

Conjugacy in finite state wreath powers of finite permutation groups

Andriy Oliynyk and Andriy Rusyev

Communicated by A. P. Petravchuk

ABSTRACT. It is proved that conjugated periodic elements of the infinite wreath power of a finite permutation group are conjugated in the finite state wreath power of this group. Counterexamples for non-periodic elements are given.

1. Introduction

The conjugacy classes in the full automorphism group of a regular rooted tree are described in [1]. But for its subgroup of finite state automorphisms the corresponding description is a challenging task. In particular, there exist finite state level-transitive automorphisms (and therefore, conjugated in the full automorphism group) which are not conjugates in the finite state subgroup [2]. Deep results about conjugation of some special finite state automorphisms were obtained in [3] and [4].

The most natural way to introduce finite state automorphisms uses automata theory. But regarding our purposes we choose a language of infinite wreath products. The full automorphism group of m -regular rooted tree is the infinite wreath power of the symmetric group of degree m . If we restrict ourselves to some subgroup (or even subsemigroup) G of this symmetric group we naturally obtain the infinite and finite state wreath powers of G (cf. [5, 6]).

2010 MSC: 20E08, 20E06, 20F65.

Key words and phrases: permutation group, wreath power, automorphism of a rooted tree, conjugacy.

The work is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the finite state wreath power of a finite permutation group. In Section 3 we prove the main result of the paper. Namely, if periodic finite state elements of the infinite wreath power of a finite permutation group are conjugated in this wreath power then they are conjugated in the finite state wreath power of a given permutation group. In Section 4 we show how to construct non-periodic finite state elements conjugated in the wreath power but not conjugated in the finite state wreath power of a given permutation group.

For all other definitions used in the paper one can refer to [2].

2. Finite state wreath power

Let A be a finite set of cardinality $m \geq 2$. Consider a finite group G acting faithfully on the set A . In other words, the permutation group (G, A) is a subgroup of the symmetric group $Sym(A)$. In the sequel we assume that the groups act on the sets from the right and denote by a^g the result of the action of a group element g on a point a .

Denote by $W^\infty(G, A)$ the infinitely iterated wreath product of (G, A) . The group $W^\infty(G, A)$ consists of permutations of the infinite cartesian product X^∞ given by infinite sequences of the form

$$\mathbf{g} = [g_1, g_2(x_1), \dots, g_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), \dots], \quad (1)$$

where $g_1 \in G$, $g_2(x_1) : A \rightarrow G$, \dots , $g_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) : A^{n-1} \rightarrow G$, \dots . For each $n \geq 1$ we call g_n the n -th term of \mathbf{g} and denote it by $[g]_n$. An element \mathbf{g} acts on a point

$$\bar{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots) \in A^\infty$$

by the rule

$$\bar{a}^{\mathbf{g}} = (a_1^{g_1}, a_2^{g_2(a_1)}, \dots, a_n^{g_n(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})}, \dots).$$

Let $\mathbf{g} = [g_1, g_2(x_1), g_3(x_1, x_2), \dots] \in W^\infty(G, A)$ and $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$ for some $n \geq 1$. Define an element $\text{rest}(\mathbf{g}, \bar{a}) \in W^\infty(G, A)$ as

$$\text{rest}(\mathbf{g}, \bar{a}) = [h_1, h_2(x_1), h_3(x_1, x_2), \dots],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= g_{n+1}(a_1, \dots, a_n), \\ h_2(x_1) &= g_{n+2}(a_1, \dots, a_n, x_1), \\ h_3(x_1, x_2) &= g_{n+3}(a_1, \dots, a_n, x_1, x_2), \dots \end{aligned}$$

The tuple $\text{rest}(\mathbf{g}, \bar{a})$ has the form (1) as well and therefore belongs to the group $W^\infty(G, A)$. The element $\text{rest}(\mathbf{g}, \bar{a})$ is called the state of \mathbf{g} at \bar{a} . Also we consider \mathbf{g} as a state of itself.

Define the set

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{g}) = \{\text{rest}(\mathbf{g}, \bar{a}) : \bar{a} \in A^n, n \geq 1\} \cup \{\mathbf{g}\}$$

of all states of \mathbf{g} . In particular, for the identity element $\mathbf{e} \in W^\infty(G, A)$ we get the equality $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{e}) = \{\mathbf{e}\}$. The following lemma is directly verified.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h} \in W^\infty(G, A)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{gh}) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{g}) \cdot \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{h}), \quad \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{g}^{-1}) = \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{g})^{-1}.$$

If $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{g})$ then $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{h}) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{g})$.

