

An additive divisor problem in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

O. V. Savasrtu and P. D. Varbanets

Communicated by V. V. Kirichenko

ABSTRACT. Let $\tau(\alpha)$ be the number of divisors of the Gaussian integer α . An asymptotic formula for the summatory function $\sum_{N(\alpha) \leq x} \tau(\alpha)\tau(\alpha + \beta)$ is obtained under the condition $N(\beta) \leq x^{3/8}$. This is a generalization of the well-known additive divisor problem for the natural numbers.

1. Introduction

In 1927 A.E. Ingham [1] obtained the asymptotic formula for the number of solutions $I(x)$ the diophantic equation

$$u_1 u_2 - v_1 v_2 = 1$$

under conditions: $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $u_1 u_2 \leq x$.

Obviously

$$I(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \tau(n)\tau(n+1),$$

where $\tau(n) = \sum_{n=ab} 1$ denote the number of ways n may be written as a product of two natural numbers.

Ingham proved that

$$I(x) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} x \log^2 x + O(x \log x).$$

T. Estermann [2] improved this result in form

$$I(x) = xP_2(\log x) + E(x),$$

2001 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11N37; 11R42.

Key words and phrases: additive divisor problem; asymptotic formula.

where $P_2(u)$ is a polynom $a_0u^2 + a_1u + a_2$ and $E(x)$ is an error term.

Estermann gave $E(x) \ll x^{\theta+\varepsilon}$, $\theta = \frac{11}{12}$. The exponent θ was subsequently improved to $\frac{5}{6}$ by D.R. Heath-Brown [3] and then to $\frac{2}{3}$ by J.-M. Deshouillers and H. Iwaniec [4]. In 1994 Y. Motohashi [5] employed powerful methods from the spectral theory of automorphic forms and obtained very precise result:

$$I(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \tau(n)\tau(n+h) = x \sum_{i=0}^2 (\log x)^i \sum_{j=0}^2 c_{ij} \sum_{d|h} \frac{(\log d)^j}{d} + O\left(x^{\frac{2}{3}+\varepsilon}\right)$$

holds uniformly for $1 \leq h \leq x^{20/27}$.

The purpose of this paper is to build the asymptotic formula for sum

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \\ 0 < N(\alpha) \leq x}} \tau(\alpha)\tau(\alpha + \beta)$$

where $\tau(\alpha) = \sum_{\delta|\alpha} 1$ is a number of divisors of a Gaussian integer α .

Notations. Denote by \mathbb{Z} the ring of Gaussian integers. We write $N(\alpha) = a^2 + b^2$, $Sp(\alpha) = 2a$ for $\alpha = a + bi \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$; $\varphi(\alpha) = N(\alpha) \prod_{p|\alpha} (1 - p^{-1})$

$N(p)^{-1}$, p is prime divisor α ; $e(x) = \exp(2\pi ix)$ for the real number x ; the Vinogradov symbol $f \ll g$ means $f = O(g)$; ε is an arbitrary small positive number that is not necessarily the same at each occurrence; the constants implied by the O (or \ll) - notation depend at most on ε .

2. Statement of Result

Let β be Gaussian integer and x be real positive number. By $I(x, \beta)$ we denote the number of solutions in Gaussian integers of the equation

$$\alpha_1\alpha_2 - \alpha_3\alpha_4 = \beta, \quad N(\alpha_1\alpha_1) \leq x.$$

Theorem. For $N(\beta) \ll x^{3/8}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ the following formula

$$I(x, \beta) = xP_2(\log x) + O(x^{\frac{7}{8}+\varepsilon})$$

holds.

Here $P_2(u) = A_0u^2 + A_1u + A_2$, $A_i = A_i(\beta)$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, moreover $A_i(\beta)$ are computable and $1 \ll A_i(\beta) \ll \tau(\beta)$, $A_0(\beta) > 0$.

