

# Free abelian dimonoids

Yurii V. Zhuchok

Communicated by V. I. Sushchansky

**ABSTRACT.** We construct a free abelian dimonoid and describe the least abelian congruence on a free dimonoid. Also we show that free abelian dimonoids are determined by their endomorphism semigroups.

## 1. Introduction

The notion of a dimonoid was introduced by Jean-Louis Loday in [1]. An algebra  $(D, \dashv, \vdash)$  with two binary associative operations  $\dashv$  and  $\vdash$  is called a *dimonoid* if for all  $x, y, z \in D$  the following conditions hold:

$$(D_1) \quad (x \dashv y) \dashv z = x \dashv (y \vdash z),$$

$$(D_2) \quad (x \vdash y) \dashv z = x \vdash (y \dashv z),$$

$$(D_3) \quad (x \dashv y) \vdash z = x \vdash (y \vdash z).$$

If operations of a dimonoid coincide, the dimonoid becomes a semigroup.

Dimonoids and in particular dialgebras have been studied by many authors (see, e.g., [2]–[5]), they play a prominent role in problems from the theory of Leibniz algebras. The first result about dimonoids is the description of a free dimonoid [1]. T. Pirashvili [4] introduced the notion of a duplex which generalizes the notion of a dimonoid and constructed a free duplex. Free dimonoids and free commutative dimonoids were

---

**2010 MSC:** 08B20, 17A30, 08A30, 08A35.

**Key words and phrases:** free abelian dimonoid, abelian dimonoid, congruence, endomorphism semigroup.

investigated in [6] and [7] respectively. Free normal dibands and other relatively free dimonoids were described in [8], [9]. In this paper we study free abelian dimonoids.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give necessary definitions and examples of abelian dimonoids. In Section 3 we construct a free abelian dimonoid and, in particular, consider a free abelian dimonoid of rank 1. In Section 4 we define the least congruence on a free dimonoid such that the corresponding quotient-dimonoid is isomorphic to the free abelian dimonoid. In Section 5 we prove that free abelian dimonoids are determined by their endomorphisms.

## 2. Examples of abelian dimonoids

It is well-known that a non-empty class  $H$  of algebraic systems is a variety if the Cartesian product of any sequence of  $H$ -systems is a  $H$ -system, every subsystem of an arbitrary  $H$ -system is a  $H$ -system and any homomorphic image of an arbitrary  $H$ -system is a  $H$ -system (Birkhoff [10]).

A dimonoid  $(D, \dashv, \vdash)$  we call *abelian* (in the same way as a digroup in [11]) if for all  $x, y \in D$ ,

$$x \dashv y = y \vdash x.$$

The class of all abelian dimonoids satisfies the conditions of Birkhoff's theorem and therefore it is a variety. A dimonoid which is free in the variety of abelian dimonoids will be called a *free abelian dimonoid*.

It should be noted that the class of all abelian dimonoids does not coincide with the class of all commutative dimonoids [7] (both operations of such dimonoids are commutative). For example, a non-singleton left zero and right zero dimonoid [9] is abelian but not commutative.

Let  $Z$  be the set of all integers,  $E = \{\lambda, \mu\}$  be an arbitrary two-element set. Define two binary operations  $\dashv$  and  $\vdash$  on  $Z \times E$  as follows:

$$(m, x) \dashv (n, y) = \begin{cases} (m + n + 1, x), & y = \lambda, \\ (m + n - 1, x), & y = \mu, \end{cases}$$

$$(m, x) \vdash (n, y) = \begin{cases} (m + n + 1, y), & x = \lambda, \\ (m + n - 1, y), & x = \mu. \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 1.** *The algebra  $(Z \times E, \dashv, \vdash)$  is an abelian dimonoid.*

*Proof.* Let  $(m, x), (n, y), (s, z) \in Z \times E$ . If  $y = z = \lambda$  or  $y = z = \mu$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ((m, x) \dashv (n, \lambda)) \dashv (s, \lambda) &= (m + n + s + 2, x) \\ &= (m, x) \dashv ((n, \lambda) \dashv (s, \lambda)) \quad \text{or} \\ ((m, x) \dashv (n, \mu)) \dashv (s, \mu) &= (m + n + s - 2, x) \\ &= (m, x) \dashv ((n, \mu) \dashv (s, \mu)) \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

For  $y = \lambda, z = \mu$  or  $y = \mu, z = \lambda$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((m, x) \dashv (n, y)) \dashv (s, z) &= (m + n + s, x) \\ &= (m, x) \dashv ((n, y) \dashv (s, z)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the operation  $\dashv$  is associative. Analogously we can show that  $\vdash$  is an associative operation too.

