© Algebra and Discrete Mathematics Volume **35** (2023). Number 2, pp. 180–190 DOI:10.12958/adm2132

On exponentiation, p-automata and HNN extensions of free abelian groups^{*}

A. Oliynyk and V. Prokhorchuk

Communicated by A. P. Petravchuk

ABSTRACT. For every prime p it is shown that a wide class of HNN extensions of free abelian groups admits faithful representation by finite p-automata.

1. Introduction

Natural action of the wreath product of permutation groups (G, X)and (H, Y) on the Cartesian product $X \times Y$ is widely used. The other action of this wreath product on the set Y^X of all functions from X to Y is called exponentiation. This action was defined in [4] as a formalization of group actions used in [12] to enumerate types of Boolean functions (cf. [11,14]). Its basic properties as a permutation group were obtained in [8]. Exponentiation was applied to construct and study new strongly regular graphs ([15,16]), to study automorphism group of the *n*-dimensional cube ([5,6]), to construct new finite Gelfand pairs ([2]) and to construct new finitely generated profinite groups ([17]). We observe that exponentiation can be applied to construct groups defined by finite automata.

Finite automata and groups defined by automata form a valuable direction in modern mathematics (see e.g. [3,9]). Given a finite (permutational)

^{*}The research presented in the paper was done during the fellowship of the second author at the Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Sciences supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant Agreement No. 677120-INDEX) and Grant Norweski UMO-2022/01/4/ST1/00026.

²⁰²⁰ MSC: 20E08, 20E22, 20E26.

Key words and phrases: wreath product, exponentiation, rooted tree, automorphism of rooted tree, finite automaton, automaton group, HNN extension.

automaton over a finite alphabet X a naturally defined permutation group, the group of this automaton, acting by automorphisms on free monoid X^* as on a rooted tree is defined. The other way to define a group by an automaton is to generate it by a subset of the generating set of the group of this automaton. Given a group defined by an automaton over some alphabet, it is natural to reduce the size of the alphabet so that this group can be defined by an automaton over the minimized alphabet. Moreover, additional restrictions on permutations defined at states of automata can be applied ([10]).

In the present paper we consider this problem for HNN extensions of free abelian groups to extend results of [13]. We use automata constructed in [1] such that their groups are required HNN extensions. As the main result for any prime p we give sufficient conditions on an ascending HNN extension of a free abelian group of finite rank under which this HNN extension can be defined by a finite automaton over an alphabet of cardinality p and all permutations on the alphabet at the states are powers of a certain cycle of length p.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall required definitions regarding wreath products and exponentiation. We observe in Theorem 1 a sufficient condition to represent an exponentiation as a permutation group in terms of a permutational wreath product acting on a finite rooted tree. Here we also give a constructive example of such a representation. In section 3 we briefly recall required notions on finite automata and groups defined by them. In Theorem 2 we give a sufficient condition for a group defined by an automaton to decrease the size of the alphabet such that it can be defined by an automaton over minimized alphabet. In section 4 we use these statements to prove the main result of the paper.

All used notions and properties about trees, automata and groups are standard and can be found e.g. in [3,9].

2. Wreath products and their actions

2.1. Wreath products

Let (G, X) and (H, Y) be permutation groups. The wreath product of (G, X) with H is defined as the semidirect product

$$G \ltimes H^X$$

where the action of G on the set of functions H^X is induced by its action on X. It is denoted by $G \wr H$ and consists of the pairs [g, h(x)], where $g \in G, h(x) : \mathsf{X} \to H$. Such a pair acts on the Cartesian product $\mathsf{X} \times \mathsf{Y}$ by the rule

$$(x,y)^{[g,h(x)]} = (x^g, y^{h(x)}), \quad x \in X, y \in Y.$$

The permutation group $(G \wr H, X \times Y)$ is called the permutational wreath product of (G, X) and (H, Y).