3. Auxiliary Results

Let δ_0, δ be the Gaussian rationals ($\delta_0, \delta \in \mathbb{Q}(i)$) not necessarily integers. Let for $Re(s) > 1$

$$\zeta(s, \delta, \delta_0) = \sum_{\substack{\omega \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \\ \omega \neq -\delta}} e\left(\frac{1}{2}Sp(\delta_0\omega)\right) N(\delta + \omega)^{-s}.$$

Lemma 1 (see [5], lemmas 1 and 3). *The function $\zeta(s, \delta, \delta_0)$ is entire function if $\delta_0 \notin \mathbb{Z}[i]$. For $\delta_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, $\zeta(s, \delta, \delta_0)$ is holomorphic except at $s = 1$, where it has a simple pole and*

$$\zeta(s, \delta, 0) = \frac{\pi}{s-1} + a_0(\delta) + a_1(\delta)(s-1) + \dots$$

where

$$a_0(\delta) = \begin{cases} \pi E + 4L'(1, \chi_4) & \text{if } \delta \in \mathbb{Z}[i], \\ \pi E + 4L'(1, \chi_4) + \sum_{\beta \in B} N(\delta + \beta) + b_0(\delta) & \text{if } 0 < N(\delta) < 1; \end{cases}$$

E is the Euler constant, $L'(s, \chi_4) = \frac{d}{ds}L(s, \chi_4)$, $L(s, \chi_4)$ is L -Dirichlet function with non-principal character mod 4; $b_0(\delta) = -4 + O(N^{1/2}(\delta))$, B denotes the set $\{0, \pm 1, \pm i\}$. Moreover, the functional equation

$$\pi^{-s}\Gamma(s)\zeta(s, \delta, \delta_0) = \pi^{-(1-s)}\Gamma(1-s)\zeta(1-s, -\delta_0, \delta)e\left(-\frac{1}{2}Sp(\delta_0\delta)\right) \quad (1)$$

holds.

Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$. We define the Kloosterman sum for the ring of Gaussian integer

$$K(\alpha, \beta; \gamma) = \sum_{\substack{\xi, \xi' \pmod{\gamma} \\ \xi \cdot \xi' \equiv 1 \pmod{\gamma}}} e\left(\frac{1}{2}Sp\left(\frac{\alpha\xi + \beta\xi'}{\gamma}\right)\right).$$

Lemma 2. *Let α, β, γ be Gaussian integers, $\gamma \neq 0$. Then the estimate*

$$|K(\alpha, \beta; \gamma)| \ll (N(\gamma)N((\alpha, \beta; \gamma)))^{1/2}\tau(\gamma) \quad (2)$$

holds, (where $(\alpha, \beta; \gamma)$ is the greatest common divisor of α, β, γ). Moreover,

$$K(\alpha, \beta; \gamma) = \sum_{\delta | (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} N(\delta)K\left(1, \frac{\alpha\beta}{\delta^2}; \frac{\gamma}{\delta}\right). \quad (3)$$

This lemma follow from a multiplicative property of $K(\alpha, \beta; \gamma)$ on γ and the Bombieri estimate of an exponential sum on the algebraic curve over the finite field. The formula (3) is a generalized Kuznetsov's identity for Kloosterman sums.

Lemma 3. *Let $\alpha_0, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, $(\alpha_0, \gamma) = \beta$, $N(\beta) < N(\gamma)$. Then for $N(\gamma) \ll x^{2/3+\varepsilon}$ we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha \equiv \alpha_0(\gamma) \\ N(\alpha) \leq x}} \tau(\alpha) = c_0(\alpha_0, \gamma) \frac{x}{N(\gamma)} \log \frac{x}{N(\beta)} + c_1(\alpha_0, \gamma) \frac{x}{N(\gamma)} + O\left(x^{1/2+\varepsilon} N(\gamma)^{-1/4}\right),$$

where $c_0(\alpha_0, \gamma) = \pi^2 N(\beta) \varphi\left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta}\right) N^{-1}(\gamma) \tau(\beta)$,

$$c_1(\alpha_0, \gamma) = \pi^2 \sum_{\delta|\beta} \left[2E - 1 + 2 \frac{L'(1, \chi_4)}{L(1, \chi_4)} + \sum_{p|\gamma/\delta}^* \log \frac{N(p)}{N(p) - 1} \right] \prod_{\gamma|\gamma/\delta}^* (1 - N^{-1}(p)).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we will consider only a case $(\alpha_0, \gamma) = 1$.

