

# About the spectra of a real nonnegative matrix and its signings

K. Attas, A. Boussaïri\*, and M. Zaidi

Communicated by Yu. A. Drozd

**ABSTRACT.** For a complex matrix  $M$ , we denote by  $\text{Sp}(M)$  the spectrum of  $M$  and by  $|M|$  its absolute value, that is the matrix obtained from  $M$  by replacing each entry of  $M$  by its absolute value. Let  $A$  be a nonnegative real matrix, we call a *signing* of  $A$  every real matrix  $B$  such that  $|B| = A$ . In this paper, we characterize the set of all signings of  $A$  such that  $\text{Sp}(B) = \alpha \text{Sp}(A)$  where  $\alpha$  is a complex unit number. Our motivation comes from some recent results about the relationship between the spectrum of a graph and the skew spectra of its orientations.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all matrices are complex, unless otherwise noted. The identity matrix of order  $n$  is denoted by  $I_n$  and the transpose of a matrix  $A$  by  $A^T$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be a subgroup of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ , the group of nonzero complex numbers under multiplication. Two square matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are  $\Sigma$ -*diagonally similar* if  $B = \Lambda^{-1}A\Lambda$  for some diagonal matrix  $\Lambda$  with diagonal entries in  $\Sigma$ . A square matrix  $A$  is *reducible* if there exists a permutation matrix  $P$ , so that  $A$  can be reduced to the form  $PAP^T = \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ 0 & Z \end{pmatrix}$  where  $X$  and  $Z$  are square matrices. A square matrix which is not reducible is

---

\*Corresponding author.

2020 MSC: 05C20, 05C50.

**Key words and phrases:** spectra, digraphs, nonnegative matrices, irreducible matrices.

said to be *irreducible*. A real matrix  $A$  is nonnegative, (we write  $A \geq 0$ ), if all its entries are nonnegative.

Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  real or complex matrix. The multiset  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$  of eigenvalues of  $A$  is called the *spectrum* of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\text{Sp}(A)$ . We usually assume that  $|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n|$ . The *spectral radius* of  $A$  is  $\rho(A) := |\lambda_1|$ .

The relationship between the spectrum of a graph and the skew spectra of its orientations is studied in many papers (see for example [1, 2, 4–6, 8, 10]). Our work is closely related to the result of Shader and So [8]. To state this result, we need to introduce some definitions and notations.

Let  $G$  be a finite simple graph with vertex set  $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  and edge set  $E(G)$ . The *adjacency matrix* of  $G$  is the symmetric matrix  $A(G) = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  where  $a_{ij} = a_{ji} = 1$  if  $\{v_i, v_j\}$  is an edge of  $G$  and  $a_{ij} = a_{ji} = 0$  otherwise. Since the matrix  $A(G)$  is symmetric, its eigenvalues are real. The *adjacency spectrum*  $\text{Sp}(G)$  of  $G$  is defined as the spectrum of  $A(G)$ . Let  $G^\sigma$  be an orientation of  $G$ , which assigns to each edge a direction so that the resultant graph  $G^\sigma$  becomes an oriented graph. The *skew-adjacency matrix* of  $G^\sigma$  is the real skew-symmetric matrix  $S(G^\sigma) = (a_{ij}^\sigma)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  where  $a_{ij}^\sigma = -a_{ji}^\sigma = 1$  if  $(v_i, v_j)$  is an arc of  $G^\sigma$  and  $a_{ij}^\sigma = 0$  otherwise. The *skew-spectrum*  $\text{Sp}(G^\sigma)$  of  $G^\sigma$  is defined as the spectrum of  $S(G^\sigma)$ . Note that  $\text{Sp}(G^\sigma)$  consists of only purely imaginary eigenvalues because  $S(G^\sigma)$  is a real skew-symmetric matrix.

Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph with bipartition  $[I, J]$ , the orientation  $G^\varepsilon$  that assigns to each edge of  $G$  a direction from  $I$  to  $J$  is called the *canonical orientation*. Shader and So [8] showed that  $\text{Sp}(G^\varepsilon) = i \text{Sp}(G)$ . Moreover, they proved that a graph  $G$  is bipartite if and only if  $\text{Sp}(G^\sigma) = i \text{Sp}(G)$  for some orientation  $G^\sigma$  of  $G$ .