Being an associative operation on permutation groups their wreath product can be defined for an arbitrary finite sequence

$$(G_1,\mathsf{X}_1), (G_2,\mathsf{X}_2), \ldots, (G_n,\mathsf{X}_n)$$

of permutation groups. In this case it is denoted by $\wr_{i=1}^n G_i$ and consists of tuples

$$[g_1, g_2(x_1), \ldots, g_n(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})],$$

where

$$g_1 \in G_1, g_2(x_1) : \mathsf{X}_1 \to G_2, \dots, g_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) : \mathsf{X}_1 \times \dots \times \mathsf{X}_{n-1} \to G_n.$$

It acts on the sets \emptyset , $X_1, X_1 \times X_2, \ldots, X_1 \times \ldots \times X_n$ preserving the natural structure of a rooted tree on their union. Hence, the permutational wreath product $\binom{n}{i=1}G_i$ can be viewed as an automorphism group of a homogeneous rooted tree acting on the set of its leaves.

2.2. Exponentiation

For permutation groups (G, X) and (H, Y) the action of the wreath product of (G, X) with H on the set Y^X can be defined as follows.

The exponentiation of (H, Y) by (G, X) is the permutation group

$$H \uparrow G = (G \wr H, \mathsf{Y}^{\mathsf{X}})$$

such that every $[g, h(x)] \in G \wr H$ acts on $f(t) \in \mathsf{Y}^{\mathsf{X}}$ by the rule

$$f(t)^{[g,h(x)]} = (f(t^g))^{h(t)}.$$

Since for finite X and Y the degrees of permutation groups $(G \wr H, X \times Y)$ and $(G \wr H, Y^X)$ are not equal these groups are not isomorphic as permutation groups. Moreover, exponentiation is not an associative operation on permutation groups. However, it is natural to ask about existence of an isomorphism between the permutation group $H \uparrow G$ and an automorphism group of a homogeneous rooted tree acting on the set of its leaves.

In general such an isomorphism does not exist. For instance, consider the exponentiation $\mathbb{Z}_2 \uparrow \mathbb{Z}_3$ of the regular cyclic group of order 2 by the regular cyclic group of order 3. It is a permutation group of degree 8 and contains elements of order 3. From the other hand the automorphism group of the 2-regular rooted tree of depth 3 has exactly 8 leaves and no elements of order 3.

We give a sufficient condition under which a required isomorphism exists.

Theorem 1. Let p be a prime, G and H be finite p-groups faithfully acting on sets X and Y of cardinalities p^n and p^m correspondingly, $n, m \ge 0$. Then the exponentiation $H \uparrow G$ is isomorphic as a permutation group to the wreath product of $m \cdot p^n$ copies of the regular cyclic group of order pacting by automorphisms on the set of leaves of the p-regular rooted tree of depth $m \cdot p^n$.

Proof. Under conditions of the Theorem the exponentiation $H \uparrow G$ is a permutation group of order $p^{n+m \cdot p^n}$ and degree $p^{m \cdot p^n}$. Then it can be considered as a *p*-subgroup of the symmetric group of degree $p^{m \cdot p^n}$. Hence, by Sylow's Theorem it is contained in a Sylow *p*-subgroup of this symmetric group. Sylow *p*-subgroup of the symmetric group of degree $p^{m \cdot p^n}$ is isomorphic to the wreath product of $m \cdot p^n$ copies of the regular cyclic group or order *p* [7]. The statement immediately follows.

2.3. Example

Let p = 3. Consider the additive group $\mathbb{Z}_3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$ regularly acting on itself. Theorem 1 implies that the exponentiation $\mathbb{Z}_3 \uparrow \mathbb{Z}_3$ as a permutation group is isomorphic to a subgroup of the permutation group

$$(\mathbb{Z}_3 \wr \mathbb{Z}_3 \wr \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_3^3).$$

An example of such an isomorphism can be constructed as follows.

Let

$$a = [1, (0, 0, 0)], \quad b = (0, (1, 0, 0))]$$

be a generating set of the wreath product $\mathbb{Z}_3 \wr \mathbb{Z}_3$. Denote by σ_a and σ_b permutations on \mathbb{Z}_3^3 defined by a and b correspondingly.

