

On finite groups with $K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma$ -subnormal Schmidt subgroups

Muhammad Tanveer Hussain

Communicated by L. Kurdachenko

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite group and $\sigma = \{\sigma_i | i \in I\}$ be some partition of the set of all primes. A subgroup A of G is said to be $K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma$ -subnormal in G if there is a subgroup chain $A = A_0 \leq A_1 \leq \dots \leq A_n = G$ such that either $A_{i-1} \trianglelefteq A_i$ or $A_i / (A_{i-1})_{A_i} \in \mathfrak{N}_\sigma$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, where \mathfrak{N}_σ is a hereditary K -lattice saturated formation of all σ -nilpotent groups. The formation \mathfrak{N}_σ is called K -lattice if in every finite group G the set $\mathcal{L}_{K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma}(G)$, of all $K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma$ -subnormal subgroups of G , is a sublattice of the lattice $\mathcal{L}(G)$ of all subgroups of G . In this paper we prove that if every Schmidt subgroup of G is $K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma$ -subnormal subgroup of G , then the commutator subgroup G' of G belongs to hereditary K -lattice saturated formation \mathfrak{N}_σ .

Introduction

Throughout this paper, all groups are finite and G always denotes a finite group. Moreover, \mathbb{P} is the set of all primes, $\pi = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ and $\pi' = \mathbb{P} \setminus \pi$. If n is an integer, the symbol $\pi(n)$ denotes the set of all primes dividing n ; as usual, $\pi(G) = \pi(|G|)$, the set of all primes dividing the order of G .

Following [13, 7, 9, 10], a set \mathcal{H} of subgroups of G is said to be a complete Hall σ -set of G if every non-identity member of \mathcal{H} is a Hall

The authors thank the referees for their careful reading and helpful comments.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20D10, 20D15, 20D20.

Key words and phrases: finite group, σ -nilpotent group, K -lattice saturated formation, Schmidt subgroup.

σ_i -subgroup of G for some $\sigma_i \in \sigma(G)$ and \mathcal{H} contains exactly one Hall σ_i -subgroup for every $\sigma_i \in \sigma(G)$. G is said to be; σ -full if G possesses a complete Hall σ -set; σ -primary if G is a σ_i -group for some i ; σ -nilpotent if $G = G_1 \times G_2 \cdots \times G_n$ for some σ -primary groups G_1, \dots, G_n ; σ -soluble if every chief factor of G is σ -primary. A subgroup A of a G is said to σ -subnormal in G if there is a subgroup chain $A = A_0 \leq A_1 \leq \dots \leq A_n = G$ such that either $A_{i-1} \trianglelefteq A_i$ or $A_i/(A_{i-1})_{A_i}$ is σ -primary for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

The symbol \mathfrak{N}_σ denotes the class of all σ -nilpotent groups, then \mathfrak{N}_σ is a formation [13]. $F_\sigma(G)$ is the σ -Fitting subgroup of G , that is, the product of all normal σ -nilpotent subgroups of G .

Recall that a class of groups \mathfrak{F} is called a formation if: (i) $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$ whenever $G \in \mathfrak{F}$, and (ii) $G/(N \cap R) \in \mathfrak{F}$ whenever $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $G/R \in \mathfrak{F}$. The formation \mathfrak{F} is called: saturated or local if $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ whenever $G/\Phi(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$; hereditary if $A \in \mathfrak{F}$ whenever $A \leq G \in \mathfrak{F}$. The $G^{\mathfrak{N}_\sigma}$ denotes the \mathfrak{N}_σ -residual of G [1], that is, the intersection of all normal subgroups N of G with $G/N \in \mathfrak{N}_\sigma$.

A subgroup A of G is said to be K - \mathfrak{N}_σ -subnormal [11] in G if there is a chain of subgroups $A = A_0 \leq A_1 \leq \dots \leq A_t = G$ such that either $A_{i-1} \trianglelefteq A_i$ or $A_i/(A_{i-1})_{A_i} \in \mathfrak{N}_\sigma$ for all $i = 1, \dots, t$.