Let

$$FW^\infty(G, A) = \{\mathbf{g} \in W^\infty(G, A) : |\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{g})| < \infty\}.$$

Lemma 1 implies that this set form a subgroup of $W^\infty(G, A)$.

Definition 1. The group $FW^\infty(G, A)$ is called the finite state wreath power of the permutation group (G, A) .

The group $FW^\infty(G, A)$ is countable while $W^\infty(G, A)$ is not.

Another useful remark is that both permutation groups $(W^\infty(G, A), A^\infty)$ and $(FW^\infty(G, A), A^\infty)$ split into the wreath product of (G, A) and itself, i.e.

$$(W^\infty(G, A), A^\infty) = (G, A) \wr (W^\infty(G, A), A^\infty) \quad (2)$$

and

$$(FW^\infty(G, A), A^\infty) = (G, A) \wr (FW^\infty(G, A), A^\infty). \quad (3)$$

This allows us to present an element $\mathbf{g} = [g_1, g_2(x_1), g_3(x_1, x_2), \dots] \in W^\infty(G, A)$ in the form

$$\mathbf{g} = [g_1; \text{rest}(\mathbf{g}, a), a \in A]. \quad (4)$$

3. Conjugation of periodic elements

It is convenient for us to identify the set A with the set $\{1, \dots, m\}$. We need some additional notation here. Let elements $g \in G$ and $a \in A$ be fixed. Consider the cyclic decomposition of g as a permutation on A . The length of the cycle containing a will be denoted by $l(g, a)$. Then $a^{g^{l(g, a)}} = a$

and $l(g, a)$ is the smallest integer satisfying this equality. The minimal element of this cycle we denote by $s(g, a)$. Note, that $l(g, s(g, a)) = l(g, a)$. The smallest integer $d \geq 0$ such that $a^{g^d} = s(g, a)$ will be denoted by $d(g, a)$. Then $0 \leq d(g, a) \leq l(g, a) - 1$.

We have the following

Lemma 2. *Let u, v and h be elements of G such that $u = h^{-1}vh$. Then for arbitrary $a \in A$ the equality $l(u, a^h) = l(v, a)$ holds.*

Proof. From the definition of $l(v, a)$ we have $a^{v^{l(v,a)}} = a$. Thus

$$(a^h)^{u^{l(v,a)}} = (a^h)^{(h^{-1}vh)^{l(v,a)}} = (a^h)^{h^{-1}v^{l(v,a)}h} = (a^{v^{l(v,a)}})^h = a^h.$$

Hence, $l(u, a^h) \leq l(v, a)$. The inequality $l(u, a^h) \geq l(v, a)$ is proved analogously. \square

The rules of multiplication and taking inverses in wreath products imply that for arbitrary $\mathbf{u} = [u_1, \dots], \mathbf{v} = [v_1, \dots] \in W^\infty(G, A)$ and $a \in A$ one have the equalities:

$$\text{rest}(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}, a) = \text{rest}(\mathbf{u}, a)\text{rest}(\mathbf{v}, a^{u_1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rest}(\mathbf{u}^{-1}, a) = \text{rest}(\mathbf{u}, a^{u_1^{-1}})^{-1}.$$

Then we can prove

Lemma 3. *Let $\mathbf{u} = [u_1, \dots], \mathbf{v} = [v_1, \dots]$ and $\mathbf{h} = [h_1, \dots]$ be elements of the group $W^\infty(G, A)$ such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{h}^{-1}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{h}$. Then for arbitrary $a \in A$ the equality*

$$\text{rest}(\mathbf{u}^{l(u_1, a^{h_1})}, a^{h_1}) = (\text{rest}(\mathbf{h}, a))^{-1}\text{rest}(\mathbf{v}^{l(v_1, a)}, a)\text{rest}(\mathbf{h}, a)$$

holds.