Consider elements

$$c = [c_1, c_2(x_1), c_3(x_1, x_2)], \quad d = [d_1, d_2(x_1), d_3(x_1, x_2)] \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \wr \mathbb{Z}_3 \wr \mathbb{Z}_3$$

such that

$$c_1 = 0, c_2(x_1) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } x_1 = 0\\ 1, & \text{if } x_1 = 1, c_3(x_1, x_2) = \\ 0, & \text{if } x_1 = 2 \end{cases} \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } x_1 = 2, x_2 = 0\\ 1, & \text{if } x_1 = 2, x_2 = 1\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$d_1 = 1, \quad d_2(x_1) = 0, x_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_3, \quad d_3(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_1 = 0, x_2 \neq 2\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Denote by σ_c and σ_d permutations on \mathbb{Z}_3^3 defined by c and d correspondingly.

Define a bijection $\pi : \mathbb{Z}_3^3 \to \mathbb{Z}_3^3$ as follows:

$(0,0,0)\mapsto (0,0,1),$	$(0,0,1) \mapsto (1,1,2),$	$(0,0,2) \mapsto (2,2,0),$
$(0,1,0)\mapsto (0,1,0),$	$(0,1,1) \mapsto (1,2,1),$	$(0,1,2) \mapsto (2,0,2),$
$(0,2,0)\mapsto (1,0,0),$	$(0,2,1) \mapsto (2,1,1),$	$(0,2,2) \mapsto (0,2,2),$
$(1,0,0)\mapsto (0,2,0),$	$(1,0,1)\mapsto (1,0,1),$	$(1,0,2) \mapsto (2,1,2),$
$(1,1,0)\mapsto (0,0,2),$	$(1,1,1) \mapsto (1,1,0),$	$(1,1,2) \mapsto (2,2,1),$
$(1,2,0)\mapsto(2,0,0),$	$(1,2,1) \mapsto (0,1,1),$	$(1,2,2) \mapsto (1,2,2),$
$(2,0,0)\mapsto (1,2,0),$	$(2,0,1)\mapsto (2,0,1),$	$(2,0,2) \mapsto (0,1,2),$
$(2,1,0)\mapsto(1,0,2),$	$(2,1,1)\mapsto(2,1,0),$	$(2,1,2)\mapsto (0,2,1),$
$(2,2,0)\mapsto (0,0,0),$	$(2,2,1) \mapsto (1,1,1),$	$(2,2,2) \mapsto (2,2,2).$

Then for an arbitrary $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_3^3$ the following equalities hold:

$$\sigma_a(\alpha) = \pi(\sigma_c(\pi^{-1}(\alpha))), \quad \sigma_b(\alpha) = \pi(\sigma_d(\pi^{-1}(\alpha))).$$

The required isomorphism now directly follows.

3. Automata and groups defined by automata

3.1. Words and automata

Let X be a finite set, |X| > 1. The set $X^* = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} X^i$ of all finite words over X, including the empty word Λ , form a free monoid with basis X under concatenation. The length of a word $w \in X^*$ is denoted by |w|, i.e. $w \in X^{|w|}$. The right Cayley graph of X* with respect to basis X defines on X* as on the vertex set the structure of a regular tooted tree. Two words $u, v \in X^*$ are joined by an edge if and only if u = vx or v = ux for some $x \in X$. For every $n \ge 0$ the set Xⁿ form the *n*th level of this tree and the union $\bigcup_{i=0}^{n} X^n$ is the vertex set of a regular rooted subtree of depth n.

A finite automaton over alphabet X is a triple $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \lambda, \mu)$ such that Q is a finite non-empty set, the set of states, $\lambda : Q \times X \to Q$ is the transition function, $\mu : Q \times X \to Q$ is the output function. Automaton \mathcal{A} is called permutational if for every $q \in Q$ the restriction $\mu_q : X \to X$ of the output function at state q is a permutation on X. We will consider finite permutational automata only.

