

Minimal non-*BFC* rings

O. D. Artemovych

Communicated by L. A. Kurdachenko

ABSTRACT. We study associative rings in which every proper subring is *BFC* (i.e., has center of finite index) and obtain a characterization of minimal non-*BFC* unitary rings of finite characteristic.

Introduction

Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be an associative ring (not necessary with unity). By analogy with group theory (see e.g. [35, Chapter 14, 14.5]), a ring R is called an *FC-ring* (or shortly *FC*) if, for any $a \in R$, the centralizer

$$C_R(a) = \{c \in R \mid c \cdot a = a \cdot c\}$$

is a subgroup of finite index in the additive group R^+ of R [6]. In [9] such rings are called *FIC*. Commutative rings and finite rings are *FC*-rings. The concept of a Lie *FC*-ring can be introduced in the same way as for the associative case (see [5, 7]).

A ring R is called a *BFC-ring* (or a *PE*-ring as in [9]) if every set of pairwise non-commuting elements is finite. Every *BFC*-ring is *FC*. A ring R is *BFC* if and only if $|R : Z(R)| < \infty$ (see e.g. [9, Theorem 2.1]). If R is *FC*, then its adjoint group R° (i.e. the group with respect to the circle operation “ \circ ” defined by the rule $x \circ g = x + g + x \cdot g$) is also *FC*. The study of *FC*-groups is an important topic in group theory.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16L30, 20F24, 16N20, 17B60.

Key words and phrases: *BFC-ring, minimal non-BFC ring, radical ring, adjoint group.*

A number of works are devoted to the study of unitary associative rings R with *FC*-groups of units $U(R)$ (see e.g. [13, 17, 37] and others).

We study rings R for which each proper subring is *BFC*. But first let's describe the terminology.

Notations. For a ring R , elements x, g of R and a non-empty subset S of R

- $[x, g] = x \cdot g - g \cdot x$ is the additive commutator of g and x ,
- $J(R)$ the Jacobson radical of R ,
- $F(R) = \{x \in R \mid x \text{ is of finite order in } R^+\}$ the torsion part of R ,
- $|R : I|$ the index of an additive group I^+ of an ideal I in R^+ ,
- $\text{char } R$ the characteristic of R ,
- $Z(R)$ the center of R ,
- $\mathbb{P}(R)$ the prime radical of R (i.e., the intersection of all prime ideals of R),
- $R' = [R, R]$ the additive subgroup of R^+ generated by all $[x, g]$,
- $C(R)$ the commutator ideal of R , i.e. the ideal of R generated by all $[x, g]$,
- Z_0 the ideal of R generated by all its central ideals,
- $\text{lann } S = \{a \in R \mid a \cdot S = 0\}$, $\text{rann } S = \{a \in R \mid S \cdot a = 0\}$ the left and right annihilators in R and $\text{ann } S = (\text{lann } S) \cap (\text{rann } S)$,
- $\langle X \rangle_{\text{rg}}$ the subring of R generated by $X \subseteq R$ (if $X = \emptyset$, then $\langle X \rangle_{\text{rg}} = 0$),
- x^σ is the image of x with respect to a ring endomorphism σ of R .

If R is a skew field, then its group of units will be denoted by R^* . Moreover, for a prime p , \mathbb{F}_{p^n} denotes the finite field of p^n elements. Further, we define

$$FC(R) = \{x \in R \mid |R : C_R(x)| < \infty\}.$$

A field in which each nonzero element is a root of 1 is called *absolute*. If R is a nonzero radical (i.e., R is a group with respect to the circle multiplication “ \circ ”) *FC*-ring, then the commutator ideal $C(R)$ is proper

in R (Proposition 1). A ring (respectively group) X is said to be *minimal non-BFC* if X is not *BFC* whereas every proper subring (respectively subgroup) of X is *BFC*. The study of minimal non-*BFC* groups began in [11] (see also [4, 12, 28] and others).

We will say that a local ring R contains a *coefficient ring* S if S is a commutative subring of R such that $R = J(R) + S$ and $R/J(R) \cong S/qS$, where q is a prime or $q = 0$ [16]. The presence of a coefficient ring in a local ring was investigated in [15, 16, 38].

We obtain the following.

Theorem 1. *Let R be a unitary ring.*

- (i) *If R is a minimal non-BFC ring, then R is local.*
- (ii) *If R is a local ring of finite characteristic, then R is a minimal non-BFC ring if and only if R is of one of the following types:*
 - (a) *$R = \langle x, y \rangle_{\text{rg}}$ is a 2-generated (as a ring) skew field of prime characteristic with commutative proper subrings,*
 - (b) *R^+ is a p -group, $R = J(R) + S = C(R) + S$, where S is a finite coefficient ring of R , $J(R)$ is commutative, $pR + J(R)^2$ is proper in $J(R)$ and central in R , $J(R/Z_0) = \mathbb{F}u$ with $u^2 = 0$,*

$$\mathbb{F} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{F}_{p^{q^n}} \tag{1}$$

is an absolute field, $S/pS \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^{q^m}}$ is a finite field (p and q are primes; $m \geq 0$ is an integer), there exists a nontrivial automorphism σ of $(S + Z_0)/Z_0$ such that $u\alpha = \alpha^\sigma u$ for each $\alpha \in (S + Z_0)/Z_0$, the multiplicative group $(S/pS)^ = \langle c + pS \rangle$ is cyclic and $c^{\frac{p^q - 1}{p^{q^m} - 1}} \in Z(R)$.*

We also study rings R with the property that all proper subgroups of the adjoint group R° are *BFC* and prove the next.

Theorem 2. *Let R be a ring with every proper subgroup of the adjoint group R° to be *BFC*.*

- (1) *If R is nil, then $R^{\circ\prime}$ is proper in R° .*
- (2) *If R is a radical ring and $R^{\circ\prime}$ is proper in R° , then R is *BFC*.*
- (3) *If R is a local ring, then R is *BFC*.*

Finally, any unexplained terminology is standard as in [20, 35].

1. Preliminaries

First, we establish two lemmas.

Lemma 1 ([33, Lemma 1]). *If S is a proper subring of finite index in a ring R , then there exists an ideal I of R such that $I \subseteq S$ and $|R : I| < \infty$.*

Lemma 2. *Let R be an FC-ring and $a \in R \setminus Z(R)$. Then there exists a proper ideal I_a of finite index in R such that $I_a \subseteq C_R(a)$ and*

$$I_a \cdot [R, a] = 0 = [R, a] \cdot I_a$$

where $[R, a] = \{[r, a] \mid r \in R\}$. Moreover, if R is radical, then $\text{ann } R \neq 0$.

Proof. The ideal I_a exists by Lemma 1. Moreover, $rai = ria = ari$ and $ira = air = iar$ for any $r \in R$, $i \in I_a$ and consequently $[a, r]i = 0 = i[a, r]$. This means that $I_a \subseteq \text{ann}[R, a]$.

