© Journal "Algebra and Discrete Mathematics"

A note on Hall S-permutably embedded subgroups of finite groups

Darya A. Sinitsa

Communicated by A. Yu. Olshanskii

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite group. Recall that a subgroup A of G is said to permute with a subgroup B if AB = BA. A subgroup A of G is said to be S-quasinormal or S-permutable in G if A permutes with all Sylow subgroups of G. Recall also that H^{sG} is the S-permutable closure of H in G, that is, the intersection of all such S-permutable subgroups of G which contain H. We say that H is H all S-permutably embedded in G if H is a Hall subgroup of the S-permutable closure H^{sG} of H in G.

We prove that the following conditions are equivalent: (1) every subgroup of G is Hall S-permutably embedded in G; (2) the nilpotent residual $G^{\mathfrak{N}}$ of G is a Hall cyclic of square-free order subgroup of G; (3) $G = D \rtimes M$ is a split extension of a cyclic subgroup D of square-free order by a nilpotent group M, where M and D are both Hall subgroups of G.

Introduction

Throughout this paper, all groups are finite and G always denotes a finite group. The symbol $G^{\mathfrak{N}}$ denotes the *nilpotent residual* of G, that is, the intersection of all normal subgroups N of G with nilpotent quotient G/N.

²⁰¹⁰ MSC: 20D10, 20D15, 20D30.

Key words and phrases: S-permutable subgroup, Hall S-permutably embedded subgroup, S-permutable closure, Sylow subgroup, supersoluble group, maximal subgroup.

Recall that a subgroup A of G is said to permute with a subgroup B if AB = BA. A subgroup A of G is said to be S-quasinormal or S-permutable in G if A permutes with all Sylow subgroups of G.

The S-permutable subgroups possess a series of interesting properties. For instance, the S-permutable subgroups of G form a sublattice of the lattice of all subnormal subgroups of G (Kegel [1]). This important property of S-permutable subgroups allows to introduce the concept of the S-permutable closure of a subgroup. The intersection of all such S-permutable subgroups of G which contain a subgroup H of G is called the S-permutable closure of H in G and denoted by H^{sG} (see Guo and Skiba [2]).

Recall also that a subgroup H of G is said to be a Hall normally embedded subgroup of G [3] if H is a Hall subgroup of the normal closure H^G of H in G. By analogy with it, we say that a subgroup H of G is called a Hall S-permutably embedded subgroup of G if H is a Hall subgroup of the S-permutable closure H^{sG} of H in G.

In the paper [4], Shirong Li and Jianjun Liu described groups G such that every subgroup of G is Hall normally embedded in G. Our main goal here is to prove the following generalization of this result.

Theorem 1. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) every subgroup of G is Hall S-permutably embedded in G;
- (2) the nilpotent residual $G^{\mathfrak{N}}$ of G is a Hall cyclic of square-free order subgroup of G;
- (3) $G = D \rtimes M$ is a split extension of a cyclic subgroup D of square-free order by a nilpotent group M, where M and D are both Hall subgroups of G.

Corollary 1 (Shirong Li and Jianjun Liu [4, Theorem 3.4]). Every subgroup of G is Hall normally embedded in G if and only if $G = D \rtimes M$ is a split extension of a cyclic subgroup D of square-free order by a Dedekind group M, where M and D are both Hall subgroups of G.

Proofs of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1

We will need a few facts about S-permutable subgroups.

Lemma 1 (see Kegel [1] or [5, Theorem 1.2.14]). Let $H \leq K \leq G$.

- (1) If H is S-permutable in G, then H is S-permutable in K.
- (2) Suppose that H is normal in G. Then K/H is S-permutable in G/H if and only if K is S-permutable in G.

(3) If H is S-permutable in G, then H is subnormal in G.

We write $H^{..G}$ to denote the *subnormal closure* of H in G, that is, the intersection of all subnormal subgroups of G which contain H (see [6, A, Definition 14.13]).

A subgroup H of G is called a *Hall subnormally embedded subgroup* of G [4, Definition 1.4] if H is a Hall subgroup of the subnormal closure $H^{..G}$ of H in G. We need also some properties of Hall subnormally embedded subgroups (see [4, Theorem 3.3]).

Lemma 2. If every subgroup of G is Hall subnormally embedded in G, then the following statements hold:

- (1) $G = D \times M$, where $D = G^{\mathfrak{N}}$ is the nilpotent residual of G;
- (2) D and M are Hall subgroups of G;
- (3) M acts irreducibly on each Sylow subgroup of D.
- **Lemma 3.** (1) If H is a Hall S-permutably embedded subgroup of G, then H is a Hall subnormally embedded subgroup of G.
 - (2) If H is a Hall normally embedded subgroup of G, then H is a Hall S-permutably embedded subgroup of G.
- *Proof.* (1) Since every S-permutable subgroup of G is a subnormal subgroup of G by Lemma 1(3), $H^{..G} \leq H^{sG}$. Moreover, H is a Hall subgroup of H^{sG} by hypothesis, so H is a Hall subgroup of $H^{..G}$.
 - (2) See the proof of (1). \Box

Lemma 4 (see Deskins [7] or [5, Theorem 1.2.14]). If the subgroup H of G is S-permutable in G, then H/H_G is nilpotent.