Show that the axiom  $(D_1)$  holds. If  $y = z = \lambda$  or  $y = z = \mu$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (m, x) \dashv ((n, \lambda) \vdash (s, \lambda)) &= (m + n + s + 2, x) \\ &= ((m, x) \dashv (n, \lambda)) \dashv (s, \lambda) \quad \text{or} \\ (m, x) \dashv ((n, \mu) \vdash (s, \mu)) &= (m + n + s - 2, x) \\ &= ((m, x) \dashv (n, \mu)) \dashv (s, \mu). \end{aligned}$$

For  $y = \lambda, z = \mu$  or  $y = \mu, z = \lambda$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (m, x) \dashv ((n, y) \vdash (s, z)) &= (m + n + s, x) \\ &= ((m, x) \dashv (n, y)) \dashv (s, z). \end{aligned}$$

The axiom  $(D_3)$  is checked similarly. Now we consider the axiom  $(D_2)$ . Let  $x = z = \lambda$  or  $x = z = \mu$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (m, \lambda) \vdash ((n, y) \dashv (s, \lambda)) &= (m + n + s + 2, y) \\ &= ((m, \lambda) \vdash (n, y)) \dashv (s, \lambda) \quad \text{or} \\ (m, \mu) \vdash ((n, y) \dashv (s, \mu)) &= (m + n + s - 2, y) \\ &= ((m, \mu) \vdash (n, y)) \dashv (s, \mu). \end{aligned}$$

If  $x = \lambda, z = \mu$  or  $x = \mu, z = \lambda$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (m, x) \vdash ((n, y) \dashv (s, z)) &= (m + n + s, y) \\ &= ((m, x) \vdash (n, y)) \dashv (s, z), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the verification of  $(D_2)$ .

The fact that  $(Z \times E, \dashv, \vdash)$  is abelian can be checked immediately.  $\square$

An element  $e$  of an arbitrary dimonoid  $(D, \dashv, \vdash)$  is called a *bar-unit* (see, e.g., [1]) if for all  $g \in D$ ,

$$e \vdash g = g = g \dashv e.$$

In contrast to monoids a dimonoid may have many bar-units. For example, for the dimonoid from Proposition 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-1, \lambda) \vdash (m, x) &= (m, x) = (m, x) \dashv (-1, \lambda), \\ (1, \mu) \vdash (m, x) &= (m, x) = (m, x) \dashv (1, \mu) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $(m, x) \in Z \times E$ . Thus,  $(-1, \lambda)$  and  $(1, \mu)$  are bar-units. Moreover, another bar-units of  $(Z \times E, \dashv, \vdash)$  do not exist.

Let  $G$  be an arbitrary additive abelian group,  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) be non-empty subsets of  $G$  and  $X_\alpha = G$  for some  $\alpha \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . For all  $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  we put  $t^+ = t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_n$ .

Take arbitrary  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  and define two binary operations  $\dashv_\alpha$  and  $\vdash_\alpha$  on  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  by

$$\begin{aligned} x \dashv_\alpha y &= (x_1, \dots, x_\alpha + y^+, \dots, x_n), \\ x \vdash_\alpha y &= (y_1, \dots, y_\alpha + x^+, \dots, y_n). \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.** *For every  $\alpha \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  the algebra  $(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i, \dashv_\alpha, \vdash_\alpha)$  is an abelian dimonoid.*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y, z \in \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (x \dashv_\alpha y) \dashv_\alpha z &= (x_1, \dots, x_\alpha + y^+, \dots, x_n) \dashv_\alpha (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_\alpha + y^+ + z^+, \dots, x_n) \\ &= (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \dashv_\alpha (y_1, \dots, y_\alpha + z^+, \dots, y_n) \\ &= x \dashv_\alpha (y \dashv_\alpha z), \\ (x \vdash_\alpha y) \vdash_\alpha z &= (y_1, \dots, y_\alpha + x^+, \dots, y_n) \vdash_\alpha (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \\ &= (z_1, \dots, z_\alpha + x^+ + y^+, \dots, z_n) \\ &= (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \vdash_\alpha (z_1, \dots, z_\alpha + y^+, \dots, z_n) \\ &= x \vdash_\alpha (y \vdash_\alpha z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, operations  $\dashv_\alpha$  and  $\vdash_\alpha$  are associative.