Assume that R is radical. Since $(R/I_a)^n = 0$ for some positive integer n by [40, Theorem 1], we conclude that

$$R^n \cdot [a, R] = 0 = [a, R] \cdot R^n$$

what implies that $\text{ann } R \neq 0$. □

Lemma 3. *A radical ring R is FC (respectively BFC) if and only if its adjoint group R° is an FC-group (respectively a BFC-group).*

Proof. It holds because $C_R(a) = C_{R^\circ}(a)$ for any $a \in R$. □

Lemma 4. *Let R be a radical ring and $0 \neq a \in R$. If $|R : \text{lann } a| < \infty$, then $\text{rann } R \neq 0$.*

Proof. If $\text{lann } a = R$, then $Ra = 0$. Therefore we assume that $\text{lann } a$ is proper in R . Then there exists an ideal I of R such that $I \subseteq \text{lann } a$ and $|R : I| < \infty$. Since $R^n \subseteq I$ for some positive integer n in view of [40, Theorem 1] ($n \geq 2$ is the lowest possible integer with such a property), we conclude that $0 \neq R^{n-1}a \subseteq \text{rann } R$. □

A ring R is called *right T-nilpotent* if, for every sequence $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of its elements, there is a positive integer n such that

$$a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_1 = 0.$$

A ring R is *M-nilpotent* if, for every double sequence

$$\dots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$$

of its elements, there exist integers m, k ($k \geq 0$) such that

$$a_m a_{m+1} \cdots a_{m+k} = 0$$

(see [36]). The set $\{a \in R \mid RaR = 0\}$ is called a *middle annihilator* of R . From [36] it follows that R is M -nilpotent if and only if each its nonzero homomorphic image has nonzero middle annihilator. Each right T -nilpotent ring is M -nilpotent.

Corollary 1. *Let R be a radical ring. If $\text{lann } a$ is of finite index in R for any $a \in R$, then R is right T -nilpotent.*

Proof. In fact, every proper quotient ring of R has a nonzero right annihilator by Lemma 4. Therefore, R is right T -nilpotent by [18, Theorems 1.3]. \square

The following fact is probably well known.

Lemma 5. *If R is a nonzero M -nilpotent ring, then R^2 is proper in R .*

Proof. By contrary. Assume that $R = R^2$. Then $R^3 \neq 0$ and therefore there exists an element $r_0 \in R$ such that $0 \neq Rr_0R = R^2r_0R^2$. As a consequence, $Rr_{-1}r_0r_1R \neq 0$ for some $r_{-1}, r_1 \in R$. By the same argument we can construct a sequence $\{r_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ such that

$$r_{-n} \cdots r_{-2} r_{-1} r_0 r_1 r_2 \cdots r_n \neq 0$$

for each integer n , a contradiction. \square

Proposition 1. *Let R be a nonzero radical ring. If R is an FC -ring, then its commutator ideal $C(R)$ is proper in R .*

Proof. By contrary. Assume that $C(R) = R$. Then $R^2 = R$. Inasmuch as R/A is non-commutative for each proper ideal A of R , we deduce that $\text{rann}(R/A) \neq 0$ as consistent with Lemma 2 and [40, Theorem 1]. This yields that R is right T -nilpotent by [18, Theorem 1.3]. But then R^2 is proper in R by Lemma 5, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 2. *Let R be a radical FC -ring. If $\text{rann } R = 0$ (respectively $\text{lann } R = 0$ or R^+ is torsion-free), then R is commutative.*

Proof. In fact, if $a \in R$ is non-central, then there exists an ideal I_a of finite index in R such that $[R, a] \subseteq \text{ann } I_a$. Since a finite radical ring is nilpotent by [40, Theorem 1], we obtain that $R^n \subseteq I_a$ (n is minimal possible). Then $\text{ann } R$ is nonzero, a contradiction. \square

As follows from [23, Proposition 1] (see e.g. [6, Corollary 2 and Lemma 18]), the commutator ideal $C(R)$ is of finite in R if and only if $|R : Z(R)| < \infty$. If $|R : Z(R)| < \infty$, then R is a *BFC*-ring and the torsion part

$$F(R^\circ) = \{x \in R \mid x \text{ is of finite order in } R^\circ\}$$

is a normal subgroup of the adjoint group R° .

Recall that a ring is *prime* if a product of any two its nonzero ideals is nonzero.

From Lemma 2 it holds that a prime *FC*-ring is commutative or finite simple. We can even say more.

Lemma 6. *Let R be an infinite prime ring and I its nonzero ideal. If I is *FC* (as a ring), then R is commutative.*

Proof. Assume that R is non-commutative. Then I is non-commutative and so there exists $a \in I \setminus Z(I)$. Then I contains a proper ideal I_a of finite index such that $I_a \subseteq C_I(a)$ and $[I, a] \subseteq \text{ann } I_a = 0$. Since every ideal of a prime ring is a prime ring, we obtain a contradiction.

Thus R is commutative. □

We note that $R^L = (R, +, [-, -])$ is a Lie ring with respect to a Lie bracket “ $[-, -]$ ” defined by the rule $[a, b] = a \cdot b - b \cdot a$ for all $a, b \in R$ (so-called *the associated Lie ring* of R). If U is an additive subgroup of R such that $[u, r] \in U$ for any $u \in U$ and $r \in R$, then U is called a *Lie ideal* of R . Each ideal of R is its Lie ideal. Obviously that U is a Lie ideal of R if and only if U is an ideal of the Lie ring R^L . For example, center $Z(R)$ is a Lie ideal of R .

Lemma 7. *If I is a Lie ideal of a ring R , then its commutator subgroup I' and the commutator ideal $C(I)$ (i.e., generated by I' in I) are Lie ideals of R .*

Proof. Let $a, b \in I$, $r \in R$ and $c \in [I, I]$, where $c = [i, j]$ for $i, j \in I$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [r, acb] &= [r, a]cb + a[r, c]b + ac[r, b] = \\ &= [r, a]cb - a[[i, r], j]b - a[[r, j], i]b + ac[r, b] \in C(I). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the assertion holds. □

Lemma 8. *If A is a finite Lie ideal of a ring R , then $|R : C_R(A)| < \infty$.*

Proof. Let $a \in A$. The group homomorphism $\psi : R^+ \ni a \mapsto [x, a] \in R^+$ has the finite image $[R, a]$ and so the kernel $\text{Ker } \psi = C_R(a)$ is of finite index in R^+ . Since A is finite, the result follows. \square

Considering the following lemma, we note that infinite rings with finite proper subrings were investigated in [30].

Lemma 9. *Let R be an infinite radical ring such that $pR = 0$ for some prime p . If all proper left ideals of R are finite, then $R^2 = 0$ and $R = u\mathbb{F}$ for some $u \in R$, where \mathbb{F} is an absolute field of type (1) (p and q are primes).*

Proof. Since Ra and $\text{lann } a$ are left ideals of an infinite ring R for each $a \in R$, we conclude that $Ra = 0$. This implies that $R^2 = 0$ and R is an algebra over some field \mathbb{F} . Since R is infinite, it is an indecomposable \mathbb{F} -module what yields that $R = u\mathbb{F}$ for some $u \in R$. Thus \mathbb{F} is infinite.

If \mathbb{G} is an infinite proper subfield of \mathbb{F} , then $u\mathbb{G}$ is an infinite proper ideal of R , a contradiction. Moreover, \mathbb{F}_{p^m} is a subfield of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} (m and n are positive integers) if and only if m is a divisor of n . Hence \mathbb{F} is of the form (1). \square

By $\text{IDer } R$ we denote the set of all inner derivations ∂_x of R , where $\partial_x(y) = [x, y]$ ($x, y \in R$).

Lemma 10. *Let R be a ring. Then:*

- (i) *the commutator subgroup R' is finite if and only if the commutator ideal $C(R)$ is finite;*
- (ii) *R is BFC if and only if the commutator subgroup R' is finite.*

Proof. (i) (\Leftarrow) It holds from the fact that $R' \subseteq C(R)$.

(\Rightarrow) Since the set $\{[x, y] \mid x, y \in R\}$ is finite, we conclude that $|\text{IDer } R| < \infty$. Then $C(R)$ is finite by [6, Lemma 18(5)].

(ii) This part it follows from [9, Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.1], [23, Proposition 1] and [6, Lemma 18(5)]. But we will prove it directly.

(\Leftarrow) Inasmuch as R' is finite, we conclude that

$$\text{IDer } R = \{\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}\}$$

for some $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$. Then, for every $a \in R$, there exists some $x_i \in R$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) such that $\partial_a = \partial_{x_i}$ and so $a \in x_i + Z(R)$. Hence $|R : Z(R)|$ is finite and R is BFC.

(\Rightarrow) If R is *BFC*, then $|R : Z(R)| < \infty$ by [9, Theorem 2.1] and therefore $R = \langle Z(R), x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle_{\text{rg}}$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$. Then, for any $r, t \in R$, there exist i, j ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$) such that $[r, t] = [x_i, x_j]$ because $r = z_1 + x_i$, $t = z_2 + x_j$ for some $z_1, z_2 \in Z(R)$. Thus the set $R' = \{[r, t] \mid r, t \in R\}$ is finite. \square

2. Unitary rings

Recall that a unitary ring R is *local* if the quotient ring $R/J(R)$ is a skew field.

Lemma 11. *Let R be a unitary ring with proper subrings to be *FC* (respectively *BFC*). If R is not local, then it is *FC* (respectively *BFC*).*

Proof. 1) Assume that R is infinite with proper subrings to be *FC*. Let M_1, M_2 be different maximal ideals of R . Then each M_i is an *FC*-ring and the quotient ring R/M_i (which is isomorphic to $M_j/(M_i \cap M_j)$) is *FC* (here and everywhere below in the proof $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$) and so it is commutative or finite by Lemma 6. Obviously that $M_1 \cap M_2 \subseteq FC(R)$.

We consider the possible cases.

a) Suppose that R/M_1 and R/M_2 are finite. If $x \in M_i \setminus M_j$, then $C_{M_i}(x) \subseteq C_R(x)$, and thus $x \in FC(R)$.

b) Let $|R/M_1| = |R/M_2| = \infty$ and $a \in M_i \setminus M_j$. Since R/M_j is an infinite field, we have that $X_j := \langle M_j, a \rangle_{\text{rg}}$ is proper in R . Then $C_{M_i}(a) + C_{X_j}(a)$ is of finite index in R and thus $a \in FC(R)$.

c) Assume that $|R/M_i| = \infty$, $|R/M_j| < \infty$. Then $M_j \subseteq FC(R)$. Since M_j is *BFC*, we obtain that R contains an ideal A of finite index such that $A \subseteq Z(M_j)$ and $A^2C(R) = 0$. If $A + \langle m \rangle_{\text{rg}} \neq R$ for each $m \in M_i$, then $M_i \subseteq FC(R)$ and so R is *BFC*. Therefore we assume that $A + \langle u \rangle_{\text{rg}} = R$ for some $u \in M_i$. Inasmuch as $A + M_i = R$, we deduce that $A = A^k + M_i \cap A$ for any positive integer k . Then from $[u, a_1 a_2 a_3 + s] = 0$ for any $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A$ and $s \in M_i \cap A$ it follows that $A \subseteq Z(R)$ what implies that R is *BFC*.

Hence R is *FC*.

2) Now we assume that R is an infinite ring with proper subrings *BFC*. By the part 1), R is *FC*. Assume that R is non-commutative. Applying Lemma 2 we see that R contains a proper ideal B of finite index such that $|B : Z(B)| < \infty$. As a consequence, $R = \langle Z(B), a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle_{\text{rg}}$ for some its elements a_1, \dots, a_n , where the number n of generators is minimal possible. Then $n \geq 2$. Since $L_i = \langle Z(B), a_i \rangle_{\text{rg}}$ is *BFC*,

$|R : L_i| < \infty$ and $|L_i : Z(L_i)| < \infty$, we conclude that $|R : Z(L_i)| < \infty$ for any $i = 1, \dots, n$. On this basis we obtain that $Z(R)$ is of finite index in R and hence R is *BFC*. \square

Then [6, Lemma 6] can be reformulated as follows.

Lemma 12. *Let R be a local ring. The following conditions hold.*

- (i) *If $R/J(R)$ is infinite, then R is FC if and only if it is commutative.*
- (ii) *If $R/J(R)$ is finite, then R is FC if and only if $U(R)$ is an FC-group.*

Proof. (i) Assume that $|R/J(R)| = \infty$.

(\Rightarrow) The quotient ring $R/J(R)$ not contains a proper subring of finite index in view of [24, Corollary 1]. Since $C_R(a)$ is of finite index in R for each $a \in R$, we conclude that R is commutative.

(\Leftarrow) Obviously.

(2) Now assume that $R/J(R)$ is finite.

(\Rightarrow) We see that, for any $a \in R$,

$$\begin{aligned} |R : C_R(a)| < \infty &\Leftrightarrow |J(R) : C_{J(R)}(a)| < \infty \Leftrightarrow \\ &\Leftrightarrow |1 + J(R) : C_{1+J(R)}(a)| < \infty \end{aligned}$$

and, as a consequence, $U(R)$ is an FC-group.

(\Leftarrow) Inasmuch as the residue field $R/J(R)$ is finite, $(R/J(R))^*$ and $U(R)/(1 + J(R))$ are isomorphic, we deduce that

$$|J(R) : C_{J(R)}(a)| < \infty \Leftrightarrow |U(R) : C_{U(R)}(a)| < \infty$$

for each $a \in R$ and so R is FC. \square

Example 1. Let A be a field of the form (1), $B = \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$, $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. We consider a local ring

$$R = Au + B = \{au + b \mid a \in A, b \in B \text{ and } u^2 = 0\}$$

with a multiplication induced by the rule

$$ua = a^p u.$$

Then

$$[au, b] = a(b^p - b)u,$$

and so the Jacobson radical $J(R) = Au$. Let S be a proper subring of R . If $J(R) \subseteq S$, then $S = Au + \mathbb{F}_p$ and thus S is commutative. So, $b^{p-1} \in Z(R)$. Assume that $J(R) \not\subseteq S$. Since $J(R) \cap S$ is proper in $J(R)$ (and thus it is finite) and $S/(J(R) \cap S)$ is a field consists of p^2 elements, we obtain that S is finite (and consequently *BFC*). Finally, $|R : C_R(B)| = \infty$ what means that R is not *BFC*, but with proper subrings to be *BFC*.

Corollary 3. *A minimal non-BFC (respectively non-FC) unitary ring is local.*

Proof. It holds in view of Lemma 11 and Example 1. □

We will need the next fact.

Lemma 13 ([38, Theorem 2.2]). *Let R be a local ring with the nilpotent Jacobson radical $J(R)$. If $R/J(R)$ is a field which is algebraic over \mathbb{F}_p , then R has a coefficient ring S .*

The next corollary is in the some sense related to [8, Corollary 34(iv)].

Corollary 4. *Let R be a local ring with the nilpotent Jacobson radical $J(R)$ and the finite residue field $R/J(R)$. If $J(R)$ is a principal right ideal, then R is finite.*

Proof. Assume that $J(R)^n = 0$ for some positive integer n and $J(R) = uR$ for some $u \in R$. Then $R = J(R) + S$ has a coefficient ring S by Lemma 13. Since $p^i S/p^{i+1}S$ and S/pS are isomorphic as additive groups for any positive integer i , we conclude that S is finite. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R &= J(R) + S = uJ(R) + uS + S = u^2J(R) + u^2S + uS + S = \dots = \\ &= u^{n-1}J(R) + u^{n-1}S + \dots + uS + S = u^{n-1}S + \dots + uS + S \end{aligned}$$

is finite. □

Remark 1. Let \mathbb{F} be a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Then a nonzero element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ is algebraic over the prime subfield \mathbb{F}_p if and only if the field

$$\mathbb{F}_p(\alpha) = \left\{ \frac{f(\alpha)}{g(\alpha)} \mid f, g \in \mathbb{F}_p[X] \text{ and } g(\alpha) \neq 0 \right\}$$

is finite which is equivalent to that α is of finite order in the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_p(\alpha)^*$. Hence the multiplicative group \mathbb{F}^* is torsion infinite if and only if \mathbb{F} is an absolute field.

If $\beta \in \mathbb{F}^*$ is of infinite order, then it is transcendental over \mathbb{F}_p . The ring $\mathbb{F}_p[\beta]$ is isomorphic to the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$, $X^{-1} \notin \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ and so $\mathbb{F}_p[\beta] \neq \mathbb{F}$.

An associative ring R is *Lie nilpotent* if there exists a positive integer n such that

$$[[x_1, \dots, x_n], x_{n+1}] = 0$$

for all $x_i \in R$ ($i = 1, \dots, n + 1$).

Lemma 14. *Let R be a local ring with the additive p -group R^+ and let the unit group $(R/J(R))^*$ be infinite torsion. If all proper subrings of R are BFC, then R is the ones.*

Proof. In view of Remark 1 it follows that the multiplicative group

$$(R/J(R))^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle \bar{a}_i \rangle$$

is an union of cyclic subgroups $\langle \bar{a}_i \rangle$ such that $\langle \bar{a}_i \rangle \subseteq \langle \bar{a}_{i+1} \rangle$. Let a_i be an inverse image of \bar{a}_i in R . Then each $S_i = J(R) + \langle a_i \rangle_{\text{rg}}$ is BFC and so $|S'_i| < \infty$. Applying Lemmas 7 and 8 and [23, Proposition 1] we conclude that $S'_i \subseteq Z(R)$. By the same argument, if $K := J(R) + \langle a_i, a_j \rangle_{\text{rg}}$ for any positive integers i and j , then K' is finite and thus it is central in R . Hence $[R, R] \subseteq Z(R)$ and therefore R is Lie nilpotent. The unit group $U(R)$ is also nilpotent by [14, Corollary 3.4] what means that

$$U(R) = (1 + J(R)) \times (R/J(R))^*$$

is a group direct product of an unipotent p -group $1 + J(R)$ and a p' -group $(R/J(R))^*$. This implies that $[R, R] \subseteq [J(R), J(R)]$ is finite and R is BFC. □

Unfortunately, it is not known whether it exists a (non-commutative) skew field with commutative proper subrings (see e.g. [19, 26]).

Lemma 15. *Let R be a local ring such that the unit group $(R/J(R))^*$ is non-torsion. If R is a minimal non-BFC ring, then $J(R) \subseteq Z(R)$. Moreover, if $R/J(R)$ is non-commutative, then $R = \langle x, y \rangle_{\text{rg}}$ is a 2-generated (as a ring) skew field of prime characteristic with commutative proper subrings.*

Proof. Let $b \in U(R)$ is of infinite order and $N = J(R) + \langle b \rangle_{\text{rg}}$. Then $|N : J(R)| = \infty$. If we assume that $a \in N \setminus Z(N)$, then there exists an ideal X of finite index in N such that $[N, a] \subseteq \text{ann } X$ and so $X \subseteq J(R)$, a contradiction. From this it holds that N is commutative. In common with above it follows that $C_R(J(R))$ is commutative.

If $a \in R \setminus C_R(J(R))$, then $a \in U(R)$ is of finite order. Since $(\langle a \rangle_{\text{rg}} + J(R))/J(R)$ is finite, we deduce that $M = \langle C_R(J(R)), a \rangle_{\text{rg}}$ is proper in R (and consequently it is BFC). Inasmuch as $J(R)$ is of infinite index in $C_R(J(R))$, we conclude that M is commutative in view of Lemma 2. Hence $J(R) \subseteq Z(R)$. But then $J(R) \cdot C(R) = 0$. If $R/J(R)$ is non-commutative, then $R = C(R)$ and so $J(R)$ is zero. This implies that R is a skew field by Lemma 6. If $a, b \in R$ are non-commuting, then $R = \langle a, b \rangle_{\text{rg}}$ in view of Lemma 2.

Finally, $pR = 0$ for some prime p . □

Given the previous lemma, we note that the following is true.

Lemma 16. *Let R be a simple ring with commutative proper subrings. If $[R', R']$ is proper in R' and $\text{char } R \neq 2$, then R is commutative.*

Proof. Since $[R', R']$ is proper in R' , we deduce that $T([R', R']) = \{x \in R \mid [x, R] \subseteq [R', R']\}$ is also proper in R by [20, Lemma 1.4]. Hence $[R', R']$ is abelian and $R' \subseteq Z(R)$ by [21, Lemma 1]. But then R is commutative by [21, Lemma 1]. □

Lemma 17. *Let R be a local ring such that $(R/J(R))^*$ is non-torsion abelian. If all proper subrings of R are BFC, then R is commutative.*

Proof. The Jacobson radical of the ring R is central by Lemma 15. The ring R is non-commutative and thus there are non-commuting $a, b \in R$. Let $E = \langle a, b \rangle_{\text{rg}}$.

a) Let a be of infinite order. Since $[E, a] \subseteq \text{ann } I_a \subseteq C_E(a)$ for some ideal I_a of finite index in E by Lemma 2, we deduce that $K = (E + J(R))/J(R)$ is finite, a contradiction.

b) Now suppose that a, b are of finite orders. Then K is a finite subfield of $R/J(R)$ with the cyclic multiplicative group K^* and consequently E is commutative, a contradiction.

In this way R is commutative. □

Lemma 18. *Let R be a local ring with a coefficient ring S . If R is a minimal non-BFC ring, then one of the following holds:*

(i) $(R/J(R))^*$ is non-torsion;

(ii) $(R/J(R))^*$ is infinite torsion, R^+ is non-torsion,

$$C(R) \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n F(R) \subseteq Z(R); \tag{2}$$

(iii) $(R/J(R))^*$ is finite and $\text{ann } R' = \text{ann } C(R)$; moreover, if $\text{char } R = p$ is a prime, then $J(R)$ is a commutative ring that not contains a proper subring of finite index.

Proof. Assume that $(R/J(R))^*$ is infinite torsion. Then $R/J(R)$ is commutative by [22]. Since R is non-BFC, we conclude that $F(R)$ is proper in $J(R)$ in view of Lemma 14. If $a, b \in U(R)$, then $L = J(R) + \langle a, b \rangle_{\text{rg}}$ is proper in R and consequently $C_R(L) = R$ in view of Lemmas 7, 8 and [24, Corollary 1]. This implies that $[R, R] \subseteq Z(R)$ and so R is Lie nilpotent. But then $C(R)$ satisfies (2).

Next assume that $R/J(R)$ is a finite field. Then it is not difficult to see that $\text{ann } R' = \text{ann } C(R)$. Suppose that R is of prime characteristic p . If I is an ideal of finite index in R that is proper in $J(R)$, then $I + S$ (and consequently R) is BFC, a contradiction. So, $J(R)$ not contains a proper subring of finite index in view of Lemma 2. Therefore $J(R)$ is commutative. \square

Proposition 2. *Let R be a local ring of prime characteristic p such that $J(R)^2 \neq J(R)$ with a coefficient ring S . If all proper subrings of R are BFC, then R is either BFC or $R = J(R) + S$ is a group direct sum, $J(R) = C(R)$ is nilpotent, $J(R/Z_0) = \mathbb{F}u$, where $u^2 = 0$, \mathbb{F} is an absolute field of prime characteristic p , $S \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^q}$ is a finite field (p and q are primes, $m \geq 0$ is an integer) such that $S^* = \langle c \rangle$ and $c^{\frac{p^q m - 1}{p^q m - 1}} \in Z(R)$. In the last case, if $a \in S_1$, where S_1 is a homomorphic image of S in R/Z_0 , then $ua = a^\sigma u$ for nontrivial automorphism σ of S_1 .*

Proof. Assume that R is not BFC. The quotient ring $R/J(R) \cong S$ is commutative and so it is finite by Lemmas 17 and 14. Then Jacobson radical $J(R)$ is commutative by Lemma 18.

a) If A is an infinite ideal of R that is proper in $J(R)$, then $A + S$ is BFC and consequently $|A + S : Z(A + S)| < \infty$. This implies that R has an infinite central ideal. Then $Z_0 \neq J(R)$ because R is not commutative.

Consider the quotient ring $B = R/Z_0 = J(B) + S_1$, where S_1 is a homomorphic image of S in B and $S_1 \cong S$ are isomorphic. Every ideal H

of B that is proper in $J(B)$ is finite and consequently $H \cdot J(B) = 0$. Since $J(B)^2$ is finite, we deduce that $|J(B) : \text{lann } a| < \infty$ for each $a \in J(B)$ and thus $J(B) = \text{lann } a$. Hence $J(B)^2 = 0$. If K is a finite ideal of $J(B)$, then KS_1 is a finite ideal of B and so $J(B) = u\mathbb{F}$ by Lemma 9, where \mathbb{F} is an absolute field and $u^2 = 0$. Assume that B is BFC (and so B' is finite). Then $|B : Z(B)| < \infty$ what gives that $J(B) \subseteq Z(B)$. Then $B' \subseteq Z(B)$ and B is Lie nilpotent. This gives that the unit group $U(B)$ is torsion (because $(R/J(R))^*$ is a finite p' -group and $1 + J(R)$ is a p -group by [1, Lemma 2.4]) and nilpotent by [25] and [31]. Since every torsion nilpotent group is a direct product of its Sylow subgroups, we conclude that B is commutative. But then $R' \subseteq Z_0$, R is Lie nilpotent what forces R is commutative, a contradiction. Hence B is not BFC.

b) From $uS_1 \subseteq \mathbb{F}u$ it follows that there exists $a^\sigma \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $ua = a^\sigma u$ for any $a \in S_1$. Then

$$(a + b)^\sigma u = u(a + b) = ua + ub = a^\sigma u + b^\sigma u = (a^\sigma + b^\sigma)u$$

and

$$(ab)^\sigma u = u(ab) = (ua)b = (a^\sigma u)b = a^\sigma(ub) = (a^\sigma b^\sigma)u$$

what means that $\sigma : S_1 \ni a \mapsto a^\sigma \in \mathbb{F}$ is a ring homomorphism. Moreover, $\sigma(1)$ is nonzero and so $\sigma(1) = 1$. If $a \in S_1$ is nonzero, then $a^l = 1$ for some positive integer l and thus $\sigma(a) \neq 0$. This yields that σ is a field monomorphism and $\sigma(S_1)$ is isomorphic to some $\mathbb{F}_{p^{q^m}}$.

The multiplicative group $S^* = \langle c \rangle$ is cyclic of order $p^{q^m} - 1$. Inasmuch as $|J(R) + E : Z(J(R) + E)| < \infty$ for each proper subfield E of S and $J(R)$ not contains a proper subring of finite index in view of Lemma 18, we deduce that E is central. Since S has unique maximal subfield of order $p^{q^{m-1}}$, we deduce that $c^{\frac{p^{q^m}-1}{p^{q^{m-1}}-1}} \in Z(R)$.

It is not difficult to see that $B' = J(B)$ and therefore $R' + Z_0 = J(R)$ what gives that $C(R) + Z_0 = J(R)$. Inasmuch as $R' = [R', S]$, we obtain that $C(R) + S = R$. Finally, $J(R)^2 \subseteq Z_0$ and so $J(R)^2 C(R) = 0$. Then $C(R)^3 = 0$ and consequently $J(R)$ is nilpotent. \square

Lemma 19. *If R is a minimal non-BFC unitary ring and $R/J(R)$ is finite, then $J(R)^2 + pR$ is proper in $J(R)$ and central in R , $J(R)$ is commutative and not contains a proper subring of finite index and*

- (a) R^+ is a p -group for some prime p , or
- (b) $C(R)$ satisfies (2).

Proof. The ring R is local by Corollary 3. Then $R = J(R) + \langle a \rangle_{\text{rg}}$ for some $a \in R$ and so $pR \subseteq J(R)$ for some prime p . Since $J(R)$ is *BFC*, we conclude that $|J(R) : Z(J(R))| < \infty$ what yields that R contains an ideal $A \subseteq Z(J(R))$ of finite index. The ring R is not *BFC* and therefore $A + \langle a \rangle_{\text{rg}} = R$. This means that $J(R)$ is commutative. Then $J(R)^2 \subseteq Z(R)$ in view of [27, Lemma 5.4]. Inasmuch as $pJ(R) \subseteq Z(R)$ and $R' = [J(R), \langle a \rangle_{\text{rg}}]$, we deduce that

$$[pR, R] = pR' = [pJ(R), \langle a \rangle_{\text{rg}}] = 0$$

and consequently $pR \subseteq Z(R)$. Thus $J(R)^2 + pR$ is proper in $J(R)$ and $J(R)$ not contains a proper subring of finite index.

Assume that the torsion part $F(R)$ is proper in R . Then $R/F(R)$ is commutative and $C(R) \subseteq F(R)$.

a) Suppose that $B = R/Z_0$ is not *BFC*. Then $J(B) = C(B)$ by Proposition 2 what forces that $J(R) = C(R) + Z_0$. If $C(R) + \langle a \rangle_{\text{rg}}$ is proper in R , then $|R : Z(R)| < \infty$, a contradiction. Hence $C(R) + \langle a \rangle_{\text{rg}} = R$. Since $J(R/C(R))$ is isomorphic to $J(R)/C(R)$ (as a ring) and it is of finite index in $R/C(R)$, we deduce that it is nilpotent by [2, Corollary 5.1]. This implies that R^+ is torsion and so it is a p -group, a contradiction.

b) Now let B be *BFC*. Then B has the center $Z(B)$ of finite index what gives that B is commutative and $C(R) \subseteq Z_0$. Hence $R = J(R) + (C(R) + \langle a \rangle_{\text{rg}})$ is a nilpotent Lie ring (as a sum of two nilpotent Lie ideals) by the well-known theorem of Jacobson. As a consequence, the unit group $U(R)$ is nilpotent by [14, Corollary 3.4]. The nilpotent unit group $U(R/p^n R)$ is isomorphic to a direct product of a p -group $1 + J(R/p^n R)$ and a p' -group $(R/J(R))^*$ and therefore it is abelian. Thus $C(R) \subseteq p^n R$. It is not difficult to check that $p^n R \cap F(R) = p^n F(R)$ and so $C(R)$ satisfies (2). □

Proof of Theorem 1. (i) It follows in view of Corollary 3.

(ii) We can assume that $\text{char } R = p^k$ for some positive integer k by Lemma 19.

(\Leftarrow) Let L be a proper subring of R . If $J(R) + L$ is proper in R , then it is commutative. Therefore we assume that L is non-commutative and $J(R) + L = R$. If L' is finite, then L is *BFC*. Suppose that L' is infinite. Then its image in R/Z_0 is infinite and, as a consequence, $R = L + Z_0$ and $R' = L'$. This implies that $C(R) = R'R + RR' = L'L + LL' \subseteq L$.

By Proposition 2, $C(R/pR) = J(R/pR)$ what yields that $R = L + pR$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} p^{k-1}R &= p^{k-1}L && \subseteq L, \\ p^{k-2}R &= p^{k-1}R + p^{k-2}L = p^{k-2}L && \subseteq L, \\ &\vdots && \vdots \\ pR &= p^2R + pL && \subseteq L. \end{aligned}$$

This gives that $R = L$, a contradiction. Hence R is a minimal non-*BFC* ring.

(\Rightarrow) If the multiplicative group $(R/J(R))^*$ is non-torsion, then R is of type (a) in view of Lemmas 15 and 17. Suppose that $(R/J(R))^*$ is torsion. Then it is finite by Lemma 14. Since $R/J(R)$ is a finite field, we conclude that $R = J(R) + \langle a \rangle_{\text{rg}}$ for some $a \in U(R)$. Moreover, the ring $\langle a \rangle_{\text{rg}}$ is finite and local and therefore it has a coefficient ring by Lemma 13. As a consequence, $R = J(R) + S$ and $S \cap J(R) = pS$ (and also S) is finite by Corollary 4. By Lemma 19, $J(R)$ is commutative and $pR + J(R)^2 \subseteq Z(R)$ is proper in $J(R)$. The rest follows from Proposition 2. \square

Remark 2. If R is a minimal non-*BFC*-ring satisfying one of the following conditions:

(a) $F(R)^+$ is of bounded exponent, or

(b)

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} J(R)^n = 0,$$

then R^+ is a p -group.

In fact, it holds in view of (2).

3. Adjoint groups with proper *BFC*-subgroups

Recall that if R is an unitary ring, then

$$R^\circ \ni a \mapsto 1 + a \in U(R)$$

is a group isomorphism. Note also that in a nil ring each subring is radical. We will often use this in the arguments below without focusing. A group is called *indecomposable*, if it is not generated by a product of two proper subgroups. An indecomposable abelian group is isomorphic to a cyclic q -group \mathbb{C}_{q^n} or to a quasicyclic q -group \mathbb{C}_{q^∞} (q is a prime).

Proposition 3. *Let R be a radical ring such that the derived subgroup $R^{\circ'}$ is proper in the adjoint group R° . If every proper subgroup of R° is BFC, then R° (and consequently R) is BFC.*

Proof. Assume that R is not BFC and consequently R° is a minimal non-BFC group. Then the quotient group $R^{\circ}/R^{\circ'}$ is a cyclic p -group for some prime p in view of [11, Proposition 2] and the derived subgroup $R^{\circ'}$ is a group direct product of finitely many quasicyclic groups by [11, Propositions 4 and 3]. Hence R° is metabelian and so the ring R is Lie metabelian by [3, Theorem A]. Then the Lie ideal $[R, R]$ is abelian and therefore the commutator ideal $C(R)$ is nil by [10, Lemma 1.7]. Since $(R/C(R))^{\circ}$ and $R^{\circ}/C(R)^{\circ}$ are isomorphic and abelian, we deduce that $R^{\circ'} \subseteq C(R)^{\circ}$ and $R/C(R)$ is finite in view of [11, Proposition 2]. As following from [40, Theorem 1] R is nil and, moreover, $R = C(R) + \langle a \rangle_{\text{rg}}$. Suppose that W is an infinite proper ideal of R . Since W° is a normal subgroup of R° and $R^{\circ'}$ not contains an infinite proper normal subgroup of R° by [11, Theorem], we conclude that $R^{\circ'} \subseteq W^{\circ}$ and therefore $C(R) \subseteq W$. The centralizer $C_R(R')$ is a proper subring of finite index in R and $C(R) \subseteq C_R(R')$ in view of [33, Lemma 1]. This gives that $C(R)$ is proper in R and therefore $C(R)$ is commutative.

Let $b \in C(R)$. As far as $bC(R) = C(R)b$, we obtain that $bC(R)$ is an ideal of R . If it is finite, then $C(R) \subseteq \text{rann } b$ and so $bC(R) = 0$. In the case when $bC(R)$ is infinite we obtain that $bC(R) = C(R)$, a contradiction. This implies that $C(R)^2 = 0$ (because $C(R)$ is nil). Hence R is a nilpotent ring and R° is a nilpotent group by [25, 31]. But none of the groups described in [11, Theorem] is nilpotent, a contradiction.

As a matter of record R° (and so R) is BFC, a contradiction. Thus R is BFC. \square

Lemma 20. *Let R be a radical ring such that the adjoint group $R^{\circ'} = R^{\circ}$ is perfect. If R° is a minimal non-BFC group, then R has a homomorphic image B , which is a simple commutative domain such that $Ba = B = aB$ for any nonzero $a \in B$ and either*

- (a) B^+ is torsion-free divisible, or
- (b) $pB = 0$ for some prime p .

If R is a nil ring with every proper subgroup of R° to be BFC, then $R^{\circ'}$ is proper in R° .

Proof. 1) The ring R not contains a proper subring of finite index in view of [40, Theorem 1] and [33, Lemma 1]. Let S be a proper ideal of R . Then S is *BFC* and consequently $S' \subseteq Z(R)$ by Lemma 8. This means that S is Lie nilpotent and so it is (associative) nilpotent by [34, Corollary 2].

As far as $R^2 = R$, we obtain that R is not M -nilpotent and therefore there exists some homomorphic image K of R such that $\text{rann } K = 0 = \text{lann } K$ as consistent with [36]. Without loss of generality we may assume that $R = K$.

A commutative proper right ideal A of R is nilpotent in view of [34, Corollary 2]. Suppose that A is a non-commutative proper right ideal of R . Since A is a radical ring by [39, Proposition 2.1 2)], it is *BFC* in view of Lemma 3 what implies that there exists an ideal X of finite index in A such that $X \subseteq Z(A)$ and $X \cdot C(A) = 0$. Since $A^m \subseteq X$ for some positive integer m in view of [40, Theorem 1], we deduce that $A^m \cdot C(A) = 0$. Suppose that m is the smallest number with such a property. Then $0 \neq A^{m-1} \cdot C(A) \subseteq \text{rann } A$ (if $m = 1$, then $C(A) \subseteq \text{rann } A$). Inasmuch as $\text{rann } R = 0$, we conclude that $A + RA$ is a proper ideal of R what yields that A is (associative) nilpotent. Hence all proper one-sided ideals of R are nilpotent.

2) Assume that R is not nil (then $\mathbb{P}(R) \neq R$) and so $B = R/\mathbb{P}(R)$ is without nonzero nilpotent elements (because $C(R) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(R)$). On this basis $Ba = B = aB$ for any $0 \neq a \in B$. For each nonzero $c \in B$ there is some $b \in B$ such that $c = ba$. If we assume that $ac = 0$, then $c^2 = 0$, a contradiction. This implies that B is a domain. Then either $pB = 0$ for some prime p or B^+ is divisible (and so torsion-free).

3) If R is nil, then $\langle a \rangle_{\text{rg}} + \langle a \rangle_{\text{rg}} R$ has a nonzero left annihilator for each $a \in R$ and so is proper in R what means that every element of R is contained in a nilpotent ideal (and thus the adjoint group R° is locally nilpotent). If R^+ is torsion, then $R^{\circ'} \neq R^\circ$ by [12, p. 360, Corollary], a contradiction with assumption. In the other case $pR = R$ for any prime p and so R^+ is divisible. Then $R/F(R)$ is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra. Every two elements of $R/F(R)$ generates its proper subring and so $R/F(R)$ is commutative. Hence $C(R) \subseteq F(R)$ and the result follows. \square

Remark 3. From time to time various authors publish works devoted to the search of perfect minimal non-*FC*-groups (see e.g. [28, 32] and others). So far, no such group has been designed.

Proposition 4. *Let R be a local ring with the proper commutator ideal $C(R)$. If all proper subgroups of the unit group $U(R)$ are *BFC*, then $U(R)$ (and so R) is *BFC*.*

Proof. Suppose that R° (which is isomorphic to $U(R)$) is a minimal non-*BFC* group. If $J(R)^\circ = R^\circ$, then $J(R)^{\circ'} = J(R)^\circ$ by Proposition 3 and so $J(R)$ cannot contain a proper ideal of finite index in view of [40, Theorem 1]. As a consequence, a *BFC*-ring $J(R)$ is commutative, a contradiction. Hence $J(R)^\circ$ is proper in R° and $R^{\circ'}$ is proper in R° .

If $R^\circ/R^{\circ'}$ is a product of two different nonzero proper subgroups, then $R^\circ = G_1 \circ G_2$ is a product of two nonzero proper normal subgroups G_1 and G_2 . Since the derived subgroup G'_i is finite and normal in R° ($i = 1, 2$), we deduce that the quotient group $H := R^\circ/(G'_1 \circ G'_2)$ is a nilpotent group (as a product of two abelian normal subgroups). The derived subgroup $R^{\circ'}$ (and so H') is infinite what gives that H is not *BFC*. Then H is a torsion group of one of types from [11, Theorem]. Since none of these types is a nilpotent group, we get a contradiction. This implies that $R^\circ/R^{\circ'}$ is an indecomposable group. If $R^\circ/R^{\circ'} \cong \mathbb{C}_{q^\infty}$ is quasicyclic, then R° is *BFC* by [11, Corollary 2.3], a contradiction. Hence $R^\circ/R^{\circ'}$ is finite and so $R/J(R)$ is a finite field. Therefore $J(R)$ is commutative and then $pR \subseteq J(R)$ for some prime p .

Let S be a proper subring of R . From $S \subseteq J(R)$ it follows that S is *BFC*. If $J(R)$ is properly contained in S , then S is a local ring and S° is a proper subgroup (and so it is *BFC*) of R° . Then S is a *BFC*-ring by Lemma 12. Thus R is a minimal non-*BFC* ring and $J(R)^2 \subseteq Z_0$ by Proposition 2. As a consequence, $C(R) \subseteq Z_0$ and so $C(R)^2 = 0$. But then $R^{\circ'} \subseteq Z(R)$ and we obtain a contradiction with [11, Propositions 3 and 4]. Hence R° (and so R) is *BFC*. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. (1) It follows from Lemma 20.

(2) This part is proven in Proposition 3.

(3) It is proved in Proposition 4. \square

References

- [1] B. Amberg, O. Dickenschied, *On the adjoint group of a radical ring*, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **38**, no. 3, 1995, pp. 262–270. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1995-039-2>.
- [2] B. Amberg, Ya. Sysak, *Radical rings and their adjoint groups*, In: *Topics in infinite groups* (ed. by M. Curcio and F. de Giovanni), pp. 21–43 (*Quad. Mat.*, 8, Dept. Math., Seconda Univ. Napoli, Caserta, 2001) MR1949558 (2003m:16026).
- [3] B. Amberg, Y. Sysak, *Associative rings with metabelian adjoint group*, *J. Algebra*, **227**, 2004, pp. 456–473. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2004.02.028>.
- [4] O. Alkış, A. Arikan, Ay. Arikan, *On groups with certain proper FC-subgroups*, *Alg. Represent. Theory*, **25**, 2022, pp. 953–961. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10468-021-10054-w>.