Proof. Note, that $u_1 = h_1^{-1}v_1h_1$. By Lemma 2 we have equalities

$$\mathbf{u}^{l(u_1, a^{h_1})} = \mathbf{u}^{l(v_1, a)} = \mathbf{h}^{-1}\mathbf{v}^{l(v_1, a)}\mathbf{h}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rest}(\mathbf{u}^{l(u_1, a^{h_1})}, a^{h_1}) &= \text{rest}(\mathbf{h}^{-1}\mathbf{v}^{l(v_1, a)}\mathbf{h}, a^{h_1}) \\ &= \text{rest}(\mathbf{h}^{-1}, a^{h_1})\text{rest}(\mathbf{v}^{l(v_1, a)}, a)\text{rest}(\mathbf{h}, a^{v_1^{l(v_1, a)}}) \\ &= (\text{rest}(\mathbf{h}, a))^{-1}\text{rest}(\mathbf{v}^{l(v_1, a)}, a)\text{rest}(\mathbf{h}, a). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Theorem 1. *Arbitrary elements of finite order of the group $FW^\infty(G, A)$, conjugated in the group $W^\infty(G, A)$, are conjugated in the group $FW^\infty(G, A)$ as well.*

Proof. Denote by M the set of all pairs $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in FW^\infty(G, A) \times FW^\infty(G, A)$ such that elements \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} have finite order and are conjugated in the group $W^\infty(G, A)$. For each pair $\theta = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in M$ let us fix an element $\Psi(\theta) \in W^\infty(G, A)$ such that the equality $\mathbf{u} = (\Psi(\theta))^{-1}\mathbf{v}\Psi(\theta)$ holds. The correspondence $\theta \mapsto \Psi(\theta)$ may be regarded as a mapping $\Psi : M \rightarrow W^\infty(G, A)$.

Now we proceed as follows. Using Ψ we construct new mappings

$$\Psi_* : M \rightarrow W^\infty(G, A) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi : M \times A \rightarrow W^\infty(G, A).$$

Then we show that for each pair $\theta = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in M$ the equality

$$\mathbf{u} = (\Psi_*(\theta))^{-1}\mathbf{v}\Psi_*(\theta)$$

holds. Finally, we prove that indeed $\Psi_*(M) \subset FW^\infty(G, A)$. Then the statement of the theorem follows.

For a pair $\theta = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in M$, where $\mathbf{u} = [u_1, u_2(x_1), \dots]$ and $\mathbf{v} = [v_1, v_2(x_1), \dots]$, we will use the notation $\Psi(\theta) = [h_1, h_2(x_1), \dots]$.

Step 1. Let us define mappings Ψ_* and Φ .

It is sufficient to check that recursive equalities

$$\Psi_*(\theta) = [h_1; \text{rest}(v^{d(v_1, a)}, a)\Phi(\theta, a)(\text{rest}(u^{d(v_1, a)}, a^{h_1}))^{-1}, a \in A], \quad (5)$$

$$\Phi(\theta, a) = \Psi_*\left(\text{rest}(u^{l(u_1, a^{h_1})}, (s(v_1, a))^{h_1}), \text{rest}(v^{l(v_1, a)}, s(v_1, a))\right), \quad a \in A \quad (6)$$

correctly define required mappings Ψ_* and Φ . First of all, from (5) we have $[\Psi_*(\theta)]_1 = [\Psi(\theta)]_1$ and hence the term $[\Psi_*(\theta)]_1$ is well-defined. To define other terms we need $\Phi(\theta, a)$, $a \in A$. Then, by Lemma 3, for each $a \in A$ the pair

$$\left(\text{rest}(u^{l(u_1, a^{h_1})}, (s(v_1, a))^{h_1}), \text{rest}(v^{l(v_1, a)}, s(v_1, a))\right)$$

belongs to M . Hence, equality (6) defines the first term of $\Phi(\theta, a)$, $a \in A$. Again looking at (5), we obtain the second term of $\Psi_*(\theta)$ and so on. Inductively, for arbitrary $k \geq 1$, having defined the k th term of $\Psi_*(\theta)$ by (5), we define the k th term of $\Phi(\theta)$ by (6) and this gives us a possibility to define the $(k+1)$ th term of $\Psi_*(\theta)$ by (5).

Note that for every $a \in A$ the equality $\Phi(\theta, a^{v_1}) = \Phi(\theta, a)$ holds.

Step 2. Let us prove the equality $\mathbf{u} = (\Psi_*(\theta))^{-1}\mathbf{v}\Psi_*(\theta)$, where $\theta = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in M$.

We will prove by induction on k the equality

$$[\mathbf{u}]_k = [(\Psi_*(\theta))^{-1}\mathbf{v}\Psi_*(\theta)]_k.$$

Since $[\Psi_*(\theta)]_1 = [\Psi(\theta)]_1$ and

$$[\mathbf{u}]_1 = [(\Psi(\theta))^{-1}\mathbf{v}\Psi(\theta)]_1$$

we obtain the required statement for $k = 1$.