Show that axioms  $(D_1) - (D_3)$  hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x \dashv_{\alpha} y) \dashv_{\alpha} z &= (x_1, \dots, x_{\alpha} + y^+ + z^+, \dots, x_n) \\
 &= (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \dashv_{\alpha} (z_1, \dots, z_{\alpha} + y^+, \dots, z_n) \\
 &= x \dashv_{\alpha} (y \vdash_{\alpha} z), \\
 (x \vdash_{\alpha} y) \dashv_{\alpha} z &= (y_1, \dots, y_{\alpha} + x^+, \dots, y_n) \dashv_{\alpha} (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \\
 &= (y_1, \dots, y_{\alpha} + z^+ + x^+, \dots, y_n) \\
 &= (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \vdash_{\alpha} (y_1, \dots, y_{\alpha} + z^+, \dots, y_n) \\
 &= x \vdash_{\alpha} (y \dashv_{\alpha} z), \\
 (x \dashv_{\alpha} y) \vdash_{\alpha} z &= (x_1, \dots, x_{\alpha} + y^+, \dots, x_n) \vdash_{\alpha} (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \\
 &= (z_1, \dots, z_{\alpha} + x^+ + y^+, \dots, z_n) \\
 &= x \vdash_{\alpha} (y \vdash_{\alpha} z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i, \dashv_{\alpha}, \vdash_{\alpha})$  is a dimonoid. Moreover,

$$x \dashv_{\alpha} y = (x_1, \dots, x_{\alpha} + y^+, \dots, x_n) = y \vdash_{\alpha} x$$

for all  $x, y \in \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ . □

Let  $(S, \circ)$  be an arbitrary semigroup. A semigroup  $(S, *)$ , where  $x * y = y \circ x$  for all  $x, y \in S$ , is called a *dual semigroup* to  $(S, \circ)$ .

A semigroup  $(S, \circ)$  is called *left commutative* (respectively, *right commutative*) if it satisfies the identity  $x \circ y \circ a = y \circ x \circ a$  (respectively,  $a \circ x \circ y = a \circ y \circ x$ ).

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $(S, \circ)$  be an arbitrary right commutative semigroup and  $(S, *)$  be a dual semigroup to  $(S, \circ)$ . Then the algebra  $(S, \circ, *)$  is an abelian dimonoid.*

*Proof.* The proof follows from Lemma 3 of [9]. □

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $(S, *)$  be an arbitrary left commutative semigroup and  $(S, \circ)$  be a dual semigroup to  $(S, *)$ . Then the algebra  $(S, \circ, *)$  is an abelian dimonoid.*

*Proof.* The proof follows from Lemma 4 of [9]. □

An important example of abelian dimonoids is the class of abelian digroups (see [11]). The idea of the notion of a digroup first appeared in the work of Jean-Louis Loday [1].

### 3. The free abelian dimonoid

Let  $X$  be an arbitrary set and  $N$  be the set of all natural numbers. Denote by  $FCm(X)$  the free commutative monoid on  $X$  with the identity  $\varepsilon$ . Words of  $FCm(X)$  we write as  $w = w_1^{\alpha_1} w_2^{\alpha_2} \dots w_n^{\alpha_n}$ , where  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n \in X$  are pairwise distinct, and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in N \cup \{0\}$ . Here  $w_i^0, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , is the empty word  $\varepsilon$  and  $w^1 = w$  for all  $w \in X$ .

We put

$$FAd(X) = X \times FCm(X)$$

and define two binary operations  $\dashv$  and  $\vdash$  on  $FAd(X)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, u) \dashv (y, v) &= (x, uyv), \\ (x, u) \vdash (y, v) &= (y, xuv). \end{aligned}$$

Note that for every element  $t$  of an arbitrary abelian dimonoid  $(D, \prec, \succ)$  the degrees

$$t_{\prec}^n = \underbrace{t \prec t \prec \dots \prec t}_n, \quad t_{\succ}^n = \underbrace{t \succ t \succ \dots \succ t}_n$$

coincide. Therefore, we will write  $t^n$  instead of  $t_{\prec}^n$  ( $= t_{\succ}^n$ ).