In case $|\mathsf{X}| = p$ for some prime p and all permutations $\mu_q, q \in Q$, are powers of a fixed cycle of length p on X automaton \mathcal{A} is called p-automaton.

3.2. Groups defined by automata

Let $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \lambda, \mu)$ be a finite permutational automaton over X. The set of permutations $\{\mu_q, q \in Q\}$ generates a subgroup in the symmetric group on X. We call this group the permutation group defined at states of \mathcal{A} . For a *p*-automaton the permutation group defined at its states is the regular cyclic group of order *p*.

For every $q \in Q$ the permutation μ_q can be recursively extended to the permutation on the set X^{*} as follows:

$$\mu_q(\Lambda) = \Lambda, \quad \mu_q(xw) = \mu_q(x)\mu_{\lambda(q,x)}(w), \quad x \in \mathsf{X}, w \in \mathsf{X}^*.$$

Obtained in this way permutation μ_q is length preserving, i.e. $|\mu_q(w)| = |w|$, $w \in X^*$, and prefix preserving, i.e. if for $w, w_1 \in X^*$ and some $x \in X$ the equality $w = w_1 x$ holds then some $x_1 \in X$ the equality $\mu_q(w) = \mu_q(w_1)x_1$ holds. Hence, μ_q preserves the structure of a rooted tree on X^* . It is called an automaton permutation defined by \mathcal{A} at the state q.

The permutation group, generated by the set $\{\mu_q, q \in Q\}$ is called the group of the automaton \mathcal{A} and denoted by $G(\mathcal{A})$. The restriction of its action on X is the permutation group defined at states of \mathcal{A} .

More generally, a group G is called a group generated by a finite automaton over X if there exists a finite permutational automaton \mathcal{A} over X such that G is isomorphic to the group generated by a subset of automaton permutations defined at states of \mathcal{A} . In this case G is isomorphic to a subgroup of automaton \mathcal{A} generated by a subset of its generating set.

In terms of [10], a group generated by a finite automaton over X is a finitely generated subgroup of the finite state wreath power of the permutation group at states of an automaton over X. In some cases the order and the degree of such a permutation group can be minimized.

Theorem 2. Let (G, X) and (H, Y) be finite permutation groups such that (G, X) is isomorphic as a permutation group to a subgroup of the wreath product of finitely many copies of (H, Y). Then every group generated by a finite automaton such that the permutation group defined at its states is (G, X) can be generated by a finite automaton with the permutation group (H, Y) defined at its states.

Proof. Assume that (G, X) is isomorphic as a permutation group to a subgroup of the wreath product of r copies of (H, Y) for some $r \ge 1$. Denote by φ an isomorphic embedding of G into $\wr_{i=1}^r H^{(i)}, H^{(i)} \simeq H$, $1 \le i \le r$, and by ψ an injection from X to Y^r such that

$$\psi(x^g) = (\psi(x))^{\varphi(g)}, \quad x \in \mathsf{X}, g \in G.$$

Denote by Y_1 the image of X under ψ . Then (G, X) is isomorphic as a permutation group to $(\varphi(G), Y_1)$. Recall that the wreath product $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} H^{(i)}$ acts on the union $\bigcup_{i=0}^{r-1} Y^i$. This action is length preserving and prefix preserving. Hence, $\varphi(G)$ acts on the set Y_2 that consists of all prefixes of all words from Y_1 .

Let $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \lambda, \mu)$ be a finite permutational automaton over X such that the permutation group defined at its states is (G, X) . It is sufficient to show that the group $G(\mathcal{A})$ of this automaton can be generated by a finite automaton over Y such that the permutation group defined at its states is (H, Y) . We define the corresponding automaton $\mathcal{B} = (Q_1, \lambda_1, \mu_1)$.