Assume that for the $(k - 1)$ th terms the equality is proved. Proceed with the k th ones. Fix an element $a \in A$. Denote by \mathbf{g} the state of $(\Psi_*(\theta))^{-1}\mathbf{v}\Psi_*(\theta)$ at a^{h_1} . It is sufficient to check the equality $[\text{rest}(\mathbf{u}, a^{h_1})]_k = [\mathbf{g}]_k$. For \mathbf{g} we have the equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \text{rest}((\Psi_*(\theta))^{-1}\mathbf{v}\Psi_*(\theta), a^{h_1}) \\ &= \text{rest}((\Psi_*(\theta))^{-1}, a^{h_1})\text{rest}(\mathbf{v}, a)\text{rest}(\Psi_*(\theta), a^{v_1}) \\ &= (\text{rest}(\Psi_*(\theta), a))^{-1}\text{rest}(\mathbf{v}, a)\text{rest}(\Psi_*(\theta), a^{v_1}) \\ &= \text{rest}(\mathbf{u}^{d(v_1, a)}, a^{h_1})(\Phi(\theta, a))^{-1}(\text{rest}(\mathbf{v}^{d(v_1, a)}, a))^{-1}\text{rest}(\mathbf{v}, a) \\ &\quad \cdot \text{rest}(\mathbf{v}^{d(v_1, a^{v_1})}, a^{v_1})\Phi(\theta, a^{v_1})(\text{rest}(\mathbf{u}^{d(v_1, a^{v_1})}, a^{v_1 h_1}))^{-1} \\ &= \text{rest}(\mathbf{u}^{d(v_1, a)}, a^{h_1})(\Phi(\theta, a))^{-1}(\text{rest}(\mathbf{v}^{d(v_1, a)}, a))^{-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \text{rest}(\mathbf{v}^{d(v_1, a^{v_1})+1}, a)\Phi(\theta, a^{v_1})(\text{rest}(\mathbf{u}^{d(v_1, a^{v_1})}, a^{v_1 h_1}))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

There are two possibilities: $s(v_1, a) = a$ or $s(v_1, a) \neq a$. Consider these cases.

1) Let $s(v_1, a) = a$. Then $d(v_1, a) = 0$ and $d(v_1, a^{v_1}) = l(v_1, a) - 1$. This implies $\text{rest}(\mathbf{u}^{d(v_1, a)}, a^{h_1}) = \mathbf{e}$ and $\text{rest}(\mathbf{v}^{d(v_1, a)}, a) = \mathbf{e}$. Lemma 2 and equality (6) then implies

$$\Phi(\theta, a) = \Psi_*(\text{rest}(\mathbf{u}^{l(v_1, a)}, a^{h_1}), \text{rest}(\mathbf{v}^{l(v_1, a)}, a)).$$

Then, in view of the inductive hypothesis, the equalities follow:

$$\begin{aligned} [g]_k &= [(\Phi(\theta, a))^{-1}\text{rest}(\mathbf{v}^{l(v_1, a)}, a)\Phi(\theta, a^{v_1})(\text{rest}(\mathbf{u}^{l(v_1, a)-1}, a^{v_1 h_1}))^{-1}]_k \\ &= [\text{rest}(\mathbf{u}^{l(v_1, a)}, a^{h_1})(\text{rest}(\mathbf{u}^{l(v_1, a)-1}, a^{h_1 u_1}))^{-1}]_k \\ &= [\text{rest}(\mathbf{u}, a^{h_1})\text{rest}(\mathbf{u}^{l(v_1, a)-1}, a^{h_1 u_1})(\text{rest}(\mathbf{u}^{l(v_1, a)-1}, a^{h_1 u_1}))^{-1}]_k \\ &= [\text{rest}(\mathbf{u}, a^{h_1})]_k. \end{aligned}$$

2) Let $s(v_1, a) \neq a$. Then $d(v_1, a^{v_1}) = d(v_1, a) - 1$. For g now we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 g &= \text{rest}(u^{d(v_1, a)}, a^{h_1})(\Phi(\theta, a))^{-1}(\text{rest}(v^{d(v_1, a)}, a))^{-1} \\
 &\quad \cdot \text{rest}(v^{d(v_1, a^{v_1})+1}, a)\Phi(\theta, a^{v_1})(\text{rest}(u^{d(v_1, a^{v_1})}, a^{v_1 h_1}))^{-1} \\
 &= \text{rest}(u^{d(v_1, a)}, a^{h_1})(\text{rest}(u^{d(v_1, a)-1}, a^{h_1 u_1}))^{-1} \\
 &= \text{rest}(u, a^{h_1})\text{rest}(u^{d(v_1, a)-1}, a^{h_1 u_1})(\text{rest}(u^{d(v_1, a)-1}, a^{h_1 u_1}))^{-1} \\
 &= \text{rest}(u, a^{h_1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

In both cases we obtained the equality $[\text{rest}(u, a^{h_1})]_k = [g]_k$. Hence, our statement is true for the k th terms.