Lemma 5 (see [8, Lemma 2.4]). Let H be a Hall S-permutably embedded subgroup of G. Then the following statements hold:

- (1) if $H \leq K \leq G$, then H is Hall S-permutably embedded in K;
- (2) if $N \lhd G$, then HN/N is Hall S-permutably embedded in G/N.

Lemma 6. Let $G = D \rtimes M$, where D is a Hall cyclic of square-free order subgroup of G and M is a nilpotent (respectively Dedekind) subgroup of G. Then every subgroup of G is Hall S-permutably embedded (respectively Hall normally embedded) in G.

Proof. Let H be a subgroup of G. Let $D_1 = H \cap D$. Clearly, D_1 is a Hall subgroup of D and D_1 has a complement D_2 in D.

Since $M \simeq G/D$ is nilpotent (respectively Dedekind), all subgroups of G/D are S-permutable (respectively normal) in G/D. Then DH/D is

S-permutable (respectively normal) in G/D. Hence by Lemma 1(2), DH is S-permutable (respectively normal) in G. Therefore $H \leq H^{sG} \leq DH$ (respectively $H \leq H^G \leq DH$).

Now we show that H is a Hall subgroup of H^{sG} (respectively of H^{G}). Since

$$|DH:H| = \frac{|D_1D_2H|}{|H|} = \frac{|D_2H|}{|H|} = \frac{|D_2||H|}{|D_2 \cap H||H|} = |D_2|,$$

(|H|, |DH: H|) = 1. Thus H is a Hall subgroup of DH, therefore H is a Hall subgroup of H^{sG} (respectively of H^{G}). Hence H is Hall S-permutable embedded (respectively Hall normally embedded) in G.

Lemma 7 (see [5, Theorem 1.2.16]). Let H be a p-subgroup of G, where p is a prime. Then H is S-permutable in G if and only if

$$O^p(G) \leqslant N_G(H)$$
.

Now we are in position to proof the main result.

Proof. Let $D = G^{\mathfrak{N}}$.

- $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ Assume that this is false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order.
- (a) If N is a minimal normal subgroup of G, then the hypothesis holds for G/N and so Condition (2) is true for G/N.

Let H/N be any subgroup of G/N. Then H is Hall S-permutably embedded in G by hypothesis. Hence H/N is Hall S-permutably embedded in G/N by Lemma 5(2). Therefore the hypothesis holds for G/N. In view of

$$|G/N| < |G|,$$

the choice of G implies that Condition (2) is true for G/N.

(b) G is soluble.

Assume that this is false. Claim (a) implies that G/N is soluble for every minimal normal subgroup N of G, so N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G, N is non-abelian and $N \nleq \Phi(G)$. Let X be a maximal subgroup of G such that $N \nleq X$. Then G = NX.

Let p be a prime dividing the order of G. Then there exist a Sylow p-subgroup N_p of N and a Sylow p-subgroup X_p of X such that $P = N_p X_p$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of G. We have that either $P = X_p$ and then X contains a Sylow p-subgroup of G or there exists a maximal subgroup K of P such that X_p is contained in K. Suppose the second possibility

is true. By hypothesis, K is Hall S-permutably embedded in G. Hence we can find a subgroup B of G such that B is S-permutable in G and K is a Sylow p-subgroup of B. Assume that $B_G \neq 1$. Then N is contained in B and so $P = X_p N_p$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of B. Hence |K| = |P|, a contradiction. Hence $B_G = 1$. Then B is a nilpotent group by Lemma 4. Moreover, B is subnormal in G by Lemma 1(3). This implies that B is contained in F(G). But F(G) = 1 because N is non-abelian. Hence K = 1 and so $N_p = P$ is a cyclic group of order p.

Thus we have that if p divides the order of G, then either X contains a Sylow p-subgroup of G or N contains a Sylow p-subgroup of G. In the second case, this Sylow p-subgroup should be cyclic of order p.