The set $\mathcal{L}_{K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma}(G)$, of all \mathfrak{N}_σ -subnormal subgroups of G , is partially ordered with respect to set inclusion. Moreover, in the case where \mathfrak{N}_σ is a hereditary formation the set $\mathcal{L}_{K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma}(G)$ is a lattice since $G \in \mathcal{L}_{K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma}(G)$ and, by [1, 6.1.7], for any $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{L}_{K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma}(G)$ the subgroup $A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n \in \mathcal{L}_{K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma}(G)$, so this intersection is the greatest lower bound for $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma}(G)$.

The formation \mathfrak{N}_σ is called K -lattice [1] if in every group G the lattice $\mathcal{L}_{K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma}(G)$ is a sublattice of the lattice $\mathcal{L}(G)$ of all subgroups G , that is, $A \cap B, \langle A, B \rangle \in \mathcal{L}_{K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma}(G)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{L}_{K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma}(G)$.

The full classification of K -lattice hereditary saturated formations were given in the remarkable papers [1, 2, 13, 18], and the authors proved useful in the study of many problems in the theory of finite groups. In the given article, we obtain further applications of the theory of K -lattice formations.

Let \mathfrak{F} be a class of groups. If $G \notin \mathfrak{F}$ but every proper subgroup $H \in \mathfrak{F}$ of G , then G is called \mathfrak{F} -critical [4, p. 517]. An \mathfrak{N} -critical group is called Schmidt group, where \mathfrak{N} is the class of all nilpotent groups.

A large number of publications are related to the study of influence on the structure of the group of its critical subgroups, in particular, Schmidt

subgroups. Our main goal here is to prove the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let \mathfrak{N}_σ be a hereditary K -lattice saturated formation of all σ -nilpotent groups. If every \mathfrak{N}_σ -critical subgroup of G is K - \mathfrak{N}_σ -subnormal in G , then $G/F_\sigma(G) \in \mathfrak{N}_\sigma$. Moreover, if all Schmidt subgroup of G is K - \mathfrak{N}_σ -subnormal in G , then $G/F_\sigma(G)$ is abelian.*

Note: It is notable that every σ -subnormal subgroup of G is K - \mathfrak{N}_σ -subnormal in G . But the converse is not true.

Corollary 1 ([14, Theorem 1.2]). *If every Schmidt subgroup of G is σ -subnormal in G , then $G/F_\sigma(G)$ is abelian.*

In the case when $\sigma = \{\{2\}, \{3\}, \dots\}$, we get from Theorem 1 the following results.

Corollary 2 ([17]). *If every Schmidt subgroup of G is subnormal in G , then G is metanilpotent.*

Corollary 3 ([12]). *If every Schmidt subgroup of G is subnormal in G , then $G/F(G)$ is abelian.*

Corollary 4. *The set of all K - \mathfrak{N}_σ -subnormal subgroups of G forms a sublattice of the lattice of all subgroups of G .*

Proof. It follows directly from [13, Proposition 2.3], [1, Theorem 6.3.9 and Lemma 6.3.11]. \square

Example 1. Let \mathfrak{N}_σ be the class of all σ -nilpotent groups. It is not difficult to show that $G \in \mathfrak{N}_\sigma$ if and only if $G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_t$ for some σ -primary groups G_1, \dots, G_t . Hence \mathfrak{N}_σ is a hereditary formation [13]. Moreover, the class \mathfrak{N}_σ is a hereditary K -lattice saturated formation [13, Lemma 2.5].

Remark 1. According to Skiba in view of [13, Proposition 2.3], a subgroup A of a σ -group G is σ -subnormal in G if and only if it is K - \mathfrak{N}_σ -subnormal in G .

Remark 2. G is nilpotent if and only if it is σ^0 -nilpotent where $\sigma^0 = \{\{2\}, \{3\}, \dots\}$.

