

# An outer measure on a commutative ring

Dariusz Dudzik and Marcin Skrzyński

Communicated by V. I. Sushchansky

**ABSTRACT.** We show how to produce a reasonable outer measure on a commutative ring from a given measure on a family of prime ideals of this ring. We provide a few examples and prove several properties of such outer measures.

## Introduction

Throughout the present paper,  $R$  is a nonzero commutative ring with identity. We denote by  $\text{Spec}(R)$  the family of all the prime ideals of  $R$ . (Notice that, by definition, every prime ideal is proper).

It is well known [1] that topological properties of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  equipped with the Zariski topology reflect algebraic properties of  $R$ . But are there useful relationships between algebraic or geometric properties of  $R$  and measures on  $\text{Spec}(R)$ ? This question seems to be quite interesting and not worked out in the specialist literature. The present paper provides some basic remarks concerning the question and, hopefully, is a starting point for further study.

In the paper, we will show that an arbitrary measure on a suitable subfamily of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  induces an outer measure on  $R$  with good multiplicative properties. We will also discuss a few elementary examples of such outer measures.

---

**2010 MSC:** 13A15, 28A12.

**Key words and phrases:** outer measure, measure, commutative ring, prime ideal.

By “measure” we mean a “non-negative  $\sigma$ -additive measure”. We denote by  $2^X$  the power set of a set  $X$ . We define

$$|X| = \begin{cases} \text{the cardinality of } X, & \text{if } X \text{ is finite,} \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By  $R^\times$  we denote the set of invertible elements of  $R$ . Notice that  $\wp \cap R^\times = \emptyset$  whenever  $\wp \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . We define  $\text{Max}(R)$  to be the family of all the maximal ideals of  $R$ . One can prove that  $\text{Max}(R) \subseteq \text{Spec}(R)$  and  $\bigcup \text{Max}(R) = R \setminus R^\times$ .

We refer to [1] for more information about commutative rings and to [2] for elements of measure theory.

## 1. Construction

We will use the definition of outer measure taken from [2].

**Definition 1.** We say that  $\mu^* : 2^X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is an outer measure on a set  $X$ , if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $\mu^*(A) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(B_n)$  for every sequence  $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of subsets of  $X$   
and every  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ ,
- (2)  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{Spec}(R)$  be such that  $\bigcup \mathcal{P} = R \setminus R^\times$ , and let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\mathcal{P}$ . For a set  $A \subseteq R$  we define

$$\Omega(A) = \left\{ \mathcal{S} \in \mathfrak{M} : \bigcup \mathcal{S} \supseteq A \setminus R^\times \right\}.$$

**Proposition 1.** Suppose that  $\mu : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is a measure. Then the function  $\mu^* : 2^R \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  defined by

$$\mu^*(A) = \inf_{\mathcal{S} \in \Omega(A)} \mu(\mathcal{S})$$

is an outer measure on  $R$ . (This outer measure will be referred to as the outer measure induced by  $\mu$ ).

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ . Let  $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of subsets of  $R$  and let  $\varepsilon$  be an arbitrary positive real number. Observe that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \exists \mathcal{S}_n \in \Omega(B_n) : \mu(\mathcal{S}_n) \leq \mu^*(B_n) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}.$$

If  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ , then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_n \in \Omega(A)$  and hence

$$\mu^*(A) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(\mathcal{S}_n) \leq \varepsilon + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(B_n).$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, the above inequalities yield  $\mu^*(A) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(B_n)$ .  $\square$

The outer measure induced by a measure on a family of prime ideals is a slight modification of a well known measure-theoretical construction. In the next section we give examples that illustrate and motivate this modification.

## 2. Examples

We denote by  $(a)$  the principal ideal generated by an element  $a \in R$ . Consider a further example of a “covering by prime ideals”.

**Example 1.** We assume that  $R$  is a unique factorization domain and define  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{irr}}(R) = \{(0)\} \cup \{(a) : a \in R, a \text{ is irreducible}\}$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{irr}}(R) \subseteq \text{Spec}(R)$  and  $\bigcup \mathcal{P}_{\text{irr}}(R) = R \setminus R^\times$ . Moreover, if  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  and  $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{irr}}(R) \cap \text{Max}(R) = \emptyset$ .

Recall that for every ideal  $I$  of the ring of integers there exists exactly one  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  such that  $I = (m)$ . Notice also that  $\text{Max}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{(p) : p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ , where  $\mathbb{P}$  stands for the set of prime numbers.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $\mu^* : 2^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be the outer measure induced by the counting measure on  $\text{Max}(\mathbb{Z})$ , and let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $A \setminus \{-1, 1\} \neq \emptyset$ . Then

- (i)  $\mu^*(\{-1, 1\}) = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\mu^*(A) = 1$  if and only if

$$\exists d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\} \forall k \in A \setminus \{-1, 1\} : d \mid k$$

(in particular,  $\mu^*(A) = 1$  whenever  $A$  is a singleton or a proper ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$ ),

- (iii)  $\mu^*(A) \leq |A|$ .

Moreover, in the case where  $A \cap \{-1, 1\} = \emptyset$  and  $A$  is a finite set,  $\mu^*(A) = |A|$  if and only if the elements of  $A$  are pairwise relatively prime.

*Proof.* Since  $\{-1, 1\} = \mathbb{Z}^\times$ , we have  $\emptyset \in \Omega(\{-1, 1\})$ . Equality (i) follows.

By the above characterization of  $\text{Max}(\mathbb{Z})$  and the definition of counting measure,  $\mu^*(A) = 1$  if and only if  $A \setminus \{-1, 1\} \subseteq (p_1)$  for a prime number  $p_1$ . The latter condition means precisely that

$$\exists p_1 \in \mathbb{P} \forall k \in A \setminus \{-1, 1\} : p_1 \mid k.$$

Finally, if  $d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $d \mid k$ , then  $k$  is divisible by every prime factor of  $d$ . Property (ii) follows.

Property (iii) is an immediate consequence of the definition of outer measure and the fact that  $\mu^*(\{k\}) \leq 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Assume that  $A \cap \{-1, 1\} = \emptyset$  and  $A$  is a finite set. Let us define  $\ell = |A|$ . Observe that  $\mu^*(A) \neq |A|$  if and only if

$$\exists \mathcal{S} \in \Omega(A) : |\mathcal{S}| \leq \ell - 1.$$

Since the cardinality of  $A$  is greater than the cardinality of  $\mathcal{S}$ , the latter condition holds true if and only if

$$\exists s, t \in A \exists p_2 \in \mathbb{P} : \begin{cases} s \neq t, \\ s, t \in (p_2), \end{cases}$$

and this means precisely that there exist two distinct elements of  $A$  which are not relatively prime.  $\square$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . Consider a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{N}$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , a measure  $\lambda : \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ , and the map

$$\Phi : \mathbb{C}^n \ni z \mapsto \{f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] : f(z) = 0\} \in \text{Max}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]).$$

The family  $\mathfrak{M} = \{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \text{Max}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]) : \Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}) \in \mathfrak{N}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\text{Max}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ . The function  $\eta : \mathfrak{M} \ni \mathcal{S} \mapsto \lambda(\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S})) \in [0, +\infty]$  is a measure.

Let us define  $U = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^\times$ . (Obviously,  $U = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ).

**Proposition 3.** *If  $\eta^* : 2^{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is the outer measure induced by  $\eta$  and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is such that  $A \setminus U \neq \{0\}$ , then*

$$\eta^*(A) = \inf\{\lambda(Z) : Z \in \mathfrak{N}, Z \cap f^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset \text{ for every } f \in A \setminus \mathbb{C}\}.$$

*Proof.* If  $A \subseteq U$ , then  $\{Z \in \mathfrak{N} : Z \cap f^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset \text{ for every } f \in A \setminus \mathbb{C}\} = \mathfrak{N}$ , and hence

$$\inf\{\lambda(Z) : Z \in \mathfrak{N}, Z \cap f^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset \text{ for every } f \in A \setminus \mathbb{C}\} = 0 = \eta^*(A).$$

By Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, the map  $\Phi$  is bijective. Consequently,  $\mathfrak{M} = \{\Phi(Z) : Z \in \mathfrak{N}\}$ . Suppose that  $A \setminus \mathbb{C} \neq \emptyset$ . Then for any  $Z \in \mathfrak{N}$  the following equivalences hold true:

$$\Phi(Z) \in \Omega(A) \iff (\forall f \in A \setminus U \exists \wp \in \Phi(Z) : f \in \wp) \iff$$

$$(\forall f \in A \setminus \mathbb{C} \exists z \in Z : f(z) = 0) \iff (\forall f \in A \setminus \mathbb{C} : Z \cap f^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset).$$

(The second equivalence holds because 0 belongs to every ideal). Therefore,

$$\eta^*(A) = \inf_{S \in \Omega(A)} \eta(S) =$$

$$= \inf\{\lambda(\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(Z))) : Z \in \mathfrak{N}, Z \cap f^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset \text{ for every } f \in A \setminus \mathbb{C}\},$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Example 2.** Let  $\eta^* : 2^{\mathbb{C}[x,y]} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be the outer measure induced by the counting measure on  $\text{Max}(\mathbb{C}[x, y])$ . Consider the set  $E = \{f, g, h, k\} \subset \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ , where

$$f(x, y) = x^2 - y + 1, \quad g(x, y) = y^2, \quad h(x, y) = xy - 1, \quad k(x, y) = xy + 1.$$

Since  $f^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0) \cap h^{-1}(0) \cap k^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$  and  $f^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $\eta^*(E) \in \{2, 3\}$ . Observe that  $\{f, g\}$ ,  $\{f, h\}$  and  $\{f, k\}$  are the only two-element subsets of  $E$  which have a common zero. Consequently, no three-element subset of  $E$  has a common zero. It follows, therefore, that  $\eta^*(E) = 3$ .

Notice that in the example above, if  $I$  is a proper ideal of  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]$ , then  $I \subseteq \wp$  for an ideal  $\wp \in \text{Max}(\mathbb{C}[x, y])$  and hence  $\eta^*(I) = 1$ .

Let  $K$  be a nonempty compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\mathcal{C}(K, \mathbb{R})$  stand for the ring of all the continuous functions  $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{C}(K, \mathbb{R})^\times = \{f \in \mathcal{C}(K, \mathbb{R}) : f(x) \neq 0 \text{ for all } x \in K\}$ . The map

$$\Psi : K \ni x \mapsto \{f \in \mathcal{C}(K, \mathbb{R}) : f(x) = 0\} \in \text{Max}(\mathcal{C}(K, \mathbb{R}))$$

is well known to be a bijection [3]. Consequently, if  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $K$  and  $\xi : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is a measure, then  $\mathfrak{M} = \{\Psi(Z) : Z \in \mathfrak{B}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\text{Max}(\mathcal{C}(K, \mathbb{R}))$  and

$$\eta : \mathfrak{M} \ni S \mapsto \xi(\Psi^{-1}(S)) \in [0, +\infty]$$

is a measure. The obvious counterpart of Proposition 3 remains true.

**Example 3.** Let  $\eta^* : 2^{\mathcal{C}(K, \mathbb{R})} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be the outer measure induced by  $\eta$ . We will denote by  $W$  the set of all the polynomial functions  $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Since

$$\forall x \in K \exists f \in W : f^{-1}(0) = \{x\},$$

we have  $\eta^*(W) = \eta^*(\mathcal{C}(K, \mathbb{R})) = \xi(K)$ .

Now, suppose that  $K$  is the Euclidean closed unit ball and  $\xi$  is the  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure. If  $E$  stands for the set of all the radially symmetric functions belonging to  $\mathcal{C}(K, \mathbb{R})$  and  $L$  is the straight line segment that joins the origin to a boundary point of  $K$ , then

$$\forall f \in E \setminus \mathcal{C}(K, \mathbb{R})^\times : L \cap f^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset.$$

Consequently,  $\eta^*(E) = \xi(L) = 0$  whenever  $n \geq 2$ . It is easy to see that if  $n = 1$ , then  $\eta^*(E) = 1$ .

### 3. General properties

In the theorem below (it is the main result of the paper) we use the notations and assumptions of Proposition 1. For  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $A_1, \dots, A_n \subseteq R$  we define  $A_1 \dots A_n = \{a_1 \dots a_n : a_1 \in A_1, \dots, a_n \in A_n\}$ . Moreover, if  $A \subseteq R$ , then  $A^n = \{a^n : a \in A\}$  and  $A^{\bullet n} = \underbrace{A \dots A}_n$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A, B \subseteq R$  and let  $C$  be a nonempty subset of  $R^\times$ . Then*

- (i)  $\mu^*(R^\times) = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \setminus R^\times)$ ,
- (iii)  $\mu^*(\{0\}) = \min\{\mu^*(E) : E \subseteq R, E \setminus R^\times \neq \emptyset\}$ ,
- (iv)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} : \mu^*(A^n) = \mu^*(A^{\bullet n}) = \mu^*(A)$ ,
- (v)  $\mu^*(AC) = \mu^*(A)$ ,
- (vi)  $\mu^*(AB) \geq \max\{\mu^*(A), \mu^*(B)\}$  whenever  $A \cap R^\times \neq \emptyset$  and  $B \cap R^\times \neq \emptyset$ ,
- (vii)  $\mu^*(AB) \leq \mu^*(A) + \mu^*(B)$ ,
- (viii)  $\mu^*(AB) = \mu^*(A)$  whenever  $A \cap R^\times = \emptyset$  and  $B \cap R^\times \neq \emptyset$ ,
- (ix)  $\mu^*(AB) = \min\{\mu^*(A), \mu^*(B)\}$  whenever  $A \cap R^\times = \emptyset$  and  $B \cap R^\times = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Properties (i) and (ii) are obvious.

Property (iii) follows from the facts that  $0 \notin R^\times$  and 0 belongs to every ideal of  $R$ .

Fix a positive integer  $n$ . Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ . The product  $a_1 \dots a_n$  is not invertible if and only if there exists an index  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that

$a_i$  is not invertible. Similarly,  $a_1 \dots a_n \in \wp$  for an ideal  $\wp \in \text{Spec}(R)$  if and only if there exists an index  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $a_i \in \wp$ . Therefore,  $\Omega(A^n) = \Omega(A^{\bullet n}) = \Omega(A)$ . Property (iv) follows.

Let  $a \in R$  and  $c \in R^\times$ . Observe that  $ac \notin R^\times$  if and only if  $a \notin R^\times$ . Moreover,

$$\forall \wp \in \text{Spec}(R) : ac \in \wp \Leftrightarrow a \in \wp.$$

Consequently,  $\Omega(AC) = \Omega(A)$ .

Suppose that  $C_1 = A \cap R^\times \neq \emptyset$  and  $C_2 = B \cap R^\times \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $AC_2 \cup BC_1 \subseteq AB$ , we have  $\max\{\mu^*(AC_2), \mu^*(BC_1)\} \leq \mu^*(AB)$ . Property (v) yields  $\mu^*(AC_2) = \mu^*(A)$  and  $\mu^*(BC_1) = \mu^*(B)$ . This completes the proof of (vi).

Let  $\mathcal{S} \in \Omega(A)$  and  $\mathcal{T} \in \Omega(B)$ . Suppose that  $ab \notin R^\times$  for some  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . Then  $a \notin R^\times$  or  $b \notin R^\times$ . By the definition of ideal, we get therefore

$$ab \in \bigcup \mathcal{S} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{T}.$$

Consequently,  $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T} \in \Omega(AB)$  and hence  $\mu^*(AB) \leq \mu(\mathcal{S}) + \mu(\mathcal{T})$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  are arbitrarily chosen, it follows that  $\mu^*(AB) \leq \mu^*(A) + \mu^*(B)$ .

Assume that  $A \cap R^\times = \emptyset$  and  $C_2 = B \cap R^\times \neq \emptyset$ . Then, by the definition of ideal,  $\Omega(A) \subseteq \Omega(AB)$  which implies that  $\mu^*(AB) \leq \mu^*(A)$ . On the other hand, by (v), we have  $\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(AC_2) \leq \mu^*(AB)$ . Therefore,  $\mu^*(AB) = \mu^*(A)$ .

Finally, assume that  $A \cap R^\times = \emptyset$  and  $B \cap R^\times = \emptyset$ . Then  $\mu^*(AB) \leq \min\{\mu^*(A), \mu^*(B)\}$  (cf. the proof of property (viii)). Suppose now that  $\mu^*(AB) < \min\{\mu^*(A), \mu^*(B)\}$ . Then

$$\exists \mathcal{U} \in \Omega(AB) : \begin{cases} \mu^*(\bigcup \mathcal{U}) < \mu^*(A), \\ \mu^*(\bigcup \mathcal{U}) < \mu^*(B). \end{cases}$$

(Notice that  $\mu^*(\bigcup \mathcal{U}) \leq \mu(\bigcup \mathcal{U})$ ). Consequently,

$$\mu^*(A \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{U}) \geq \mu^*(A) - \mu^*(A \cap \bigcup \mathcal{U}) \geq \mu^*(A) - \mu^*(\bigcup \mathcal{U}) > 0$$

and, in the same way,  $\mu^*(B \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{U}) > 0$ . Since  $AB \cap R^\times = \emptyset$  and therefore  $AB \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{U}$ , we get

$$\exists a \in A \exists b \in B \exists \wp \in \mathcal{U} \subseteq \text{Spec}(R) : \begin{cases} ab \in \wp, \\ a \notin \wp, b \notin \wp, \end{cases}$$

a contradiction. Property (ix) follows.  $\square$

We will conclude the paper with an example illustrating the behavior of  $\mu^*(AB)$  in the case where  $A$  and  $B$  both contain invertible elements.

**Example 4.** Let  $\mu^* : 2^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be the outer measure induced by the counting measure on  $\text{Max}(\mathbb{Z})$ . If  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $B_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 5\}$ ,  $B_2 = \{1, 2, 5, 7\}$  and  $B_3 = \{1, 5, 7, 11\}$ , then  $\mu^*(A) = 2$ ,  $\mu^*(B_1) = \mu^*(B_2) = \mu^*(B_3) = 3$ ,  $\mu^*(AB_1) = 3$ ,  $\mu^*(AB_2) = 4$  and  $\mu^*(AB_3) = 5$ .

### References

- [1] M. F. Atiyah, I. G. MacDonald, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Westview Press, 1994.
- [2] H. Federer, *Geometric Measure Theory*, Springer, 1969.
- [3] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, 1991.

### CONTACT INFORMATION

#### D. Dudzik

Institute of Mathematics,  
Pedagogical University of Cracow,  
ul. Podchorążych 2,  
30-084 Kraków, Poland  
*E-Mail(s)*: [dariusz.dudzik@gmail.com](mailto:dariusz.dudzik@gmail.com)

#### M. Skrzyński

Institute of Mathematics,  
Cracow University of Technology,  
ul. Warszawska 24,  
31-155 Kraków, Poland  
*E-Mail(s)*: [pfskrzyn@cyf-kr.edu.pl](mailto:pfskrzyn@cyf-kr.edu.pl)

Received by the editors: 15.10.2015  
and in final form 05.01.2016.