Consider now two orientations  $G^\sigma$  and  $G^\tau$  of  $G$ . We say that  $G^\sigma$  and  $G^\tau$  are *switching-equivalent* if there exists a subset  $W$  of  $V(G)$  such that  $G^\sigma$  is obtained from  $G^\tau$  by reversing the direction of all arcs between  $W$  and  $V(G) \setminus W$ . Clearly, the skew-adjacency matrices of switching-equivalent orientations are  $\{-1, 1\}$ -diagonally similar. Hence, they have the same spectrum. When  $G$  is bipartite, Anuradha et al. [1] proved that  $\text{Sp}(G^\sigma) = i \text{Sp}(G)$  if and only if  $G^\sigma$  is switching-equivalent to the canonical orientation.

These results can be stated in term of matrices as follows.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\{0, 1\}$ -symmetric matrix. Then the following statements are equivalent :*

- i) *There exists a real skew-symmetric matrix  $B$  such that  $|B| = A$  and  $\text{Sp}(B) = i \text{Sp}(A)$ ;*  
 ii) *There exists a permutation matrix  $P$  such that*

$$PAP^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ X^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the zero diagonal blocks are square.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ X^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  be a  $\{0, 1\}$ -symmetric matrix and let  $B$  be a skew-symmetric matrix such that  $|B| = A$ . Then, the following statements are equivalent :*

- i)  $\text{Sp}(B) = i \text{Sp}(A)$ ;  
 ii)  $B$  is  $\{-1, 1\}$ -diagonally similar to  $\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ -X^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

For a  $\{0, 1\}$ -symmetric matrix  $A$ , the propositions above characterize the set of all skew-symmetric signings  $B$  of  $A$ , such that  $\text{Sp}(B) = i \text{Sp}(A)$ . In this paper, we consider the more general problem.

**Problem 1.** Let  $A$  be a nonnegative real matrix and let  $\alpha$  be a complex unit number. Characterize the set of all signings  $B$  of  $A$  such that  $\text{Sp}(B) = \alpha \text{Sp}(A)$ .

We solve this problem when  $A$  is an irreducible matrix. To state our main result, we need some terminology. A *digraph*  $D$  is a pair consisting of a finite set  $V(D)$  of *vertices* and a subset  $E(D)$  of ordered pairs of vertices called *arcs*. Let  $v, v'$  be two vertices of  $D$ , a *path*  $P$  from  $v$  to  $v'$  is a finite sequence  $v_0 = v, \dots, v_k = v'$  such that  $(v_0, v_1), \dots, (v_{k-1}, v_k)$  are arcs of  $D$ . The *length* of  $P$  is the number  $k$  of its arcs. If  $v_0 = v_k$ , we say that  $P$  is a *closed path*. A digraph is said to be *strongly connected* if for any two vertices  $v$  and  $v'$ , there is a path joining  $v$  to  $v'$ . It is easy to see that a strongly connected digraph contains a closed path. The *period* of a strongly connected digraph is the greatest common divisor of the lengths of its closed paths.

With each  $n \times n$  matrix  $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ , we associate a digraph  $D_A$  on the vertex set  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$  and with arc set  $\{(i, j) : a_{ij} \neq 0\}$ . It is easy to show that  $A$  is irreducible if and only if  $D_A$  is strongly connected. The *period* of an irreducible matrix is the period of its associate digraph. For example, if  $A$  is the adjacency matrix of a connected graph  $G$ , then

its period is either 1 or 2. Moreover, the period of  $A$  is 2 if and only if  $G$  is bipartite.

Let  $A$  be an irreducible nonnegative real matrix of period  $p$ . For each complex unit number  $\alpha$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, A)$  the set of all signings  $B$  of  $A$  such that  $\text{Sp}(B) = \alpha \text{Sp}(A)$ .

In Corollary 2, we prove that if  $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, A)$  is nonempty, then  $\alpha = e^{\frac{i\pi k}{p}}$  for some  $k \in \{0, \dots, 2p-1\}$ . Moreover, we prove in Proposition 6 that  $e^{\frac{i2\pi}{p}} \text{sp}(A) = \text{sp}(A)$ . This implies that,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(1, A) &= \mathcal{M}(e^{\frac{2i\pi}{p}}, A) = \dots = \mathcal{M}(e^{\frac{2(p-1)i\pi}{p}}, A) \\ \mathcal{M}(e^{\frac{i\pi}{p}}, A) &= \mathcal{M}(e^{\frac{3i\pi}{p}}, A) = \dots = \mathcal{M}(e^{\frac{(2p-1)i\pi}{p}}, A) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it suffices to characterize  $\mathcal{M}(1, A)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(e^{\frac{i\pi}{p}}, A)$ .

In the proof of Corollary 1, we give an explicit construction of a matrix  $B_0 \in \mathcal{M}(e^{\frac{i\pi}{p}}, A)$  which is used in our main theorem below.