Denote $\pi = \pi(N)$. Since G/N is supersoluble by Claim (a), it follows that

$$G/N = XN/N \cong X/(N \cap X)$$

is supersoluble. In particular, $X/(N\cap X)$ is soluble. Let H be a subgroup of G such that $H/(N\cap X)$ is a Hall π -subgroup of $X/N\cap X$. Suppose that NH is a proper subgroup of G. Then by Lemma 5(1) the hypothesis of the theorem holds for NH. By the minimal choice of G, it follows that NH is supersoluble, a contradiction. Hence we have G=NH and G is a π -group. Suppose that for each prime $p\in \pi$, the Sylow p-subgroups of N are Sylow p-subgroups of G. Then G=N and, by the above argument, every Sylow subgroup of G is cyclic. By [9, IV, Theorem 2.9], G is soluble, a contradiction. Therefore there is $q\in \pi$ such that a Sylow q-subgroup N_q of N is not a Sylow q-subgroup of G. Then arguing as above we get a contradiction. Thus G is soluble.

(c) G is supersoluble.

Assume that this is false. Then, since the class of all supersoluble groups is a saturated formation, Claim (a) implies that G has a unique minimal normal subgroup, say N, and $N \nleq \Phi(G)$. Moreover, since G is soluble by Claim (b),

$$N = O_p(G) = C_G(N)$$

is a non-cyclic abelian p-group for some prime p by [6, A, Theorem 15.2]. Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of G containing N. Let A be a maximal subgroup of P not containing N. Since A is Hall S-permutably embedded in G, we can find an S-permutable subgroup of G, say B, such that A is a Sylow p-subgroup of B. From the fact that N is not contained in A, we have $B_G = 1$. Hence, by Lemma 4, B is nilpotent and so B is contained in F(G) = N in view of subnormality of B in G. Therefore A = B and

P = N. Since A is S-permutable in G, $O^p(G) \leq N_G(A)$ by Lemma 7. Hence A is normal in G. But P = N is a minimal normal subgroup of G. Thus A = 1 and |P| = p. This contradiction shows that G is supersoluble.

Final contradiction. Since G is supersoluble, D is nilpotent. Moreover, by Lemmas 2(1)(2) and 3(1), D is a Hall subgroup of G.

Since D is nilpotent, each Sylow subgroup of D is normal in D and therefore each Sylow subgroup of D is characteristic in D. Hence each Sylow subgroup of D is normal in G. Let $V \neq 1$ be a Sylow subgroup of D and let R be a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in V. Since M acts irreducible on each Sylow subgroup of D by Lemmas 2(3) and 3(1), R = V. Therefore, since G is supersoluble, |R| = |V| is a prime. Hence D is a cyclic group of square-free order.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ Since D is a Hall subgroup of G, D has a complement M in G by the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem. Finally, since

$$M \simeq G/D = G/G^{\mathfrak{N}}$$
,

П

M is a Hall nilpotent subgroup of G.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ This directly follows from Lemma 6.

The theorem is proved.

Finally, we prove Corollary 1.

Proof. Necessity. In view of Lemma 3(2), Theorem 1 and [5, Theorem 1.4], it is enough to show that G is a T-group. Let H be a subnormal subgroup of G. Then H is subnormal in H^G by [6, A, Theorem 14.8]. Then, since H is a Hall subgroup of H^G by hypothesis, H is characteristic in H^G . Hence H is a normal subgroup of G, so G is a T-group.

Sufficiency. This directly follows from Lemma 6.

The corollary is proved.

Acknowledgment

The author is very grateful for the helpful suggestions and remarks of the referee.

References

- [1] O. Kegel, Sylow-Gruppen and Subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen, Math. Z. 78 (1962), 205–221.
- [2] W. Guo, A.N. Skiba, Finite groups with given s-embedded and n-embedded subgroups, *Journal of Algebra* **321** (2009), 2843–2860.

- [3] S.R. Li, J. He, G.P. Nong, L.Q. Zhou, On Hall normally embedded subgroups of finite groups, *Comm. Algebra* **37** (9) (2009), 3360–3367.
- [4] S.R. Li, J.J. Liu, On Hall subnormally embedded and generalized nilpotent groups, *Journal of Algebra* **388** (2013), 1–9.
- [5] A. Ballester-Bolinches, R. Esteban-Romero, M. Asaad, Products of Finite Groups, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 2010.
- [6] K. Doerk, T. Hawkes, Finite Soluble Groups, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1992.
- [7] W.E. Deskins, On quasinormal subgroups of finite groups, Math. Z. 82 (1963), 125–132.
- [8] J. Lio, S. Li, CLT-groups with Hall S-quasinormally embedded subgroups, Ukr. Math. Journal 66 (2014), 1281–1287.
- [9] B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1967.

CONTACT INFORMATION

D. A. Sinitsa

Department of Mathematics, Francisk Skorina Gomel State University, Sovetskaya str., 104, Gomel, 246019, Republic of Belarus E-Mail(s): lindela@mail.ru

Received by the editors: 26.01.2016 and in final form 05.12.2016.