**Theorem 1.** *The algebra  $(FAd(X), \dashv, \vdash)$  is the free abelian dimonoid.*

*Proof.* Let  $(x, u), (y, v), (z, w) \in FAd(X)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} ((x, u) \dashv (y, v)) \dashv (z, w) &= (x, uyv) \dashv (z, w) \\ &= (x, uyvzw) = (x, u) \dashv ((y, v) \dashv (z, w)), \\ ((x, u) \vdash (y, v)) \vdash (z, w) &= (y, xuv) \vdash (z, w) \\ &= (z, yxuvw) = (x, u) \vdash ((y, v) \vdash (z, w)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, operations  $\dashv$  and  $\vdash$  are associative. In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} ((x, u) \dashv (y, v)) \dashv (z, w) &= (x, uyvzw) \\ &= (x, u) \dashv (z, yvw) = (x, u) \dashv ((y, v) \vdash (z, w)), \\ ((x, u) \vdash (y, v)) \dashv (z, w) &= (y, xuvzw) \\ &= (x, u) \vdash (y, vzw) = (x, u) \vdash ((y, v) \dashv (z, w)), \\ ((x, u) \dashv (y, v)) \vdash (z, w) &= (x, uyv) \vdash (z, w) \\ &= (z, yxuvw) = (x, u) \vdash ((y, v) \vdash (z, w)). \end{aligned}$$

So,  $(FAd(X), \dashv, \vdash)$  is a dimonoid and, obviously, it is abelian.

For all  $(t, w) \in FAd(X)$ , where  $w = w_1^{\alpha_1} w_2^{\alpha_2} \dots w_n^{\alpha_n}$ , we obtain the following representation:

$$(t, w) = (t, \varepsilon) \dashv (w_1, \varepsilon)^{\alpha_1} \dashv \dots \dashv (w_n, \varepsilon)^{\alpha_n}.$$

This representation we call a canonical form of elements of the dimonoid  $(FAd(X), \dashv, \vdash)$ . It is clear that such representation is unique up to an order of  $(w_i, \varepsilon)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Moreover,  $\langle X \times \varepsilon \rangle = (FAd(X), \dashv, \vdash)$ .

Show that the dimonoid  $(FAd(X), \dashv, \vdash)$  is free abelian. Let  $(D', \dashv', \vdash')$  be an arbitrary abelian dimonoid,  $\xi$  be any mapping of  $X \times \varepsilon$  into  $D'$ . Further, we naturally extend  $\xi$  to a mapping  $\Xi$  of  $FAd(X)$  into  $D'$  using the canonical representation of elements of  $(FAd(X), \dashv, \vdash)$ , that is,

$$(t, w)\Xi = (t, \varepsilon)\xi \dashv' ((w_1, \varepsilon)\xi)^{\alpha_1} \dashv' \dots \dashv' ((w_n, \varepsilon)\xi)^{\alpha_n}$$

for any  $(t, w) \in FAd(X)$ , where  $w = w_1^{\alpha_1} w_2^{\alpha_2} \dots w_n^{\alpha_n}$ .

It is easy to see that  $\Xi$  is a homomorphism of  $(FAd(X), \dashv)$  into  $(D', \dashv')$ . Using that  $(D', \dashv', \vdash')$  is an abelian dimonoid too, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ((t, u) \vdash (s, v))\Xi &= ((s, v) \dashv (t, u))\Xi \\ &= (s, v)\Xi \dashv' (t, u)\Xi = (t, u)\Xi \vdash' (s, v)\Xi \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(t, u), (s, v) \in FAd(X)$ . □

Observe that the cardinality of a set  $X$  is the *rank* of the constructed free abelian dimonoid  $(FAd(X), \dashv, \vdash)$  and this dimonoid is uniquely determined up to an isomorphism by  $|X|$ .

Now we consider the structure of a free abelian dimonoid of rank 1.

**Lemma 1.** *Operations of the free abelian dimonoid  $(FAd(X), \dashv, \vdash)$  coincide if and only if  $|X| = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that operations of  $(FAd(X), \dashv, \vdash)$  coincide and  $x, y \in X$  are distinct. Then for all  $u, v \in FCm(X)$ ,

$$(x, u) \dashv (y, v) = (x, uyv) \neq (y, xuv) = (x, u) \vdash (y, v),$$

which contradicts the fact that  $\dashv = \vdash$ .

Let  $X = \{x\}$ , then for all  $(x, u), (x, v) \in FAd(X)$  we have

$$(x, u) \dashv (x, v) = (x, uxv) = (x, u) \vdash (x, v). \quad \square$$

Let  $(S, \circ)$  be an arbitrary semigroup and  $a \in S$ . Define on  $S$  a new binary operation  $\circ_a$  by

$$x \circ_a y = x \circ a \circ y$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ .

Clearly,  $(S, \circ_a)$  is a semigroup, it is called a *variant* of  $(S, \circ)$ .

**Proposition 5.** *The free abelian dimonoid  $(FAd(X), \dashv, \vdash)$  of rank 1 is isomorphic to the variant  $(N^0, +_1)$  of the additive semigroup of all non-negative integers.*

*Proof.* Let  $X = \{x\}$ , then  $FAd(X) = \{(x, x^n) | n \in N^0\}$ . By Lemma 1, for  $(FAd(X), \dashv, \vdash)$  we have  $\dashv = \vdash$ . Define a mapping  $\varphi$  of  $(FAd(X), \dashv, \vdash)$  into  $(N^0, +_1)$  by

$$\varphi : (x, x^n) \mapsto n$$

for any  $(x, x^n) \in FAd(X)$ .

It is clear that  $\varphi$  is a bijection. In addition, for all  $(x, x^n), (x, x^m) \in FAd(X)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ((x, x^n) \dashv (x, x^m))\varphi &= (x, x^{n+m+1})\varphi = n + m + 1 \\ &= n +_1 m = (x, x^n)\varphi +_1 (x, x^m)\varphi. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

#### 4. The least abelian congruence

Let  $(D, \dashv, \vdash)$  be an arbitrary dimonoid,  $\rho$  be an equivalence relation on  $D$  which is stable on the left and on the right with respect to each of operations  $\dashv, \vdash$ . In this case  $\rho$  is called a *congruence* on  $(D, \dashv, \vdash)$ .

If  $f : D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  is a homomorphism of dimonoids, then the corresponding congruence on  $D_1$  will be denoted by  $\Delta_f$ . For a congruence  $\rho$  on a dimonoid  $(D, \dashv, \vdash)$  the corresponding quotient-dimonoid is denoted by  $(D, \dashv, \vdash)/\rho$ . A congruence  $\rho$  on a dimonoid  $(D, \dashv, \vdash)$  is called *abelian* if  $(D, \dashv, \vdash)/\rho$  is an abelian dimonoid.

As usual  $N$  denotes the set of all positive integers, and let  $n \in N$ . For an arbitrary set  $X$  by  $\tilde{X}$  we denote the copy of  $X$ , that is,  $\tilde{X} = \{\tilde{x} \mid x \in X\}$  and put

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n^{(1)} &= \underbrace{\tilde{X} \times X \times \dots \times X}_n, & Y_n^{(2)} &= \underbrace{X \times \tilde{X} \times X \times \dots \times X}_n, \\ Y_n^{(3)} &= \underbrace{X \times X \times \tilde{X} \times \dots \times X}_n, & \dots, & & Y_n^{(n)} &= \underbrace{X \times X \times \dots \times \tilde{X}}_n. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the union of  $n$  different copies  $Y_n^{(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , of  $X^n$  by  $Y_n$  and assume  $Fd(X) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} Y_n$ . Define operations  $\prec$  and  $\succ$  on  $Fd(X)$  as follows:

$$(x_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_i, \dots, x_m) \prec (y_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_j, \dots, y_n) = (x_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_i, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n),$$

$$(x_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_i, \dots, x_m) \succ (y_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_j, \dots, y_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_j, \dots, y_n)$$

for all  $(x_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_i, \dots, x_m), (y_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_j, \dots, y_n) \in Fd(X)$ .

According to [1],  $(Fd(X), \prec, \succ)$  is the *free dimonoid* on  $X$ . Elements of  $Fd(X)$  are called *words*,  $X$  is the *generating set* of  $(Fd(X), \prec, \succ)$ .

Let  $(Fd(X), \prec, \succ)$  be the free dimonoid on  $X$  and  $w \in Fd(X)$ . The canonical form of  $w = (w_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_l, \dots, w_k)$  is its representation in the shape:

$$w = \tilde{w}_1 \succ \dots \succ \tilde{w}_l \prec \dots \prec \tilde{w}_k.$$

We call  $k$  as the *length* of  $w$  and denote it by  $l(w)$ . For any  $x \in X$  by  $q_x^{\sim}(w)$  we denote the quantity of all elements  $\tilde{x} \in X$  that are included in the canonical form  $\tilde{w}_1 \succ \dots \succ \tilde{w}_l \prec \dots \prec \tilde{w}_k$  of  $w$ .