Step 3. Let us check the inclusion $\Psi_*(M) \subset FW^\infty(G, A)$.

Denote by M_k the subset of all pairs $(u, v) \in M$ such that the orders of u and v equal k . These subsets are pairwise disjoint and

$$M = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k.$$

Let us prove by induction on k that $\Psi_*(M_k) \subset FW^\infty(G, A)$.

In case $k = 1$ we have $M_1 = \{(e, e)\}$. Since

$$\text{rest}(e, a) = e, \quad a \in A,$$

equalities (5) and (6) imply

$$\text{rest}(\Psi_*(e, e), a) = \Psi_*(e, e), \quad a \in A.$$

Hence, $g \in FW^\infty(G, A)$.

Suppose that $\Psi_*(M_i) \subset FW^\infty(G, A)$ for all $i < k$. We are going to prove the inclusion $\Psi_*(M_k) \subset FW^\infty(G, A)$. If the set M_k is empty then the statement is true. Let $\theta = (u, v)$ be a pair belonging to the set M_k . We have to show that $|\mathcal{Q}(\Psi_*(\theta))| < \infty$.

For an element $g \in W^\infty(G, A)$ its set of stable states is defined as

$$\mathcal{SQ}(g) = \{\text{rest}(g, \bar{a}) : \text{rest}(g^2, \bar{a}) = (\text{rest}(g, \bar{a}))^2, \bar{a} \in A^n, n \geq 1\} \cup \{g\}.$$

Since $u, v \in FW^\infty(G, A)$ the set

$$Q_1 = \Psi_*\left(\left(\mathcal{SQ}(u) \times \mathcal{SQ}(v)\right) \cap M_k\right)$$

is finite. In particular, $\Psi_*((u, v)) \in Q_1$. We are going to show that $Q_1 \subset FW^\infty(G, A)$.

Fix arbitrary $\mathbf{g} \in Q_1$. Then

$$\mathbf{g} = \Psi_*((\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}))$$

for some $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{SQ}(\mathbf{u})$, $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{SQ}(\mathbf{v})$ such that $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) \in M_k$.

Let $a \in A$. Denote $l([\tilde{v}]_1, a)$ by ℓ . Two possible cases arise.

Case 1: $\ell = 1$. Then $s([\tilde{v}]_1, a) = a$ and $d([\tilde{v}]_1, a) = 0$. By equalities (5) and (6) we now obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rest}(\mathbf{g}, a) &= \text{rest}(\Psi_*((\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})), a) = \Phi((\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}), a) \\ &= \Psi_*\left(\text{rest}(\tilde{u}, a^{[\Psi((\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}))]_1}), \text{rest}(\tilde{v}, a)\right). \end{aligned}$$

The latter element belongs to Q_1 . Hence, in this case

$$\text{rest}(\mathbf{g}, a) \in Q_1.$$

Case 2: $\ell > 1$. By Lemma 2 the equality $l([\tilde{u}]_1, a^{[\Psi((\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}))]_1}) = l([\tilde{v}]_1, a) = \ell$ holds. By (6) we have

$$\Phi((\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}), a) = \Psi_*\left(\text{rest}(\tilde{u}^\ell, (s([\tilde{v}]_1, a))^{[\Psi((\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}))]_1}), \text{rest}(\tilde{v}^\ell, s([\tilde{v}]_1, a))\right). \quad (7)$$

Since cyclic decompositions of both $[\tilde{u}]_1$ and $[\tilde{v}]_1$ contain a cycle of length ℓ , the number ℓ divides the orders of both \tilde{u} and \tilde{v} . It implies that the orders of arguments of Ψ_* in (7) are strictly less than k . Indeed, they are states of the ℓ th powers of elements \tilde{u} and \tilde{v} correspondingly at elements belonging to cycles of length ℓ . Applying the inductive hypothesis we get $\Phi((\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}), a) \in FW^\infty(G, A)$. Now from the definition of Ψ_* we obtain that the state

$$\text{rest}(\mathbf{g}, a) = \text{rest}(\Psi_*((\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})), a)$$

belongs to $FW^\infty(G, A)$ as the product of elements from $FW^\infty(G, A)$.