The set of sates Q_1 of \mathcal{B} is the set of all possible pairs of the form (q, w), where q is a state of \mathcal{A} and w is a word from Y of length not greater than r - 1. In other words, it is defined as the Cartesian product

$$Q_1 = Q \times \left(\cup_{i=0}^{r-1} \mathsf{Y}^i \right).$$

The transition function λ_1 is defined by the equality

$$\lambda_1((q,w),y) = \begin{cases} (q,wy), & \text{if } |w| < r-1 \text{ and } wy \in \mathsf{Y}_2\\ (\lambda(q,\psi^{-1}(wy)),\Lambda), & \text{if } |w| = r-1 \text{ and } wy \in \mathsf{Y}_1 \\ (q,w), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Since ψ is an injection the definition is correct.

The output function μ_1 is defined by the equality

$$\mu_1((q,w),y) = \begin{cases} y_1, & \text{if } wy \in \mathsf{Y}_2 \text{ and } (wy)^{\varphi(\mu_q)} = (w)^{\varphi(\mu_q)}y_1 \\ y, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Since $\varphi(\mu_q)$, $q \in Q$, is length preserving and prefix preserving on Y₂ the definition is correct.

It is required to find a subset $S \subset Q_1$ such that the group $G(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to the group G_S generated by the set $\{\mu_{1_S}, s \in S\}$. We will show that one can take the subset $S = \{(q, \Lambda), q \in Q\}$. It is enough to prove that the mapping $\mu_q \mapsto \mu_{1(q,\Lambda)}, q \in Q$, defines a required isomorphism between $G(\mathcal{A})$ and G_S . Consider the monoid monomorphism $\Phi : X^* \to Y^*$ that extends injection φ . Then the image $\Phi(X^*)$ is a free monoid with basis Y_1 , i.e. $\Phi(X^*) = Y_1^*$.

For arbitrary $q \in Q$, $x \in X$ and $w \in X^*$ we have the equalities

$$\Phi(xw)^{\mu_{1(q,\Lambda)}} = (\varphi(x)\Phi(w))^{\mu_{1(q,\Lambda)}} = (\varphi(x))^{\mu_{1(q,\Lambda)}}\Phi(w)^{\mu_{1(\lambda(q,x),\Lambda)}} = \varphi(x^{\mu_q})\Phi(w)^{\mu_{1(\lambda(q,x),\Lambda)}}.$$

Since $\varphi(x) \in \mathsf{Y}_1$ the last equality follows from the definition of the output function μ_1 . Therefore, permutations groups $(G(\mathcal{A}), \mathsf{X}^*)$ and (G_S, Y_1^*) are isomorphic as permutation groups.

Consider an arbitrary $w \in Y^*$. Then there exist unique $w_1 \in Y_1^*$, $w_2 \in Y_2 \setminus Y_1$, $w_3 \in Y^*$ such that $w = w_1 w_2 w_3$ and the word w_2 is the longest prefix of $w_2 w_3$ from Y_2 . Let $q \in Q$. Then

$$w^{\mu_{1(q,\Lambda)}} = w_1^{\mu_{1(q,\Lambda)}} w_4 w_3$$

for some $w_4 \in \mathsf{Y}_2 \setminus \mathsf{Y}_1$ such that for arbitrary $w_1w_2u \in \mathsf{Y}_1^*$, $u \in \mathsf{Y}^*$, the word $w_1^{\mu_1(q,\Lambda)}w_4$ is a prefix of $(w_1w_2u)^{\mu_1(q,\Lambda)}$. Hence, the action of the automaton permutation $\mu_{1(q,\Lambda)}$ on Y^* is completely defined by its action on Y_1^* . The proof is complete.

4. HNN extensions and *p*-automata

4.1. HNN extensions of free abelian groups

Let $A_r = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_r \mid a_i a_j = a_j a_i, 1 \leq i < j \leq r \rangle$ be a free abelian group of rank $r \geq 1$. For a non-degenerate integer matrix $M = (m_{ij})_{i,j=1}^r$ consider the group

$$\mathbb{G}_M = \langle A_r, t \mid a_i^t = a_1^{m_{i1}} \dots a_r^{m_{ir}}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r \rangle.$$

Then \mathbb{G}_M is an ascending HNN extension of A_r .