Define a binary relation  $\sigma$  on  $Fd(X)$  as follows:  $u = (u_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_i, \dots, u_n)$  and  $v = (v_1, \dots, \tilde{v}_j, \dots, v_m)$  of  $Fd(X)$  are  $\sigma$ -equivalent if for all  $x \in X$ ,

$$q_x^{\sim}(u) = q_x^{\sim}(v) \text{ and } u_i = v_j.$$

We note that  $q_x^{\sim}(u) = q_x^{\sim}(v)$  for all  $x \in X$  implies  $l(u) = l(v)$ .

For example, for  $u = (a, \tilde{b}, a, c)$ ,  $v = (a, \tilde{a})$  and  $w = (c, a, a, \tilde{b})$  we have  $q_{\tilde{a}}(p) = 2$  for all  $p \in \{u, v, w\}$ ,  $l(v) = 2$  and  $(u, w) \in \sigma$ .

**Theorem 2.** *The binary relation  $\sigma$  is the least abelian congruence on the free dimonoid  $(Fd(X), \prec, \succ)$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $\sigma$  is an equivalence relation. Assume that  $u = (u_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_i, \dots, u_n), v = (v_1, \dots, \tilde{v}_j, \dots, v_m) \in Fd(X)$  such that  $u\sigma v$  and  $w = (w_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_k, \dots, w_l) \in Fd(X)$ . Then

$$u \prec w = (u_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_i, \dots, u_n, w_1, \dots, w_l),$$

$$v \prec w = (v_1, \dots, \tilde{v}_j, \dots, v_m, w_1, \dots, w_l),$$

$$u \succ w = (u_1, \dots, u_n, w_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_k, \dots, w_l),$$

$$v \succ w = (v_1, \dots, v_m, w_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_k, \dots, w_l).$$

Since  $u_i = v_j$  and

$$q_x^{\sim}(u \prec w) = q_x^{\sim}(v \prec w), \quad q_x^{\sim}(u \succ w) = q_x^{\sim}(v \succ w)$$

for any  $x \in X$ , we have  $(u \prec w)\sigma(v \prec w)$  and  $(u \succ w)\sigma(v \succ w)$ . Analogously we can show that  $(w \prec u)\sigma(w \prec v)$  and  $(w \succ u)\sigma(w \succ v)$ . Thus,  $\sigma$  is a congruence.

In addition, we note that  $(u \prec v)\sigma(v \succ u)$  for all  $u, v \in Fd(X)$ , therefore  $(Fd(X), \prec, \succ)/\sigma$  is abelian. A class of  $(Fd(X), \prec, \succ)/\sigma$  which contains  $w$  we denote by  $[w]$ .

Further, we show that the quotient-dimonoid  $(Fd(X), \prec, \succ)/\sigma$  is isomorphic to the free abelian dimonoid  $(FAd(X), \dashv, \vdash)$  (see Theorem 1).

Define a mapping  $\varphi$  of  $(Fd(X), \prec, \succ)/\sigma$  into  $(FAd(X), \dashv, \vdash)$  by

$$[w]\varphi = (w_k, w_1 \dots w_{k-1} w_{k+1} \dots w_l)$$

for all words  $w = (w_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_k, \dots, w_l) \in Fd(X)$  with  $l(w) \geq 2$ , and  $[w]\varphi = (w_1, \varepsilon)$  for any  $w = \tilde{w}_1 \in Fd(X)$ . It is clear that  $\varphi$  is a bijection.

For all  $[u], [v] \in (Fd(X), \prec, \succ)/\sigma$ , where  $u = (u_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_i, \dots, u_n)$ ,  $v = (v_1, \dots, \tilde{v}_j, \dots, v_m)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} ([u] \prec [v])\varphi &= [(u_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_i, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_m)]\varphi \\ &= (u_i, u_1 \dots u_{i-1} u_{i+1} \dots u_n v_1 \dots v_m) \\ &= (u_i, u_1 \dots u_{i-1} u_{i+1} \dots u_n) \dashv (v_j, v_1 \dots v_{j-1} v_{j+1} \dots v_m) \\ &= [u]\varphi \dashv [v]\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Since dimonoids  $(Fd(X), \prec, \succ)/\sigma$  and  $(FAd(X), \dashv, \vdash)$  are abelian,

$$([u] \succ [v])\varphi = ([v] \prec [u])\varphi = [v]\varphi \dashv [u]\varphi = [u]\varphi \vdash [v]\varphi$$

for all  $[u], [v] \in (Fd(X), \prec, \succ)/\sigma$ .

