

## On the direct sum of dual-square-free modules

Y. Ibrahim and M. Yousif

Communicated by R. Wisbauer

**ABSTRACT.** A module  $M$  is called square-free if it contains no non-zero isomorphic submodules  $A$  and  $B$  with  $A \cap B = 0$ . Dually,  $M$  is called dual-square-free if  $M$  has no proper submodules  $A$  and  $B$  with  $M = A + B$  and  $M/A \cong M/B$ . In this paper we show that if  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ , then  $M$  is square-free iff each  $M_i$  is square-free and  $M_j$  and  $\bigoplus_{j \neq i \in I} M_i$  are orthogonal. Dually, if  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ , then  $M$  is dual-square-free iff each  $M_i$  is dual-square-free,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $M_j$  and  $\bigoplus_{i \neq j}^n M_i$  are factor-orthogonal. Moreover, in the infinite case, we show that if  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} S_i$  is a direct sum of non-isomorphic simple modules, then  $M$  is a dual-square-free. In particular, if  $M = A \oplus B$  where  $A$  is dual-square-free and  $B = \bigoplus_{i \in I} S_i$  is a direct sum of non-isomorphic simple modules, then  $M$  is dual-square-free iff  $A$  and  $B$  are factor-orthogonal; this extends an earlier result by the authors in [2, Proposition 2.8].

Let  $R$  be an associative ring with identity. A right  $R$ -module  $M$  is called square-free if it contains no non-zero isomorphic submodules  $A$  and  $B$  with  $A \cap B = 0$ . This notion was dualized in [1] as follows: a right  $R$ -module  $M$  is called dual-square-free if  $M$  has no proper submodules  $A$  and  $B$  with  $M = A + B$  and  $M/A \cong M/B$ , and a ring  $R$  is called right *DSF*-ring if  $R$  as a right  $R$ -module is a *DSF*-module. Subsequently, a thorough investigation of *DSF*-modules was carried out in [2], where it was shown that every *DSF*-module  $M$  is Dedekind finite, and if in addition  $M$  satisfies the finite-exchange property, then  $M$  satisfies the substitution

---

**2020 MSC:** Primary 16D40, 16D50, 16D60; Secondary 16L30, 16L60, 16P20, 16P40, 16P60.

**Key words and phrases:** square-free and dual-square-free modules, abelian and quasi-duo rings.

property and its endomorphism ring has stable range 1. Moreover, a *DSF*-module  $M$  has the finite exchange property iff  $M$  is clean, iff  $M$  has the full exchange property. It was also shown in [2] that, maximal submodules of a *DSF*-module are fully invariant; in particular a ring  $R$  is a right *DSF*-ring iff every maximal right ideal of  $R$  is two-sided. The latter class of rings is known in the literature by right quasi-duo rings, and it is an open question if every right quasi-duo ring is left quasi-duo.

In [3, Lemma 2.17], the authors proved that an orthogonal direct sum of two square-free modules is square-free. However, with a direct induction argument we can show that if  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ , then  $M$  is square-free iff each  $M_i$  is square-free,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $M_j$  and  $\bigoplus_{i \neq j}^n M_i$  are orthogonal, where two right  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$  are called orthogonal if, no nonzero submodule of  $M$  is isomorphic to a submodule of  $N$ . Moreover, in [2, Proposition 2.8], the authors proved that if  $M = A \oplus B$  where  $A$  is a dual-square-free module and  $B$  is a finite direct sum of non-isomorphic simple modules, then  $M$  is a dual-square-free module if and only if  $A$  &  $B$  are factor-orthogonal, where two right  $R$ -modules  $L$  and  $N$  are called factor-orthogonal if, no nonzero factor of  $L$  is isomorphic to a factor of  $N$ .

In this paper we extend the aforementioned result on direct sums of *SF*-modules to the infinite case, and present a partial dualization in the dual-square-free case. More precisely, we prove that if  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ , then  $M$  is dual-square-free iff each  $M_i$  is dual-square-free,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $M_j$  and  $\bigoplus_{i \neq j}^n M_i$  are factor-orthogonal. Moreover, while the infinite case still remains open, we show that if  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} S_i$  is a direct sum of non-isomorphic simple modules, then  $M$  is a dual-square-free module. In particular, if  $M = A \oplus B$  where  $A$  is a dual-square-free module and  $B = \bigoplus_{i \in I} S_i$  is a direct sum of non-isomorphic simple modules, then  $M$  is a dual-square-free module if and only if  $A$  &  $B$  are factor-orthogonal.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1)  $M$  is a square-free module;
- 2) Each  $M_i$  is square-free,  $i \in I$ , and  $M_j$  and  $\bigoplus_{j \neq i \in I} M_i$  are orthogonal.

*Proof.* Observe first that, by [2, Lemma 2.17] and a straightforward induction on  $n$ , one can show that if  $N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i$ , then  $N$  is a square-free module iff each  $N_i$  is square-free,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $N_j$  and  $\bigoplus_{i \neq j}^n N_i$  are orthogonal.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $A \cong B$  with  $A \cap B = 0$ , where  $A, B \subseteq M$ . If  $x \in A$ , then  $xR \cong yR$  for some  $y \in B$ . But this means that, there is a finite subset  $F \subseteq I$  such that  $xR, yR \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \in F} M_i$ . By the aforementioned observation,

since  $\oplus_{i \in F} M_i$  is square-free,  $xR = yR = 0$ . This shows that  $A = B = 0$ , and  $M$  is square-free.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Obvious, since the class of square-free modules is closed under direct summands.  $\square$

**Corollary 1** ([2, Lemma 2.17]). *If  $M = \oplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1)  $M$  is a square-free module;
- 2) Each  $M_i$  is square-free,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $M_j$  and  $\oplus_{i \neq j} M_i$  are orthogonal.

Observe that if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a homomorphism and  $A$  is a submodule of  $X$ , then  $f$  induces a homomorphism  $\bar{f} : X/A \rightarrow Y/f(A)$  given by  $\bar{f}(x + A) = f(x) + f(A)$  with  $\ker \bar{f} = (A + \ker f)/A$ . Moreover if  $f$  is an epimorphism (monomorphism, isomorphism, resp.), then so is  $\bar{f}$ . Now, the next lemma is well-known and therefore we don't include a proof.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ ,  $A \subseteq M$  and  $f : M \rightarrow M_1$  be the projection map of  $M$  onto  $M_1$ . Then  $A + M_2 = f(A) + M_2$ . In particular if  $f(A) = M_1$ , then  $M = A + M_2$ .*

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $M = \oplus_{i \in I} M_i$  with each  $M_i$  a DSF-module,  $i \in I$ , and  $M_j$  and  $\oplus_{i \neq j} M_i$  are factor-orthogonal for every  $j \in I$ . For  $j \in I$ , let  $f_j : M \rightarrow M_j$  be the projection map of  $M$  onto  $M_j$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are submodules of  $M$  with  $M = A + B$  and  $\frac{M}{A} \cong \frac{M}{B}$ , then  $f_j(A) = f_j(B) = M_j$  and  $M = A + (\oplus_{i \neq j} M_i) = B + (\oplus_{i \neq j} M_i)$ .*

*Proof.* We will only show that  $f_j(A) = M_j$ , as the other equality  $f_j(B) = M_j$  can be done with a similar argument. Clearly, we have the following epimorphism:

$$\frac{M}{B} \cong \frac{M}{A} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_j} \frac{M_j}{f_j(A)}.$$

But then,  $\frac{M_j}{f_j(A)} \cong \frac{M_j}{X}$ , where  $X \subseteq M$  and  $B \subseteq X$ . Next, consider the following epimorphism:

$$\frac{M_j}{f_j(A)} \cong \frac{M_j}{X} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_j} \frac{M_j}{f_j(X)}.$$

As before,  $\frac{M_j}{f_j(X)} \cong \frac{M_j}{Y}$ , with  $Y \subseteq M_j$  and  $f_j(A) \subseteq Y$ . Now, since  $M = A + B = A + X$ ,  $M_j = f_j(M) = f_j(A) + f_j(X) = Y + f_j(X)$ .

Inasmuch as  $M_j$  is a *DSF*-module, we infer that  $f_j(X) = M_j$ . Now, by Lemma 1,  $M = X + (\oplus_{i \neq j} M_i)$ , and consequently

$$\frac{M_j}{f_j(A)} \cong \frac{M}{X} = \frac{X + (\oplus_{i \neq j} M_i)}{X} \cong \frac{(\oplus_{i \neq j} M_i)}{X \cap (\oplus_{i \neq j} M_i)}.$$

Since  $M_j$  and  $(\oplus_{i \neq j} M_i)$  are factor-orthogonal,  $f_j(A) = M_j$ , as required. The last statement now follows from Lemma 1.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- 1)  $M$  is a *DSF*-module.
- 2) Each  $M_i$  is a *DSF*-module,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $M_j$  and  $\oplus_{i \neq j}^n M_i$  are factor-orthogonal.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). This is clear, since the class of *DSF*-modules is closed under direct summands.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). We proceed by induction on  $n$ . Nothing need to be done when  $n = 1$ . Assume that  $n \geq 2$  and  $K = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n-1}$  is a *DSF*-module. Then  $M = K \oplus M_n$  with  $K$  and  $M_n$  are *DSF*-modules which are factor-orthogonal. We need to show that, if  $M = A + B$  with  $\frac{M}{A} \cong \frac{M}{B}$ , then  $M = A = B$ . We will only show that  $M = A$ , as the other equality can be verified the same way. By Lemma 2,  $M = A + K = A + M_n = B + K = B + M_n$ . Now, we have:

$$\frac{K}{A \cap K} \cong \frac{A + K}{A} = \frac{M}{A} \cong \frac{M}{B} = \frac{B + M_n}{B} \cong \frac{M_n}{B \cap M_n}.$$

Since  $K$  and  $M_n$  are factor-orthogonal, we get  $K = A \cap K$  and so  $K \subseteq A$ . Therefore,  $M = A + K = A$ , as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *If  $M = \oplus_{i \in I} S_i$  is a direct sum of non-isomorphic simple modules, then  $M$  is a *DSF*-module.*

*Proof.* Let  $M = A + B$  with  $M/A \cong M/B$ . We need to show that  $M = A = B$ . We will only show that  $M = A$ . Since  $M$  is semisimple,  $A \cap B \subseteq^\oplus M$ . Now, write,  $M = (A \cap B) \oplus T$  for a submodule  $T \subseteq M$ . Therefore,  $A = (A \cap B) \oplus (A \cap T)$  and  $B = (A \cap B) \oplus (B \cap T)$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} M &= A + B = [(A \cap B) \oplus (A \cap T)] + [(A \cap B) \oplus (B \cap T)] \\ &= (A \cap B) \oplus (A \cap T) \oplus (B \cap T) = A \oplus (B \cap T) = B \oplus (A \cap T) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M$  is semisimple, we have  $A \subseteq^\oplus M$  and  $B \subseteq^\oplus M$ , with  $(A \cap T) \cong M/B \cong M/A \cong (B \cap T)$ . Now, if  $S_i \not\subseteq A$ , for some  $i \in I$ , then  $S_i \cap A = 0$ .

Thus if  $f : A \oplus (B \cap T) \rightarrow (B \cap T)$  is the projection map of  $M$  onto  $(B \cap T)$ , then  $S_i \cong f(S_i) \subseteq (B \cap T)$ . But since  $(A \cap T) \cong (B \cap T)$ , we infer that  $S_i \cong X \subseteq A$ , for some submodule  $X$  in  $A$ . Since  $S_i$  is a fully invariant submodule of  $M$ ,  $X = S_i$ , which is a contradiction. This shows that  $S_i \subseteq A$  for every  $i \in I$ , and  $M = A$  as required.  $\square$

The next result extends the work of the authors in [2, Proposition 2.8].

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $M = A \oplus B$  where  $A$  is a DSF-module and  $B = \bigoplus_{i \in I} S_i$  is a direct sum of non-isomorphic simple modules. Then  $M$  is a DSF-module if and only if  $A$  and  $B$  are factor-orthogonal.*

*Proof.* Follows from both Theorem 2 and Lemma 3.  $\square$

### References

- [1] N. Ding, Y. Ibrahim, M. Yousif and Y. Zhou,  $D_4$ -modules, *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications* **16**, No. 5 (2017) 1750166 (25 pages).
- [2] Y. Ibrahim and M. Yousif, Dual-Square-Free Modules, *Comm. Algebra* **47** (2019), 2954-2966.
- [3] Y. Ibrahim and M. Yousif, Utumi Modules, *Commun. Algebra* **46** (2018) 870-886.

### CONTACT INFORMATION

**Yasser Ibrahim**

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,  
Cairo University, Giza, Egypt, and Department  
of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Taibah  
University, Madina, Saudi Arabia.  
*E-Mail(s):* [yfibrahim@sci.cu.edu.eg](mailto:yfibrahim@sci.cu.edu.eg),  
[yabdelwahab@taibahu.edu.sa](mailto:yabdelwahab@taibahu.edu.sa)

**Mohamed Yousif**

Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State  
University, Lima, Ohio 45804, USA.  
*E-Mail(s):* [yousif.1@osu.edu](mailto:yousif.1@osu.edu)

Received by the editors: 17.04.2021.