1. Preliminaries

Recall that G is said to be π -decomposable if G is σ -nilpotent, where $\sigma = \{\pi, \pi'\}$, that is, $G = O_\pi(G) \times O_{\pi'}(G)$.

Lemma 1 ([3]). *If G is an \mathfrak{F} -critical group, where \mathfrak{F} is the class of all π -decomposable groups, then G is Schmidt group.*

Lemma 2 ([13, Lemma 2.6]). *Let A, K and N be subgroups of G . Suppose that A is σ -subnormal in G and N is normal in G .*

- (i) $A \cap K$ is σ -subnormal in K .
- (ii) AN/N is σ -subnormal in G/N .
- (iii) If A is a σ_i -group, then $A \leq O_{\sigma_i}(G)$.

Lemma 3 ([8, III, 5.2] or [5, Ch. 1, Proposition 1.9]). *If G is Schmidt group, then $G = P \rtimes Q$, where $P = G^{p_1}$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of G and $Q = \langle x \rangle$ is a cyclic Sylow q -subgroup of G . Moreover, $\langle x^q \rangle \leq \Phi(G)$ and P is of exponent p or exponent 4 if P is a non-abelian 2-group.*

Lemma 4 ([6, Lemma 2.1.3]). *Let N be a normal subgroup of G and \mathfrak{F} be a formation. Then $(G/N)^{\mathfrak{F}} = G^{\mathfrak{F}}N/N$.*

Lemma 5 ([13, Lemma 2.5]). *The class of all σ -nilpotent groups \mathfrak{N}_σ is closed under taking direct products, homomorphic images and subgroups. Moreover, if E is a normal subgroup of G and $E/E \cap \Phi(G)$ is σ -nilpotent, then E is σ -nilpotent.*

Lemma 6 ([16, Theorem B]). *If G is σ -soluble, then G is a σ -full group.*

Lemma 7 ([14, Proposition 1.6]). *Suppose that G is σ -soluble and let \mathfrak{F} be the class of all σ'_i -closed groups for some i . If G is an \mathfrak{F} -critical group, then G is σ_i -closed Schmidt group.*

2. Proof of Theorem

Proof. Suppose that this is false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order. Then $D = G^{\mathfrak{N}_\sigma} \neq 1$ and, $|\sigma(G)| > 1$.

(1) G is σ -soluble. Hence G is σ -group and G possesses an abelian minimal normal subgroup L .

Since G is not σ -nilpotent, it has an \mathfrak{N}_σ -critical subgroup K . Then, for some i , K is an \mathfrak{N}_{σ_0} -critical group, where $\sigma_0 = \{\sigma_i, \sigma'_i\}$. It follows that G is Schmidt group by [3]. Therefore G is soluble by [6, Theorem 1.10.7]. Hence G is σ -soluble, and G is σ -group by [13]. So (1) holds.

(2) If H is a proper subgroup of G , then $H^{\mathfrak{N}_\sigma} \leq F_\sigma(H)$. Furthermore, if each Schmidt subgroup of G is $K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma$ -subnormal in G , then $H' \leq F_\sigma(H)$.

This follows from Claim (1), Remark 2, Lemma 2(i) and the choice of G .

(3) If N is a minimal normal subgroup of G , then $(G/N)^{\mathfrak{N}_\sigma} \leq F_\sigma(G/N)$. Furthermore, if each Schmidt subgroup of G is $K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma$ -subnormal in G , then $(G/N)' \leq F_\sigma(G/N)$.