We have for $c = 1 + \varepsilon$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{\alpha \equiv \alpha_0(\gamma) \\ N(\alpha) \leq x}} \tau(\alpha) - \sum_{\substack{\alpha = \alpha_0 + \beta\gamma \\ \beta \in B}} \tau(\alpha) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} \left(F(s) - \sum_{\beta \in B} \frac{\tau(\alpha_0 + \beta\gamma)}{N(\alpha_0 + \beta\gamma)^s} \right) \frac{x^s}{s} ds + O\left(\frac{x^c}{TN(\gamma)}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where

$$F(s) = N(\gamma)^{-2s} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \pmod{\gamma} \\ \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \equiv \alpha_0(\gamma)}} \zeta\left(s, \frac{\alpha_1}{\gamma}, 0\right) \zeta\left(s, \frac{\alpha_2}{\gamma}, 0\right) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \equiv \alpha_0(\gamma) \\ \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]}} \frac{\tau(\alpha)}{N(\alpha)^s}.$$

From lemma 1 we have the functional equation

$$F(s) = \frac{\pi^{2(2s-1)} \Gamma^2(1-s)}{N^{2s}(\gamma) \Gamma^2(s)} \Psi(1-s),$$

where

$$\Psi(s) = \sum_{\omega} \frac{1}{N(\omega)^s} \sum_{\alpha\beta=\omega} \Phi(\alpha, \beta; \gamma),$$

$$\Phi(\alpha, \beta; \gamma) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \pmod{\gamma} \\ \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \equiv \alpha_0 \pmod{\gamma}}} e\left(\frac{1}{2}Sp\left(\frac{\alpha\alpha_1 + \beta\alpha_2}{\gamma}\right)\right).$$

Moreover, $F(0) = 0$ if $N(\gamma) > 1$ and $\alpha \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}$.

By lemma 1 we obtain

$$G(s) = F(s) - \sum_{\beta \in B} \frac{\tau(\alpha_0 + \beta\gamma)}{N(\alpha_0 + \beta\gamma)^s} \ll \begin{cases} N(\gamma)^{-1+\varepsilon} & \text{if } Re(s) = 1 + \varepsilon, \\ N(\gamma)^{1/2+\varepsilon}T^3 & \text{if } Re(s) = -\frac{1}{4}. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Applying Phragmen-Lindelöf principle we infer

$$G(-\varepsilon + it) \ll N(\gamma)^{1/5+\varepsilon}T^{12/5+\varepsilon} \text{ for } |t| \leq T.$$

To deal with integral in (4) we move the segment of integration to $Re(s) = -\varepsilon$.

By the theorem of residues we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \equiv \alpha_0 \pmod{\gamma} \\ N(\alpha) \leq x}} \tau(\alpha) &= \text{res}_{s=0} \left(G(s) \frac{x^s}{s} \right) + \text{res}_{s=1} \left(G(s) \frac{x^s}{s} \right) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\varepsilon-iT}^{-\varepsilon+iT} G(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds + O(x^\varepsilon) + O\left(N(\gamma)^{1/5+\varepsilon}T^{12/5+\varepsilon}\right) + \\ &+ O\left(\frac{x^{1+\varepsilon}}{TN(\gamma)}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{res}_{s=0} \left(G(s) \frac{x^s}{s} \right) &= \frac{\pi^2 x \log x}{N(\gamma)} \prod_{\gamma|\alpha} (1 - N(\gamma)^{-1}) + \\ &+ \frac{\pi^2 x}{N(\gamma)} \prod_{p|\alpha} (1 - N(p)^{-1}) \left[-1 + 2 \left(E + \frac{L'(1, \chi_4)}{L(1, \chi_4)} + \sum_{p|\delta} \frac{\log N(p)}{N(p) - 1} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

$$\text{res}_{s=0} \left(G(s) \frac{x^s}{s} \right) = \text{res}_{s=0} \left(- \sum_{\beta \in B} \frac{\tau(\alpha_0 + \beta\gamma)}{N(\alpha_0 + \beta\gamma)^s} \frac{x^s}{s} \right) \ll N(\gamma)^\varepsilon.$$