- [5] O.D. Artemovych, D. Blackmore, and A.K. Prykarpatski, *Poisson brackets, Novikov-Leibniz structures and integrable Riemann hydrodynamic systems*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys., **24**, no. 1, 2017, pp. 41–72. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14029251.2016.1274114>.
- [6] O.D. Artemovych, *FC-rings*, Miskolc Math. Notes, **18**, no. 2, 2017, pp. 623–637. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18514/MMN.2017.1531>.
- [7] O.D. Artemovych, *Derivation rings of Lie rings*, São Paulo J. Math. Sci., **13**, 2019, pp. 598–614. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40863-017-0077-5>.
- [8] O. Artemovych, V. Bovdi, *Torsion subgroups, solvability and the Engel condition in associative rings*, Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A-Mat., **116**, 2022, article number 122 (21 p.). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-022-01265-x>.
- [9] H.E. Bell, A.A. Klein, L.C. Kappe, *An analogue for rings of a group problem of P. Erdős and B.H. Neumann*, Acta Math. Hungar., **77**, no 1-2, 1997, pp. 57–67. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006531605931>.
- [10] H.E. Bell, A.A. Klein, *Combinatorial commutativity and finiteness conditions for rings*, Comm. Algebra, **29**, 2001, pp. 2935–2943. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1081/AGB-4996>.
- [11] V.V. Belyaev, N.F. Sesekin, *Infinite groups of Miller-Moreno type*, Acta Math. Acad. Scient. Hung., **26**, no. 3-4, 1975, pp. 369–379. DOI: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF01902346>.
- [12] V.V. Belyaev, *Groups of Miller-Moreno type*, Sibir. Math. J., **19**, no. 3, 1978, pp. 509–514. DOI: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF01875284>.
- [13] V. Bovdi, *On elements in algebras having finite number of conjugations*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **57**, no. 1-2, 2000, pp. 231–239. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5486/PMD.2000.2407>.
- [14] F. Catino, M.M. Miccoli, *Local rings whose multiplicative group is nilpotent*, Arch. Math., **81**, 2003, pp. 121–125. DOI: [10.1007/S00013-003-4672-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/S00013-003-4672-6).
- [15] W.E. Clark, *A coefficient ring for finite non-commutative rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **33**, no. 1, 1972, pp. 25–28. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1972-0294411-8>.
- [16] I.S. Cohen, *On the structure and ideal theory of complete local rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **59**, no. 1, 1946, pp. 54–106. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1990313>.
- [17] M.A. Dokuchaev, S.O. Juriaans, C. Polchino Miles, M.L. Sobral Singer, *Finite conjugacy in algebras and orders*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., **44**, no. 1, 2001, pp. 201–213. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0013091599001285>.
- [18] B.J. Gardner, *Some aspects of T-nilpotence*, Pacif. J. Math., **53**, no. 1, 1974, pp. 117–130. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1974.53.117>.
- [19] A. Gejn, *Minimal noncommutative and minimal nonabelian algebras*, Comm. Algebra, **13**, no. 2, 1985, pp. 305–328. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927878508823161>.
- [20] I.N. Herstein, *Topics in Ring Theory*, Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1969.
- [21] I.N. Herstein, *On the Lie structure of an associative rings*, J. Algebra, **14**, 1970, pp. 561–571. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693\(70\)90103-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(70)90103-1).

-
- [22] I.N. Herstein, *Multiplicative commutators in division rings*, Israel J. Math., **31**, 1978, pp. 180–188. DOI: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02760549>.
- [23] Y. Hirano, *On a problem of Szász*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., **40**, no. 3, 1989, pp. 363–364. DOI: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/aopcambridge-core/content/view/802376757B92F12345B7318A0F7DB736/S000497270001738Xa.pdf/on-a-problem-of-szasz.pdf>
- [24] Y. Hirano, *On extensions of rings with finite additive index*, Math. J. Okayama Univ., **32**, 1990, pp. 93–95. DOI: https://www.math.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou/mjou1-46/mjou.pdf/mjou_32/mjou_32.093.pdf.
- [25] S.A. Jennings, *Radical rings with nilpotent associated rings*, Trans. Roy. Soc. Can. Sect. III (3), **49**, 1955, pp. 31–38.
- [26] A. Elduque, *A note on noncentral simple minimal nonabelian Lie algebras*, Comm. Algebra, **15**, no. 7, 1987, pp. 1313–1318.
- [27] A.A. Klein, H.E. Bell, *Rings with commuting nilpotents and zero divisors*, Result. Math., **51**, 2007, pp. 73–85. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-007-0259-z>.
- [28] M. Kuzucuoglu, R.E. Phillips, *Locally finite minimal non FC-groups*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **105**, 1989, pp. 417–420. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S030500410007777X>.
- [29] J. Lambek, *Lectures on rings and modules*, Blaisdell Publ. C.J., Waltham, 1966.
- [30] T.J. Laffey, *Infinite rings with all proper subrings finite*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **81**, no. 3, 1974, pp. 270–272.
- [31] H. Laue, *On the associated Lie ring and the adjoint group of a radical ring*, Canad. Math. Bull., **27**, no. 2, 1984, pp. 215–222. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1984-031-4>.
- [32] F. Leinen, O. Puglisi, *Unipotent finitary linear groups*, J. London Math. Soc., **48**, no. 1, 1993, pp. 59–76. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s2-48.1.59>.
- [33] J. Lewin, *Subrings of finite index in finitely generated rings*, J. Algebra, **5**, 1967, pp. 84–88. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693\(67\)90027-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(67)90027-0).
- [34] V.S. Luchko, A.P. Petravchuk, *On one-sided Lie nilpotent ideals of associative rings*, Algebra and Discrete Math., **4**, 2007, pp. 102–107. DOI: <http://admjournal.luguniv.edu.ua/index.php/adm/article/view/871/401>.
- [35] D.J.S. Robinson, *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, Graduate Texts in Math., **80**, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin, 1982.
- [36] A.D. Sands, *On M-nilpotent rings*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, **93A**, no. 1, 1982, pp. 63–70. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0308210500031668>.
- [37] S.K. Sehgal, H.J. Zassenhaus, *Group rings whose units form an FC-group*, Math. Zeitschrift, **153**, no. 1, 1977, pp. 29–35. DOI: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF01214731>.
- [38] T. Sumiyama, *Coefficient subrings of certain local rings with prime-power characteristic*, Inter. Math. & Math. Sci., **18**, no. 3, 1995, pp. 451–462. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1155/S0161171295000573>.
- [39] Ya.P. Sysak, *The adjoint group of radical rings and related questions*, In: Longobardi, P., Bianchi, M., Maj, M. (eds.) Ischia Group Theory 2010. Proceedings of the Conference, 2010, pp. 344–365.

- [40] J.F. Watters, *On the adjoint group of a radical ring*, J. London Math. Soc., **43**, no. 1, 1968, pp. 725–729. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s1-43.1.725>.

CONTACT INFORMATION

**Orest D.
Artemovych**

Department of Mathematics, Silesian
University of Technology, ul. Kaszubska
23, Gliwice, 41-100, POLAND

E-Mail: oartemovych@polsl.pl

URL:

Received by the editors: 20.12.2023.