If $G/N \in \mathfrak{N}_\sigma$, it is evident. Now let $G/N \notin \mathfrak{N}_\sigma$. We show that in this case the hypothesis holds for G/N . Assume that H/N is any Schmidt subgroup (respectively, any \mathfrak{N}_σ -critical subgroup) of G/N . Let E be a minimal supplement to N in H . Then $E/E \cap N \cong EN/N = H/N$ is a Schmidt subgroup (respectively, an \mathfrak{N}_σ -critical subgroup) and $E \cap N \leq \Phi(E)$. Let $\Phi = \Phi(E)$ and A be a Schmidt subgroup (respectively, an \mathfrak{N}_σ -critical subgroup) of E . According to Claim (1) and Lemmas 1 and 3 we have

$$(E/E \cap N)/\Phi(E/E \cap N) = (E/E \cap N)/(\Phi/E \cap N) \cong E/\Phi = P \times Q,$$

where P is a Sylow p -subgroup and Q is a Sylow q -subgroup of E/Φ with $|Q| = q$, for some primes $p \neq q$. It follows, again by Lemma 3, that $A = A_p \times A_q$, where $A = (A_q)^A$. Then $A_q \not\leq \Phi$, since Φ is nilpotent. It follows that $\Phi A_q/\Phi$ is a Sylow q -subgroup of H/Φ and thus

$$(\Phi A_q/\Phi)^{E/\Phi} = (A_q)^E \Phi/\Phi = E/\Phi.$$

Hence $(A_q)^E = E$, thus $H = EN = (A_q)^E N$. It follows that, if H/N is an \mathfrak{N}_σ -critical group, then A is an \mathfrak{N}_σ -critical group and, so A is $K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma$ -subnormal subgroups of G by hypothesis. Hence the subgroup A^E is $K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma$ -subnormal subgroups of G since \mathfrak{N}_σ is K -lattice by hypothesis, so $H/N = A^E N/N$ is $K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma$ -subnormal in G/N by Claim (1), Remark 2 and Lemma 2(ii). Therefore the hypothesis holds for G/N , so the choice of G implies that we have (3).

(4) $L \not\leq \Phi(G)$ and for some $p \in \sigma_j$ we have $L = C_G(L) = O_p(G) \leq O_{\sigma_j}(G)$. Moreover, $|L| > p$ and for some maximal subgroup M of G , we have $G = L \rtimes M$.

It is notable that for some $p \in \sigma_j$ we have $L \leq O_p(G) \leq O_{\sigma_j}(G)$ by Claim (1). Claim (3) and Lemma 4 imply that the group

$$(G/L)^{\mathfrak{N}_\sigma} = G^{\mathfrak{N}_\sigma} L/L = DL/L \cong D/D \cap L$$

(respectively, the derived subgroup $(G/L)' = G' L/L \cong G'/G' \cap L$ of G/L) is σ -nilpotent. Assume that G has a minimal normal subgroup

$R \neq L$. Then $D/D \cap R$ (respectively, $G'/G' \cap R$) is σ -nilpotent. But then $D \cong D/(D \cap L) \cap (D \cap R)$ (respectively, $G' \cong G'/(G' \cap L) \cap (G' \cap R)$) is σ -nilpotent. It follows that $G/F_\sigma(G)$ is σ -nilpotent (respectively, $G/F_\sigma(G)$ is abelian), contrary to the choice of G . Hence L is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G . Furthermore, if $L \leq \Phi(G)$ then D (respectively G') is σ -nilpotent by Lemma 5. Hence $L \not\leq \Phi(G)$ and $L = C_G(L) = O_p(G) \leq O_{\sigma_j}(G)$ by [4, A, 15.6(2)]. If $|L| = p$, then $G/C_G(L) = G/L$ is cyclic, so G' is cyclic, it follows that $G/F_\sigma(G)$ is abelian, a contradiction. Hence we have (4).

(5) $L \leq O_{\sigma_i}(G) = F_\sigma(G)$ [This directly follows from Claims (1), (3) and (4)].

(6) $D \leq O_{\sigma_i}(G)$.

Suppose that $\sigma_j \in \sigma \setminus \{\sigma_i\}$. Let G be not σ'_j -closed. Then G possesses an \mathfrak{M} -critical subgroup A , where \mathfrak{M} is the class of all σ'_j -closed groups. In view of Claim (1) and Lemma 7, A is a σ_j -closed Schmidt group. Let $P = O_{\sigma_j}(A)$. By hypothesis, A is $K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma$ -subnormal in G , so A is σ -subnormal in G by Claim (1) and Remark 2. But then P is also σ -subnormal in G , therefore $1 < P < O_{\sigma_j}(G)$ by Lemma 2(iii), contrary to Claim (5). Thus G is σ'_j -closed for every $\sigma_j \in \sigma \setminus \{\sigma_i\}$. Therefore we have (6).