Observe that by lemma 2

$$\sum_{\alpha\beta=\omega} |\Phi(\alpha, \beta; \gamma)| = \sum_{\alpha\beta=\omega} |K(\alpha, \beta\alpha_0; \gamma)| \ll N(\gamma)^{1/2}N((\omega, \gamma))^{1/2}\tau(\gamma)\tau(\omega).$$

Now by termwise integration and applying the Stirling formula for the gamma function and the method of stationary phase we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\varepsilon-iT}^{-\varepsilon+iT} G(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds = \\ & = \sum_{\substack{\omega \\ 0 < N(\omega) \leq Y}} \frac{\pi^2}{N(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha\beta=\omega} \Phi(\alpha, \beta; \gamma) \frac{y^{3/8}}{4\sqrt{2/\pi}} e\left(-\frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{2\pi}y^{1/4}\right) \cdot \\ & \quad \cdot \left(1 + O\left(y^{-1/8}\right)\right) + O\left(\frac{x^{1+\varepsilon}}{TN(\gamma)}\right) + O(x^\varepsilon) + \\ & \quad + O\left(\sum_{N(\omega) > Y} y^{-\varepsilon} T^{1+4\varepsilon} N(\gamma)^{1/2+\varepsilon} N((\omega, \gamma))^{1/2} \tau(\omega) N(\omega)^{-1}\right), \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

where $Y \leq X = \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^4 \frac{T^4 N^2(\gamma)}{x}$, $y = \frac{\pi^4 x N(\omega)}{N^2(\gamma)}$.

The assertion of the lemma follow from (4),(6)–(8) if we put

$$T = x^{1/2} N(\gamma)^{-3/4}, Y = x^{1/3}.$$

□

4. Proof of the theorem

We start the proof of our theorem by observing that

$$\tau(\alpha) = 2\#\{\gamma|\alpha; N(\gamma) \leq x^{1/2}\} - \#\{\gamma|\alpha; N(\alpha)x^{-1/2} \leq N(\gamma) \leq x^{1/2}\}$$

whenever $\alpha, N(\alpha) \leq x$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{N(\alpha) \leq x} \tau(\alpha)\tau(\alpha + \beta) = \\ & = \sum_{N(\gamma) \leq x^{1/2}} \left(2 \left\{ \sum_{\substack{\alpha \equiv \beta(\gamma) \\ N(\alpha - \beta) \leq x}} \tau(\alpha) - 1 \right\} - \left\{ \sum_{\substack{\alpha \equiv \beta(\gamma) \\ N(\alpha - \beta) \leq N(\gamma)x^{1/2}}} \tau(\alpha) - 1 \right\} \right) = \\ & = 2 \sum_{N(\gamma) \leq x^{1/2}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \equiv \beta(\gamma) \\ N(\alpha) \leq x}} \tau(\alpha) - \sum_{N(\gamma) \leq x^{1/2}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \equiv \beta(\gamma) \\ N(\alpha) \leq N(\gamma)x^{1/2}}} \tau(\alpha) + O(x^{7/8+\varepsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, we have

$$N(\alpha - \beta) = |\alpha - \beta|^2 \geq ||\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2| = N(\alpha) - N(\beta) \quad \text{for } N(\alpha) \geq N(\beta),$$

and

$$N(\alpha - \beta) \leq |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = N(\alpha) + N(\beta).$$

Therefore we carry an error in the asymptotic formula $\ll N(\beta)x^{1/2} \ll x^{7/8}$ if we replace the condition $N(\alpha - \beta) \leq x$ on the condition $N(\alpha) \leq x$ (we take into account that $N(\beta) \leq x^{3/8}$).