Thus,  $(Fd(X), \prec, \succ)/\sigma$  is free abelian and the composition  $\eta^{\sharp} \circ \varphi$ , where  $\eta^{\sharp} : (Fd(X), \prec, \succ) \rightarrow (Fd(X), \prec, \succ)/\sigma$  is the natural homomorphism, is an epimorphism of  $(Fd(X), \prec, \succ)$  on  $(FAd(X), \dashv, \vdash)$  inducing the least abelian congruence on  $Fd(X)$ . From the definition of  $\eta^{\sharp} \circ \varphi$  it follows that  $\Delta_{\eta^{\sharp} \circ \varphi} = \sigma$ .  $\square$

## 5. Determinability

One of the venerable algebraic problems the first instance of which was considered by E. Galois (see [12]) is the determinability of an algebraic structure by its endomorphism semigroup. The determinability problem for free algebras in a certain variety was raised by B. Plotkin [13]. For free groups this problem was solved by E. Formanek [14]. An analogous problem for free semigroups and free monoids was decided in [15].

Some characteristics for the endomorphism monoid of a free dimonoid of rank 1 were obtained in [16]. Determinability of free trioids by their endomorphism semigroups was proved in [17].

Recall that an algebra  $A$  of some class  $\Omega$  is determined by its endomorphism semigroup in the class  $\Omega$  if for any algebra  $B \in \Omega$  the condition  $End(A) \cong End(B)$  implies  $A \cong B$ . Note that the converse implication is obvious.

Let  $\mathfrak{F}_X = (FAd(X), \dashv, \vdash)$  be the free abelian dimonoid on  $X$  and  $(t, u) \in FAd(X)$ ,  $u = u_1^{\alpha_1} u_2^{\alpha_2} \dots u_n^{\alpha_n}$ . From Theorem 1 it follows that an arbitrary endomorphism  $\Xi \in End(\mathfrak{F}_X)$  has form:

$$(t, u)\Xi = (t, \varepsilon)\xi \dashv ((u_1, \varepsilon)\xi)^{\alpha_1} \dashv \dots \dashv ((u_n, \varepsilon)\xi)^{\alpha_n},$$

where  $\xi : X \times \varepsilon \rightarrow FAd(X)$  is any mapping.

An endomorphism  $\theta_{(t,u)} \in End(\mathfrak{F}_X)$  we call constant if  $(x, \varepsilon)\theta_{(t,u)} = (t, u)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Lemma 2.**

- (i) An endomorphism  $f$  of the free abelian dimonoid  $\mathfrak{F}_X$  is constant if and only if  $\psi f = f$  for all  $\psi \in Aut(\mathfrak{F}_X)$ .
- (ii) An endomorphism  $f$  of the free abelian dimonoid  $\mathfrak{F}_X$  is constant idempotent if and only if  $f = \theta_{(x,\varepsilon)}$  for some  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that an endomorphism  $f \in End(\mathfrak{F}_X)$  is constant and  $\psi \in Aut(\mathfrak{F}_X)$ . Then  $f = \theta_{(t,u)}$  for some  $(t, u) \in FAd(X)$ , in addition,

$$(x, \varepsilon)(\psi\theta_{(t,u)}) = ((x, \varepsilon)\psi)\theta_{(t,u)} = (t, u) = (x, \varepsilon)\theta_{(t,u)}$$

for any  $x \in X$ . Thus,  $\psi\theta_{(t,u)} = \theta_{(t,u)}$ .

Conversely, let  $\psi f = f$  for all  $\psi \in Aut(\mathfrak{F}_X)$  and some  $f \in End(\mathfrak{F}_X)$ . For fixed  $x \in X$  we obtain

$$(x, \varepsilon)f = (x, \varepsilon)(\psi f) = ((x, \varepsilon)\psi)f = (y, \varepsilon)f,$$

where  $(y, \varepsilon) = (x, \varepsilon)\psi$ . Since  $\{(x, \varepsilon)\psi \mid \psi \in Aut(\mathfrak{F}_X)\} = X \times \varepsilon$ , we have  $(a, \varepsilon)f = (b, \varepsilon)f$  for all  $a, b \in X$ . From here  $f = \theta_{(t,u)}$  for  $(t, u) = (x, \varepsilon)f$ .