**Theorem 1.** *Under the notation above, the following statements hold*

- i)  $\mathcal{M}(1, A)$  is the set of matrices  $\{-1, 1\}$ -diagonally similar to  $A$ ;
- ii)  $\mathcal{M}(e^{\frac{i\pi}{p}}, A)$  is the set of matrices  $\{-1, 1\}$ -diagonally similar to  $B_0$ .

## 2. Some properties of $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, A)$

Throughout,  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  irreducible nonnegative matrix,  $p$  its period and  $\alpha$  a unit complex number. We will use the following theorem due to Helmut Wielandt [9].

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $B$  be a complex  $n \times n$  matrix such that  $|B| \leq A$ . Then  $\rho(B) \leq \rho(A)$ . Moreover, if equality holds (i.e.,  $\rho(A)e^{i\theta} \in \text{Sp}(B)$  for some real number  $\theta$ ) then  $B = e^{i\theta} L A L^{-1}$ , where  $L$  is a complex diagonal matrix such that  $|L| = I_n$ .*

We will use Theorem 2 to prove the following.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $B$  be a signing of  $A$  such that  $\rho(B) = \rho(A)$ . If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $B$  such that  $|\lambda| = \rho(A)$ , then  $\lambda = \rho(A)e^{\frac{i\pi k}{p}}$  for some  $k \in \{0, \dots, 2p-1\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A := (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ ,  $B := (b_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  and  $\lambda = \rho(A)e^{i\theta}$ . By Theorem 2, we have  $B = e^{i\theta} L A L^{-1}$  where  $L$  is a complex diagonal matrix such that  $|L| = I_n$ . It follows that  $b_{ij} = e^{i\theta} l_i a_{ij} l_j^{-1}$  for  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

where  $l_1, \dots, l_n$  are the diagonal entries of  $L$ . Consider now a closed path  $C = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r, i_1)$  of  $D_A$ . By the previous equality, we have

$$\frac{b_{i_1 i_2} \dots b_{i_{r-1} i_r} b_{i_r i_1}}{a_{i_1 i_2} \dots a_{i_{r-1} i_r} a_{i_r i_1}} = (e^{i\theta} l_{i_1} l_{i_2}^{-1}) \dots (e^{i\theta} l_{i_{r-1}} l_{i_r}^{-1}) (e^{i\theta} l_{i_r} l_{i_1}^{-1}) = (e^{i\theta})^r$$

Then  $(e^{i\theta})^r \in \{1, -1\}$  because  $|B| = A$ .

Since  $p$  is the greatest common divisor of the lengths of the closed paths in  $D_A$ , we have  $(e^{i\theta})^p \in \{1, -1\}$  and then  $\lambda = \rho(A) e^{\frac{i\pi k}{p}}$  for some  $k \in \{0, \dots, 2p-1\}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $A$  such that  $|\lambda| = \rho(A)$ . By applying Proposition 3 to  $B = A$ , we have  $\lambda = \rho(A) e^{\frac{i\pi k}{p}}$  for some  $k \in \{0, \dots, 2p-1\}$ .

The following result gives a necessary condition under which  $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, A)$  is nonempty.

**Corollary 1.** *If  $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, A)$  is nonempty then  $\alpha = e^{\frac{i\pi k}{p}}$  for some  $k \in \{0, \dots, 2p-1\}$ , or equivalently  $\alpha^p = \pm 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $A$  such that  $|\lambda| = \rho(A)$ . By Remark 1, we have  $\lambda = \rho(A) e^{\frac{i\pi k}{p}}$  for some  $k \in \{0, \dots, 2p-1\}$ . Let  $B \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha, A)$ . Then  $\alpha \rho(A) e^{\frac{i\pi k}{p}} \in \text{Sp}(B)$  because  $\text{Sp}(B) = \alpha \text{Sp}(A)$ . It follows from Proposition 3 that  $\alpha \rho(A) e^{\frac{i\pi k}{p}} = \rho(A) e^{\frac{i\pi h}{p}}$  for some  $h \in \{0, \dots, 2p-1\}$  and hence  $\alpha = e^{\frac{i\pi(h-k)}{p}}$ .  $\square$

It is easy to see that if  $B \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha, A)$ , then  $\Lambda^{-1} B \Lambda \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha, A)$  for every  $\{-1, 1\}$ -diagonal matrix  $\Lambda$ . Conversely,

**Proposition 4.** *The matrices in the set  $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, A)$  are all  $\{-1, 1\}$ -diagonally similar.*

*Proof.* Let  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha, A)$ . Then  $\text{Sp}(B_1) = \text{Sp}(B_2) = \alpha \text{Sp}(A)$ . It follows that  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  have a common eigenvalue of the form  $\rho(A) e^{i\theta}$  for some real number  $\theta$ . By Theorem 2, we have  $B_1 = e^{i\theta} L_1 A L_1^{-1}$  and  $B_2 = e^{i\theta} L_2 A L_2^{-1}$  where  $L_1, L_2$  are complex diagonal matrices such that  $|L_1| = |L_2| = I_n$ . It follows that  $B_1 = (L_2 L_1^{-1})^{-1} B_2 L_2 L_1^{-1}$ . To conclude, it suffices to apply Lemma 1 below.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $B, B'$  be two signings of  $A$ . If there exists a complex diagonal matrix  $\Gamma$  such that  $B' = \Gamma B \Gamma^{-1}$  and  $|\Gamma| = I_n$  then  $B$  and  $B'$  are  $\{-1, 1\}$ -diagonally similar.*

*Proof.* Let  $A := (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ ,  $B := (b_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  and  $B' := (b'_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ . We denote by  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  the diagonal entries of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\Delta := \gamma_1^{-1} \Gamma$ . Clearly, we have  $\Delta B \Delta^{-1} = \Gamma B \Gamma^{-1} = B'$ . Hence, to prove our lemma, it suffices to check that  $\Delta$  is a  $\{-1, 1\}$ -diagonal matrix. For this, let  $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ . As  $A$  is irreducible, the digraph  $D_A$  is strongly connected and then there is a path  $j = i_1, \dots, i_r = 1$  of  $D_A$  from  $j$  to 1. By definition of  $D_A$ , we have  $a_{i_1 i_2} \neq 0, \dots, a_{i_{r-1} i_r} \neq 0$ . It follows that  $b_{i_1 i_2} \neq 0, \dots, b_{i_{r-1} i_r} \neq 0$  and  $b'_{i_1 i_2} \neq 0, \dots, b'_{i_{r-1} i_r} \neq 0$  because  $|B| = |B'| = A$ . Moreover, from the equality  $B' = \Gamma B \Gamma^{-1}$  we have  $b'_{i_1 i_2} = \gamma_{i_1} b_{i_1 i_2} \gamma_{i_2}^{-1}$ ,  $b'_{i_2 i_3} = \gamma_{i_2} b_{i_2 i_3} \gamma_{i_3}^{-1}, \dots, b'_{i_{r-1} i_r} = \gamma_{i_{r-1}} b_{i_{r-1} i_r} \gamma_{i_r}^{-1}$ . Then  $b'_{i_1 i_2} \dots b'_{i_{r-1} i_r} = \gamma_{i_1} \gamma_{i_r}^{-1} b_{i_1 i_2} \dots b_{i_{r-1} i_r}$ . But by hypothesis,  $B, B'$  are real matrices and  $|B| = |B'|$ , then  $b'_{i_1 i_2} \dots b'_{i_{r-1} i_r} = \pm b_{i_1 i_2} \dots b_{i_{r-1} i_r}$  and hence  $\gamma_j \gamma_1^{-1} = \gamma_{i_1} \gamma_{i_r}^{-1} \in \{-1, 1\}$ , which completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of the main theorem

Assertion *i.* (resp. assertion *ii.* for  $p = 1$ ) follows from Proposition 4 and the fact that  $A \in \mathcal{M}(1, A)$  (resp.  $-A \in \mathcal{M}(-1, A)$ ). To prove assertion *ii.* for  $p > 1$ , we will use the cyclic form of irreducible matrices with period  $p$ . To define  $k$ -cyclic matrices, let  $n$  be a positive integer and let  $\{r_1, \dots, r_k\}$  be a partition of  $n$ , that is  $r_1, \dots, r_k$  are positive integers and  $r_1 + \dots + r_k = n$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ , let  $A_i$  be a  $r_i \times r_{i+1}$  matrix and let  $A_k$  be a  $r_k \times r_1$  matrix. The matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & A_{k-1} \\ A_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is denoted by  $Cyc(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k)$ . Each matrix of this form is called *k-cyclic*.

The characterization of irreducible matrices with period  $p > 1$  is given by the following result due to Frobenius.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $A$  be an irreducible nonnegative real matrix with period  $p > 1$ , then there exists a permutation matrix  $P$  such that  $PAP^T$  is  $p$ -cyclic.*

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $A = Cyc(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_p)$  be a nonnegative  $p$ -cyclic matrix where  $A_i$  is a  $r_i \times r_{i+1}$  matrix for  $i = 1, \dots, p - 1$  and  $A_p$  is a  $r_p \times r_1$  matrix. Let  $\tilde{A}$  be the matrix obtained from  $A$  by replacing the block  $A_p$  by  $-A_p$ . Let  $k \in \{0, \dots, 2p - 1\}$ , then*

- i) *if  $k$  is even,  $e^{\frac{i\pi k}{p}} A$  is diagonally similar to  $A$ , in particular  $Sp(A) = e^{\frac{i\pi k}{p}} Sp(A)$ ;*
- ii) *if  $k$  is odd,  $e^{\frac{i\pi k}{p}} A$  is diagonally similar to  $\tilde{A}$ , in particular  $Sp(\tilde{A}) = e^{\frac{i\pi k}{p}} Sp(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Let

$$L := \begin{pmatrix} I_{r_1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{i\pi k}{p}} I_{r_2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & e^{\frac{i\pi k(p-1)}{p}} I_{r_p} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It easy to check that if  $k$  is even,  $e^{\frac{i\pi k}{p}} LAL^{-1} = A$  and if  $k$  is odd,  $e^{\frac{i\pi k}{p}} LAL^{-1} = \tilde{A}$ . □

The next corollary is a consequence of the above proposition and Proposition 5.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $A$  be an irreducible nonnegative matrix with period  $p$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}(e^{\frac{i\pi}{p}}, A)$  is nonempty.*

*Proof.* As  $(-A) \in \mathcal{M}(-1, A)$ , we can assume that  $p > 1$ . By Proposition 5, there exists a permutation matrix  $P$  such that  $PAP^T$  is  $p$ -cyclic. Let  $A' := PAP^T := Cyc(A'_1, A'_2, \dots, A'_p)$  and let  $\tilde{A}'$  be the matrix obtained from  $A'$  by replacing the block  $A'_p$  by  $-A'_p$ . It follows from Proposition 6 that  $Sp(\tilde{A}') = e^{\frac{i\pi}{p}} Sp(A')$ , and hence  $Sp(P^T \tilde{A}' P) = e^{\frac{i\pi}{p}} Sp(P^T A' P) = e^{\frac{i\pi}{p}} Sp(A)$ . Let  $B_0 := P^T \tilde{A}' P$ . Since  $|\tilde{A}'| = A'$ , we have  $|B_0| = P^T A' P = A$  and then  $B_0 \in \mathcal{M}(e^{\frac{i\pi k}{p}}, A)$ . □

### References

[1] A. Anuradha, R. Balakrishnan, X. Chen, X. Li, H. Lian and W. So, *Skew spectra of oriented bipartite graphs*, Electron. J. Combin. **20**, 2013, #P18.

- [2] A. Anuradha, R. Balakrishnan and W. So, *Skew spectra of graphs without even cycles*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, **444**, 2014, pp.67–80.
- [3] N. Biggs, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [4] M. Cavers, S.M. Cioaba, S. Fallat, D.A. Gregory, W.H. Haemers, S.J. Kirkland, J.J. McDonald, M. Tsatsomeros, *Skew-adjacency matrices of graphs*, Linear Algebra Appl. **436**, 2012, pp.4512–4529.
- [5] D. Cui and Y. Hou, *On the skew spectra of Cartesian products of graphs*, The Electronic J. Combin. **20** (2), 2013, #P19.
- [6] Y. Hou and T. Lei, *Characteristic polynomials of skew-adjacency matrices of oriented graphs*, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics **18**, 2011, #P156.
- [7] C.D. Godsil, *Algebraic Combinatorics*, Chapman and Hall, London, 1993.
- [8] B. Shader and W. So, *Skew spectra of oriented graphs*, The electronic journal of Combinatorics **16**, 2009, N 32.
- [9] H. Wielandt, *Unzerlegbare, nicht negative Matrizen*. Mathematische Zeitschrift **52** (1), 1950, pp.642-648.
- [10] G. Xu, *Some inequalities on the skew-spectral radii of oriented graphs*, J. Inequal. Appl. **211**, 2012, pp.1–13.

## CONTACT INFORMATION

**Kawtar Attas,**  
**Abderrahim**  
**Boussaïri,**  
**Mohamed Zaidi**

Laboratoire de Topologie, Algèbre, Géométrie  
et Mathématiques Discrètes, Faculté des  
Sciences Aïn Chock, Hassan II University of  
Casablanca, Casablanca, Morocco.

*E-Mail(s)*: [kawtar.attas@gmail.com](mailto:kawtar.attas@gmail.com),  
[aboussairi@hotmail.com](mailto:aboussairi@hotmail.com),  
[zaidi.fsac@gmail.com](mailto:zaidi.fsac@gmail.com)

Received by the editors: 17.09.2019.