Now, by lemma 3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{N(\alpha) \leq x^{1/2}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \equiv \beta(\gamma) \\ N(\alpha) \leq x}} &= \sum_{\delta | \beta} \sum_{\substack{N(\gamma) \leq x^{1/2} N(\delta)^{-1} \\ (\gamma, \beta/\delta) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \equiv \beta(\text{mod } \gamma\delta) \\ N(\alpha) \leq x}} \tau(\alpha) = \\ &= \sum_{\delta | \beta} \sum_{\substack{N(\gamma) \leq \frac{x^{1/2}}{N(\delta)} \\ (\gamma, \alpha_0/\delta) = 1}} \left\{ \frac{\pi^2 x}{N^2(\gamma\delta)} N(\delta) \varphi(\gamma) \tau(\delta) \left(\log \frac{x}{N(\delta)} - 1 \right) + \right. \\ &+ \frac{2\pi^2 x}{N(\gamma)} \sum_{t | \delta} \left(E + \frac{L'(1, \chi_4)}{L(1, \chi_4)} + \sum_{p | \gamma\delta/t} \log \frac{N(p)}{N(p) - 1} \right) \prod_{p | \gamma\delta/t} (1 - N(p)^{-1}) \Big\} + \\ &\quad + O \left(\sum_{\delta | \beta} \sum_{N(\gamma) \leq \frac{x^{1/2}}{N(\delta)}} x^{1/2} N(\gamma\delta)^{-1/4} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the equality

$$\varphi(\alpha) = N(\alpha) \prod_{p | \alpha} (1 - N(p)^{-1}) = N(\alpha) \sum_{\delta | \alpha} \frac{\mu(\delta)}{N(\delta)}$$

we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{N(\alpha) \leq x \\ (\alpha, \beta) = 1}} \frac{\varphi(\delta)}{N(\delta)} &= \sum_{\substack{N(\alpha) \leq x \\ (\alpha, \beta) = 1}} \sum_{\delta | \alpha} \frac{\mu(\delta)}{N(\delta)} = \sum_{\substack{N(\delta) \leq x \\ (\alpha\delta, \beta) = 1}} \frac{\mu(\delta)}{N(\delta)} \sum_{N(\alpha) \leq \frac{x}{N(\delta)}} 1 = \\ &= \prod_{p | \beta} (1 - N(p)^{-1}) \left(\pi x \sum_{\substack{N(\alpha) \leq x \\ (\delta, \beta) = 1}} \frac{\mu(\delta)}{N^2(\delta)} + O(x^{1/3}) \right) \\ &= c_0(\beta)x + O(x^{1/3}). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $c_0(\beta) = c_0 \frac{\varphi(\beta)}{N(\beta)} \prod_{p | \beta} (1 - N(p)^{-2})$, $c_0 = \text{const}$.

Therefore

$$\sum_{\substack{N(\gamma) \leq \frac{x^{1/2}}{N(\delta)} \\ (\gamma, \beta/\delta) = 1}} c_0(\beta/\delta) \left(\log x + 1 + O(x^{1/3}) \right). \tag{12}$$

Hence, from (10),(12), we get

$$\sum_{N(\gamma) \leq x^{1/2}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \equiv \beta(\gamma) \\ N(\alpha) \leq x}} \tau(\alpha) = x(a_0(\beta) \log^2 x + a_1(\beta) \log x + a_2(\beta)) + O(x^{7/8+\varepsilon}). \quad (13)$$

Similarly

$$\sum_{N(\gamma) \leq x^{1/2}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \equiv \beta(\gamma) \\ N(\alpha) \leq N(\gamma)x^{1/2}}} \tau(\alpha) = x(b_1(\beta) \log x + b_2(\beta)) + O(x^{7/8+\varepsilon}). \quad (14)$$

From (9),(13),(14) we obtain the assertion of theorem. \square

References

- [1] A.E. Ingham, Some asymptotic formulae in the theory of numbers, 2. J. London Math. Soc. 2(1927), 202-208.
- [2] T. Estermann, Über die Darstellungen einer Zahl als Differenz von zwei Producten, J. Reine Angew. Math. 164(1931), 173-182.
- [3] D.R.Heath-Brown, The divisor function $d_3(n)$ in arithmetic progression, Acta Arithm. XLVII.1 (1986), 29-56.
- [4] J.-M. Deshoillers and H. Iwaniec, An additive divisor problem, Proc. London Math. