linearly ordered set). Leningrad Gos. Ped. Inst. Uchen. Zap. 238, 78–88 (1962) (in Russian)
- [19] Ruskuc, N.: Semigroup presentations. Dissertation, University of St-Andrews (1995)
- [20] The GAP Group, GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.13.0. https://www.gap-system.org (2024). Accessed 04 June 2025
- [21] Yang, X.: A classification of maximal subsemigroups of finite order-preserving transformation semigroups. Comm. Algebra 28(3), 1503–1513 (2000). https://doi. org/10.1080/00927870008826910
- [22] Zhao, P., Fernandes, V.H.: The ranks of ideals in various transformation monoids. Comm. Algebra 43(2), 674–692 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2013. 847946

Contact information

H. Ayık, E. Korkmaz Çukurova University, Department of Mathematics, Sarıçam, Adana, Türkiye

E-Mail: hayik@cu.edu.tr, ekorkmaz@cu.edu.tr

V. H. Fernandes

Center for Mathematics and Applications (NOVA Math) and Department of Mathematics, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Monte da Caparica,

2829-516 Caparica, Portugal $E ext{-}Mail: ext{vhf@fct.unl.pt}.$

Received by the editors: 13.05.2025

and in final form 04.06.2025.