Thus, the state $\text{rest}(\mathbf{g}, a)$ belongs to the finite set Q_1 or the set $\mathcal{Q}(\text{rest}(\mathbf{g}, a))$ is finite.

Let

$$Q_2 = \{\text{rest}(\mathbf{g}, a) : \mathbf{g} \in Q_1, a \in A\} \cap FW^\infty(G, A)$$

and

$$Q_3 = \bigcup_{\mathbf{h} \in Q_2} \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{h}).$$

Since sets Q_1 and A are finite, the set Q_2 is finite. Being a union of finite number of finite sets, the set Q_3 is finite as well. Then, using the definition of the state we obtain

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{g}) \subset Q_1 \cup Q_3.$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{g} \in FW^\infty(G, A)$. The proof is complete. \square

Observe that rewriting mapping Ψ_* constructed in the proof of theorem 1 may be defined on the set of all pairs of conjugated elements of $W^\infty(G, A)$. Additional conditions on such elements were used only to prove that the image of Ψ_* belongs to the finite state wreath power of (G, A) . It would be interesting to examine this image in general case.

4. Non-conjugated elements of infinite order

Let us show how to construct two elements of the group $FW^\infty(G, A)$ which are conjugated in the group $W^\infty(G, A)$ but are not conjugated in the group $FW^\infty(G, A)$.

Let g be a non-identity element of the group G . If n is the order of the element g and p is a prime divisor of n then the element $g_* = g^{n/p}$ has order p and as a permutation on A is a product of independent cycles of length p . Without loss of generality we assume that g_* has no fixed points. We will identify the set A with the set $\{0, \dots, m-1\}$ in such a way that for some $k \geq 1$ the permutation g_* will be expressed in the form

$$g_* = (0, \dots, p-1)(p, \dots, 2p-1) \cdots ((k-1)p, \dots, kp-1).$$

Let us consider the set $A_p = \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, the cyclic group $G_p = \langle \sigma \rangle$ generated by the permutation $\sigma = (0, \dots, p-1)$ and the mapping $c : G_p \rightarrow G$ that maps an element $h \in G_p$ to the permutation acting on the set $\{0, \dots, kp-1\}$ by the rule $x \mapsto (x \bmod p)^h + [x/p] \cdot p$ and trivially on other elements of the set A . In other words the mapping c duplicates action on the set A_p onto the sets $\{p, \dots, 2p-1\}, \dots, \{(k-1)p, \dots, kp-1\}$.

Using mapping c one can transform any element $u \in W^\infty(G_p, A_p)$ into an element $u^{(k)} \in W^\infty(G, A)$ by the equality

$$[u^{(k)}]_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \begin{cases} c([u]_n(x_1 \bmod p, \dots, x_{n-1} \bmod p)), & 0 \leq x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} < kp, \\ e, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Denote by f the function that for any $u \in W^\infty(G_p, A_p)$ computes $u^{(k)} \in W^\infty(G, A)$. The function f is well-defined.

Lemma 4. *If $u \in FW^\infty(G_p, A_p)$ then $u^{(k)} \in FW^\infty(G, A)$.*

Proof. If $u \in W^\infty(G_p, A_p)$ then the value of $[u]_n$ equals to some power of σ . By definition of the transformation the value of $[u^{(k)}]_n$ equals to the same power of g_* or e depending on the arguments. Thus $u^{(k)} \in W^\infty(G, A)$.

Denote by A_{kp} the set $\{0, \dots, kp - 1\}$ and denote by $\bar{a} \bmod p$ the element

$$(a_1 \bmod p, a_2 \bmod p, \dots, a_n \bmod p) \in A_p^n$$

for $\bar{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$. We are going to prove for $\mathbf{u} \in W^\infty(G_p, A_p)$ that

$$\text{rest}(f(\mathbf{u}), \bar{a}) = \begin{cases} f(\text{rest}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{a} \bmod p)), & \bar{a} \in A_{kp}^n, \quad n \geq 1, \\ \mathbf{e}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

For $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$ and $n \geq 1$ we have

$$[\text{rest}(f(\mathbf{u}), \bar{a})]_m(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) = [f(\mathbf{u})]_{n+m}(a_1, \dots, a_n, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}).$$