(7) $L = F_\sigma(G) = O_{\sigma_i}(G)$ is a Hall σ_i -group of G .

According to Claims (1), (4) and Lemma 6 we have $F_\sigma(G) = O_{\sigma_i}(G) \leq H$, where H is a Hall σ_i -group of G . Since $D = G^{\mathfrak{M}\sigma} \leq O_{\sigma_i}(G)$ by Claim (6), $H/O_{\sigma_i}(G) \trianglelefteq G/O_{\sigma_i}(G)$. Hence $H \leq O_{\sigma_i}(G)$, it follows that $H = O_{\sigma_i}(G)$. Thus $F_\sigma(G) = H$. Now assume that $L < H$. By the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem G has a σ_i -complement, say W . Then $U = LW < G$, so Claim (2) implies that $U/F_\sigma(U)$ is abelian. Clearly, $F_\sigma(U) = L \times (F_\sigma(U) \cap W)$, where $F_\sigma(U) \cap W$ is a σ'_i -group. But Claim (4) implies that $C_G(L) \leq L$. Hence $F_\sigma(U) = L$ and so $W \cong U/L$ is abelian. Therefore $G/F_\sigma(U) = G/O_{\sigma_i}(G) = G/H$ is abelian, contrary to the choice of G . So we have (7).

(8) A Hall σ'_i -subgroup M of G is a \mathfrak{U} -critical group of order q^n for some prime q , where \mathfrak{U} is the class of all abelian groups.

It is clear that M is a Hall σ'_i -subgroup of G by Claims (4) and (7). Indeed, let S be any maximal subgroup of M . Then $LS/F_\sigma(LS)$ is abelian by Claim (2). In view of Claim (7), $L = (LS)'$ and hence $S \cong LS/L$ is abelian. Thus the choice of G and Claim (7) imply that M is a \mathfrak{U} -critical group. Therefore, M is either a Schmidt group or a minimal non-abelian group of prime power order q^n . In the former case, by Lemma 3, $M = Q \rtimes V$, where $Q = M^{\mathfrak{M}} \in Syl_q(M)$, $V \in Syl_r(M)$ and $q \neq r$, and M is

$K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma$ -subnormal subgroups of G . According to Claim (1) and Remark 2 we have M is σ -subnormal in G , so Q is σ -subnormal in G too. By using Claim (7) and Lemma 2(iii), we get that $Q \leq O_{\sigma_j}(G)$ for some $j \neq i$. But this is impossible by Claim (5). This contradiction shows that we have (8).

Final contradiction. According to Claim (8), $Z(M) \neq 1$. Let W be a subgroup of order q in $Z(M)$ and $H = LW$. Then $W \not\leq Z(H)$ by Claim (4), thus H is not nilpotent and hence it contains a Schmidt subgroup $A = A_p \times W$. Note that $L = L_1 \times \cdots \times L_m$, where R_k is a minimal normal subgroup of H for all $k = 1, \dots, m$ by the Mashcke's theorem. By the hypothesis, A is a $K\mathfrak{N}_\sigma$ -subnormal subgroup of G . Thus A is σ -subnormal in G by Claim (1), Remark 2 and Lemma 2(i). Suppose that $A < H$. Then there is a proper subgroup V of H such that $A \leq V$ and either H/V_H is a σ_i -group or V is normal in H . Since $W \leq V_H < H$, for some k we have $L_k \not\leq V_H$. Hence $L_k \leq C_H(V_H)$, therefore $L_k \leq N_G(W) = M$. But then $L_k^G = L_k^{LM} = L_k^M \leq M$, which implies that $L \leq M$, a contradiction. Therefore $A = H$, thus $L = A_p$ and W acts irreducibly on L . Clearly, $W \leq \Phi(M)$ and therefore every proper subgroup of M acts irreducibly on L , it follows that every maximal subgroup of M is cyclic. Hence $q = 2$ and so $|L| = p$, contrary to Claim (4). The theorem is proved. \square

3. Conflicts of Interest

The author declare no conflicts of interest.

4. Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

References

- [1] Ballester-Bolinches, A., Ezquerro, L.M.: Classes of Finite groups. Springer, Dordrecht (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-4719-3>
- [2] Ballester-Bolinches, A., Doerk, K., Perez-Ramos, V.D.: On the lattice of \mathfrak{F} -subnormal subgroups. J. Algebra. **148**, 42–52 (1992). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693\(92\)90235-E](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(92)90235-E)
- [3] Belonogov, V.A.: Finite groups all of whose 2-maximal subgroups are π -decomposable. Trudy Inst. Mat. i Mekh. Uro RAN. **20**(2), 29–43 (2014).
- [4] Doerk, K., Hawkes, T.: Finite Soluble Groups. In: De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, vol. 4. De Gruyter, Berlin-New York (1992). <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110870138>
- [5] Guo, W.: Structure Theory for Canonical Classes of Finite Groups. Springer, Heidelberg/New York-Dordrecht-London (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-45747-4>

- [6] Guo, W.: The Theory of Classes of Groups. Science Press-Kluwer Acad. Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-4054-6>
- [7] Guo, W., Skiba, A.N.: Finite groups with permutable complete Wielandt sets of subgroups. J. Group Theory. **18**(2), 191–200 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1515/jgth-2014-0045>
- [8] Huppert, B.: Endliche Gruppen I. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg (1967). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-64981-3>
- [9] Hussain, M.T., Cao, C., Zhang, L.: Finite groups with given weakly τ_σ -quasinormal subgroups. Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **49**(5), 1706–1717 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.15672/hujms.573548>
- [10] Hussain, M.T.: Finite groups with σ -abnormal or \mathfrak{F} -subnormal σ -primary subgroups. J. Algebra Appl. **22**(5), 2350101 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498823501013>
- [11] Kegel, O.H.: Untergruppenverbände endlicher Gruppen, die den Subnormalteilverband echt enthalten. Arch. Math. **30**(1), 225–228 (1978). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01226043>
- [12] Monakhov, V.S., Knyagina, V.N.: On finite groups with some subnormal Schmidt subgroups. Siberian Math. Zh. **45**(6), 1316–1322 (2004).
- [13] Skiba, A.N.: On σ -subnormal and σ -permutable subgroups of finite groups. J. Algebra. **436**, 1–16 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2015.04.010>
- [14] Al-Sharo, K.A., Skiba, A.N.: On finite groups with σ -subnormal Schmidt subgroups. Commun. Algebra. **45**, 4158–4165 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2016.1236938>
- [15] Skiba, A.N.: On one generalization of local formations. Problems of Physics, Mathematics and Technics. **1**(34), 76–81 (2018).
- [16] Skiba, A.N.: A generalization of a Hall theorem. J. Algebra Appl. **15**(4), 21–36 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498816500857>
- [17] Semenchuk, V.N.: Finite groups with a system of minimal non- \mathfrak{F} -groups, In: Subgroup Structure of Finite Groups. Minsk: Nauka i tehnika, 138–139 (1981).
- [18] Vasilyev, A.F., Kamornikov, S.F., Semenchuk, V.N.: On lattice of subgroups of finite groups. In: Chernikov, S.M. (ed.) Infinite Groups and Related Algebraic Structures. Kyiv: Institute of Mathematics NAS of Ukraine, 27–54 (1993).

CONTACT INFORMATION

M. T. Hussain

Department of Mathematics, University of
Management and Technology,
Lahore 54770, Pakistan
E-Mail: mthussain@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Received by the editors: 07.03.2024.