Proposition 1 ([1]). Let the order of M is infinite and for positive integer $n \ge 2$ the determinant of M and n are mutually prime. Then there exist a finite permutational automaton \mathcal{A}_M over $X = \{0, \ldots, n-1\}^r$ such that the group of \mathcal{A}_M is isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_M .

Let us recall the construction of automaton $\mathcal{A}_M = (Q, \lambda, \mu)$ from [1]. Denote by n(M) the max norm of the matrix M, i.e.

$$n(M) = \max_{i} \sum_{j} |m_{ij}|.$$

Then the set of states Q is defined as

$$Q = \{(v_1, \dots, v_r)^\top \in \mathbb{Z}^r : -n(M) \leqslant v_i \leqslant n(M) - 1, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r\}$$

and for $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_r)^\top \in Q$, $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)^\top \in \mathsf{X}$ we have

$$\lambda(v, x) = \operatorname{Div}_n(v + Mx), \quad \mu(v, x) = \operatorname{Mod}_n(v + Mx),$$

where Div_n and Mod_n denote operations of taking coordinate-wise quotients and reminders from division by n.

4.2. *p*-automata defining HNN extensions

The main result of this section is the following

Theorem 3. Let p be a prime, $r = p^k$ for some $k \ge 1$ and let M be an integer matrix of the form

$$M = pN + C$$

for some $r \times r$ integer matrix N and permutation matrix C that correspond to a permutation of order p^m for some $m \ge 0$. Then

- 1) the group \mathbb{G}_M is generated by a finite p-automaton;
- 2) the group \mathbb{G}_M is residually p-finite.

Proof. Since $|\det M| = 1$ this determinant is relatively prime to p and the matrix M satisfies conditions of Proposition 1. Then the group of the automaton \mathcal{A}_M is isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_M . Let us describe the permutation group (G, X) defined at states of this automaton.

Denote by X_1 the set $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ and by X_2 the set $\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$. Then the alphabet X can be identified with the set $X_2^{X_1}$ of all functions from X_1 to X_2 .

Denote by σ the permutation on X₁ such that the matrix C corresponds to σ . Since σ has order p^m the lengths of its independent cycles are powers of p not greater than p^m and at least one of them is p^m . Denote by G_1 the cyclic group generated by σ . Then $|G_1| = p^m$.

Let G_2 be a cyclic group of order p. It acts on X_2 by additions modulo p. Consider an arbitrary state $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_r)^{\top}$ of \mathcal{A}_M . Then the vector $\operatorname{Mod}_p(v)$ can be regarded as a function from X_1 to G_2 . For an arbitrary vector $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)^{\top} \in \mathsf{X}$ we have

$$\mu_v(x) = \operatorname{Mod}_p(v + Mx) = \operatorname{Mod}_p(v + (pN + C)x) = \operatorname{Mod}_p(v + Cx)$$

and coordinate-wise

$$\mu_v(x) = ((x_{\sigma(i)} + v_i) \mod p, 1 \le i \le r).$$

It means that the permutation μ_v acts on $X_2^{X_1}$ by the rule, that defines a permutation from the exponentiation $G_2 \uparrow G_1$, i.e. the permutation μ_v is defined by the element $[\sigma, \operatorname{Mod}_p(v)]$ of the wreath product $G_1 \wr G_2$, the wreath product of cyclic groups of orders p^m and p. Since $n(M) \ge 1$ all vectors

$$u_1 = (-1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, u_r = (0, \dots, 0, -1)$$

belong to the set Q of states of \mathcal{A}_M . Hence, the set

$$\{\mu_{u_1},\ldots\mu_{u_r}\}$$

generates the wreath product $G_1 \wr G_2$. Therefore, the permutation group (G, X) is the exponentiation $G_2 \uparrow G_1$.

Theorem 1 now implies that (G, X) is isomorphic as a permutation group to the wreath product of p^m copies of the regular cyclic group or order p acting by automorphisms on the set of leaves of the p-regular rooted tree of depth p^m . Then Theorem 2 implies that the group \mathbb{G}_M can be generated by a finite automaton with regular cyclic group as the permutation group defined at its states, i.e. by a p-automaton. This completes the proof of the first statement of the theorem.