(ii) Let  $f \in End(\mathfrak{F}_X)$  be a constant idempotent endomorphism. Then  $f = \theta_{(x,u)}$ ,  $(x, u) \in FAd(X)$ , and  $\theta_{(x,u)}^2 = \theta_{(x,u)}$ . Since  $\theta_{(x,u)}\theta_{(x,u)} = \theta_{(x,u)}^2$ , we have

$$\theta_{(x,u)} = \theta_{(x,u)}\theta_{(x,u)} = \theta_{(x,u)}\theta_{(x,u)} = \theta_{(x,u^{l(u)+1}x^{l(u)})}.$$

It means that  $(x, u) = (x, u^{l(u)+1}x^{l(u)})$ , whence  $l(u) = 0$ , i.e.,  $u = \varepsilon$ .

Clearly,  $\theta_{(x,\varepsilon)}^2 = \theta_{(x,\varepsilon)}$  for all  $x \in X$ . □

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\mathfrak{F}_X = (FAd(X), \dashv, \vdash)$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_Y = (FAd(Y), \dashv, \vdash)$  be free abelian dimonoids such that  $End(\mathfrak{F}_X) \cong End(\mathfrak{F}_Y)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}_X$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_Y$  are isomorphic.

*Proof.* Let  $\Psi$  be an arbitrary isomorphism of  $End(\mathfrak{F}_X)$  into  $End(\mathfrak{F}_Y)$ . In according to the statements of Lemma 2 for some constant idempotent endomorphism  $\theta_{(x,\varepsilon)}, x \in X$ , of the free abelian dimonoid  $\mathfrak{F}_X$  and for all  $\alpha \in Aut(\mathfrak{F}_X)$ , we have  $\alpha\theta_{(x,\varepsilon)} = \theta_{(x,\varepsilon)}$ . Taking into account that  $\Psi$  is a homomorphism, we obtain

$$\theta_{(x,\varepsilon)}\Psi = \left(\alpha\theta_{(x,\varepsilon)}\right)\Psi = \alpha\Psi\theta_{(x,\varepsilon)}\Psi.$$

Since  $Aut(\mathfrak{F}_X)\Psi = Aut(\mathfrak{F}_Y)$ , by the statement (i) of Lemma 2 we have  $\theta_{(x,\varepsilon)}\Psi$  is a constant endomorphism of  $\mathfrak{F}_Y$ . Then  $\theta_{(x,\varepsilon)}\Psi = \theta_{(y,v)}$  for some  $(y, v) \in FAd(Y)$ , in addition,  $\theta_{(y,v)}$  is an idempotent of  $End(\mathfrak{F}_Y)$ . By the statement (ii) of Lemma 2,  $v = \varepsilon'$ , where  $\varepsilon'$  is the empty word of  $FCm(Y)$  (see Section 3).

Define a map  $\xi : X \rightarrow Y$  putting  $x\xi = y$  if and only if  $\theta_{(x,\varepsilon)}\Psi = \theta_{(y,\varepsilon')}$ . It is clear that  $\xi$  is a bijection. Thus, abelian dimonoids  $\mathfrak{F}_X$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_Y$  are isomorphic.  $\square$

Using similar arguments, the fact that the free dimonoid also is uniquely determined up to an isomorphism by its endomorphism semi-group can be proved.

## References

- [1] Loday J.-L., Dialgebras, in: Dialgebras and related operads, *Lect. Notes Math.* **1763**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001, 7–66.
- [2] Kinyon M.K., Leibniz algebras, Lie racks, and digroups, *J. Lie Theory* **17** (2007), no. 1, 99–114.
- [3] Liu K., The Generalizations of groups, *Research Monographs in Math.* **1**, 153 Publishing: Burnaby, 2004.
- [4] Pirashvili T., Sets with two associative operations, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **2** (2003), 169–183.
- [5] Zhuchok Yu.V., Representations of ordered dimonoids by binary relations, *Asian-European J. Math.* **07** (2014), 1450006-1–1450006-13.
- [6] Zhuchok A.V., Free dimonoids, *Ukr. Math. J.* **63** (2011), no. 2, 196–208.
- [7] Zhuchok A.V., Free commutative dimonoids, *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics* **9** (2010), no. 1, 109–119.
- [8] Zhuchok A.V., Free normal dibands, *Algebra and Discrete Math.* **12** (2011), no. 2, 112–127.

#### CONTACT INFORMATION

**Yurii V. Zhuchok** Kyiv National Taras Shevchenko University,  
Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, 64,  
Volodymyrska Street, Kyiv, Ukraine, 01601  
*E-Mail(s)*: zhuchok\_y@mail.ru

Received by the editors: 20.04.2015  
and in final form 29.11.2015.