If $\bar{a} \notin A_{kp}^n$ then $[\text{rest}(f(\mathbf{u}), \bar{a})]_m(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) = \mathbf{e}$ for all x_1, \dots, x_{m-1} that implies $\text{rest}(f(\mathbf{u}), \bar{a}) = \mathbf{e}$. In case $\bar{a} \in A_{kp}^n$ the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & [\text{rest}(f(\mathbf{u}), \bar{a})]_m(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) = \\ & = \begin{cases} c([\mathbf{u}]_{n+m}(a_1 \bmod p, \dots, x_{m-1} \bmod p)), & 0 \leq x_1, \dots, x_{m-1} < kp, \\ \mathbf{e}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ & = \begin{cases} c([\text{rest}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{a} \bmod p)]_m(x_1 \bmod p, \dots, x_{m-1} \bmod p)), & 0 \leq x_1, \dots, x_{m-1} < kp, \\ \mathbf{e}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ & = [f(\text{rest}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{a} \bmod p))]_m(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) \end{aligned}$$

holds. Thus $\text{rest}(f(\mathbf{u}), \bar{a}) = f(\text{rest}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{a} \bmod p))$.

From equality (8) for $\mathbf{u} \in W^\infty(G_p, A_p)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{u}^{(k)}) &= \mathcal{Q}(f(\mathbf{u})) = \{\text{rest}(f(\mathbf{u}), \bar{a}) : \bar{a} \in A^n, n \geq 1\} \cup \{f(\mathbf{u})\} \subset \\ &\subset \{f(\text{rest}(\mathbf{u}, \bar{a} \bmod p)) : \bar{a} \in A_{kp}^n, n \geq 1\} \cup \{\mathbf{e}\} \cup \{f(\mathbf{u})\} \subset \\ &\subset f(\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{u})) \cup \{\mathbf{e}\} \cup \{f(\mathbf{u})\} = f(\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{u})) \cup \{\mathbf{e}\}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that if $\mathbf{u} \in FW^\infty(G_p, A_p)$ then $\mathbf{u}^{(k)} \in FW^\infty(G, A)$. \square

Suppose that we have two elements $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in FW^\infty(G_p, A_p)$ that satisfy conditions: 1) \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are conjugated in the group $W^\infty(G_p, A_p)$; 2) growth of \mathbf{u} is logarithmic; 3) growth of \mathbf{v} is exponential. Using elements \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} we construct elements $\mathbf{u}^{(k)}$ and $\mathbf{v}^{(k)}$. By lemma 4 these new elements belongs to the group $FW^\infty(G, A)$. Since

$$f(\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{u})) \subset \mathcal{Q}(f(\mathbf{u})) \subset f(\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{u})) \cup \{\mathbf{e}\}.$$

u and $f(u)$ have equivalent growth. If $gu = vg$ then $f(g)f(u) = f(v)f(g)$. Therefore u and $f(u)$ satisfy the following conditions: 1') $u^{(k)}$ and $v^{(k)}$ are conjugated in the group $W^\infty(G, A)$; 2') growth of $u^{(k)}$ is logarithmic; 3') growth of $v^{(k)}$ is exponential. Since the growth of an element is invariant under conjugation in $FW^\infty(G, A)$ (see [2, subsection 4.3]) This implies that elements $u^{(k)}$ and $v^{(k)}$ are non-conjugated in the group $FW^\infty(G, A)$.

Let us consider the following elements of the group $FW^\infty(G_p, A_p)$

$$\begin{aligned} e &= [e; e, \dots, e], & \mathbf{a}_i &= [\sigma^i; \mathbf{a}_0, \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{p-1}], & 0 \leq i < p, \\ s &= [\sigma; e, \dots, e, s], & \mathbf{b}_i &= [\sigma^i; \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \dots, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_{i+1}], & 0 \leq i < p. \end{aligned}$$

To simplify notations we will identify \mathbf{b}_p and \mathbf{b}_0 . Let us show that the elements s and \mathbf{b}_1 satisfy conditions 1)–3).

Lemma 5. *An element $g \in W^\infty(G_p, A_p)$ is level transitive (acts transitively on the sets A_p^k , $k \geq 1$) if and only if $\mathbf{g}_k^* = \prod_{v \in A_p^{k-1}} [g]_k(v) \neq e$ for all $k \geq 1$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of lemma 4.4 in [2] and we use two additional facts that the group G_p is abelian and every non-unity element generates a transitive subgroup. \square

Lemma 6. *Let p be an odd prime number. Then the element \mathbf{b}_1 satisfies equalities $(\mathbf{b}_1)_k^* = \sigma$ for all $k \geq 1$ which implies that \mathbf{b}_1 is level transitive.*

Proof. Equalities $(\mathbf{a}_i)_1^* = (\mathbf{b}_i)_1^* = \sigma^i$ are obvious and the recurrent formulas

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{a}_i)_{k+1}^* &= (\mathbf{a}_0)_k^* (\mathbf{a}_1)_k^* \cdots (\mathbf{a}_{p-1})_k^*, \\ (\mathbf{b}_i)_{k+1}^* &= ((\mathbf{a}_i)_k^*)^{p-1} (\mathbf{b}_{i+1})_k^* \end{aligned}$$

follow from definitions. The first of the recurrent formulas implies

$$(\mathbf{a}_i)_2^* = (\mathbf{a}_0)_1^* (\mathbf{a}_1)_1^* \cdots (\mathbf{a}_{p-1})_1^* = \sigma^{0+1+\dots+(p-1)} = \sigma^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} = e$$

and by induction we get $(\mathbf{a}_i)_k^* = 1$ for all $k \geq 2$. The second of the recurrent formulas implies

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{b}_i)_2^* &= ((\mathbf{a}_i)_1^*)^{p-1} (\mathbf{b}_{i+1})_1^* = \sigma^{-i} \sigma^{i+1} = \sigma, \\ (\mathbf{b}_i)_k^* &= ((\mathbf{a}_i)_{k-1}^*)^{p-1} (\mathbf{b}_{i+1})_{k-1}^* = (\mathbf{b}_{i+1})_{k-1}^* = \sigma, & k \geq 3. & \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7. *The elements s and \mathbf{b}_1 are conjugated in the group $W^\infty(G_p, A_p)$.*

Proof. The adding machine s is level transitive. The element b_1 is level transitive by the lemma 6. Thus the elements s and b_1 are conjugated in the group $W^\infty(S_p, A_p)$.

Suppose that equality $b_1 = g^{-1}sg$ holds for some $g \in W^\infty(S_p, A_p)$. Let us prove that $g \in W^\infty(G_p, A_p)$. The element g for every $k \geq 0$ satisfies equality $b_1^{p^k} = g^{-1}s^{p^k}g$ which implies $[g]_k(\bar{a})(b_1)_k^* = (s)_k^*[g]_k(\bar{a})$ for $\bar{a} \in A_p^k$. From the last equality it follows by lemma 6 that $[g]_k(\bar{a})\sigma = \sigma[g]_k(\bar{a})$ and finally we get $[g]_k(\bar{a}) \in G_p$. \square

Lemma 8. *The element b_1 has exponential growth.*

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of the proposition 4.2 in [2]. \square

References

- [1] P. W. Gawron, V. V. Nekrashevych, V. I. Sushchansky, *Conjugation in tree automorphism groups*, International Journal of Algebra and Computation, **11**, N. **5**, 2001, pp. 529–547.
- [2] R. I. Grigorchuk, V. V. Nekrashevych, V. I. Sushchansky *Automata, Dynamical Systems, and Groups*, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, **231**, 2000, pp.128–203.
- [3] A. V. Russev, *On conjugacy in groups of finite-state automorphisms of rooted trees*, Ukrainian Mathematical Journal, **60**, N. **10**, 2008, pp. 1581–1591.
- [4] I. V. Bondarenko, N. V. Bondarenko, S. N. Sidki, F. R. Zapata, *On the conjugacy problem for finite-state automorphisms of regular rooted trees (with an appendix by Raphaël M. Jungers)*. Groups, Geometry, and Dynamics, **7**, 2013, pp. 323–355.
- [5] A. Oliynyk, *Finite state wreath powers of transformation semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **82**, 2011, pp. 423–436.
- [6] Y. Lavrenyuk, *The group of all finite-state automorphisms of a regular rooted tree has a minimal generating set*, Geometriae Dedicata, V.**183**, Issue **1**, 2016, pp. 59–67.

CONTACT INFORMATION

- A. Oliynyk** Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv,
Volodymyrska 60, Kyiv, Ukraine, 01033
E-Mail(s): olijnyk@univ.kiev.ua
- A. Russeyev** Department of Mathematics, National
University of Kyiv-Mohyla Academy, Skovorody
St. 2, Kyiv, Ukraine, 04070
E-Mail(s): andrey.russev@gmail.com

Received by the editors: 29.01.2019
and in final form 28.02.2019.