Since all groups defined by finite *p*-automata are residually *p*-finite (see e.g. [13]) the second statement now immediately follows. \Box

References

- Laurent Bartholdi and Zoran Šunik. Some solvable automaton groups. In Topological and asymptotic aspects of group theory, volume 394 of Contemp. Math., pages 11-29. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006. doi:10.1090/conm/394/07431.
- [2] Tullio Ceccherini-Silberstein, Fabio Scarabotti, and Filippo Tolli. Trees, wreath products and finite Gelfand pairs. Adv. Math., 206(2):503-537, 2006. doi:10. 1016/j.aim.2005.10.002.
- [3] R. I. Grigorchuk, V. V. Nekrashevich, and V. I. Sushchanskii. Automata, dynamical systems, and groups. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, 231:134–214, 2000.
- [4] Frank Harary. Exponentiation of permutation groups. Amer. Math. Monthly, 66:572–575, 1959. doi:10.2307/2309856.
- [5] Gareth Jones, Mikhail Klin, and Felix Lazebnik. Automorphic subsets of the n-dimensional cube. Beiträge Algebra Geom., 41(2):303–323, 2000.
- [6] Gareth A. Jones, Mikhail Klin, and Yossi Moshe. Primitivity of permutation groups, coherent algebras and matrices. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 98(1):210–217, 2002. doi:10.1006/jcta.2001.3245.

- [7] Léo Kaloujnine. Sur les p-groupes de Sylow du groupe symétrique du degré p^m.
 C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 221:222–224, 1945.
- [8] L. A. Kaluzhnin, M. Kh. Klin, and V. I. Sushchanskij. Exponentiation of permutation groups. I. Sov. Math., 23(8):26–33, 1979.
- [9] Volodymyr Nekrashevych. Self-similar groups, volume 117 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. doi: 10.1090/surv/117.
- [10] A. S. Oliynyk. Finite state wreath powers of transformation semigroups. Semigroup Forum, 82(3):423–436, 2011. doi:10.1007/s00233-011-9292-z.
- [11] E. M. Palmer and R. W. Robinson. Enumeration under two representations of the wreath product. Acta Math., 131:123–143, 1973. doi:10.1007/BF02392038.
- [12] G. Pólya. Sur les types des propositions composées. J. Symbolic Logic, 5:98–103, 1940. doi:10.2307/2266862.
- [13] V. Prokhorchuk. On finite state automaton actions of HNN extensions of free abelian groups. Carpathian Math. Publ., 13(1):180–188, 2021. doi:10.15330/cmp. 13.1.180-188.
- [14] Alasdair Urquhart. Enumerating types of Boolean functions. Bull. Symbolic Logic, 15(3):273-299, 2009. doi:10.2178/bsl/1246453975.
- [15] V. A. Ustimenko-Bakumovskii. On groups of automorphisms of strongly regular graphs that are invariant with respect to exponentiation of symmetric groups. In *Computations in algebra, number theory and combinatorics*, pages 59–72. Kyiv, 1980.
- [16] V. A. Ustimenko-Bakumovskiĭ. Strongly regular graphs invariant with respect to groups $[\gamma_n]^{\gamma_m}$ when $n \ge 3$. In Computations in algebra and combinatorial analysis, pages 101–113. Kyiv, 1978.
- [17] Matteo Vannacci. Finite generation of iterated wreath products in product action. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 105(3):205–214, 2015. doi:10.1007/s00013-015-0797-7.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Andriy Oliynyk	Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Volodymyrska 60, 01601 Kyiv, Ukraine <i>E-Mail(s)</i> : aolijnyk@gmail.com
Veronika Prokhorchuk	Institute of Matematics of Polish Academy of Sciences, Sniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warsaw, Poland $E-Mail(s)$: proveronika145@gmail.com

Received by the editors: 20.06.2023 and in final form 22.06.2023.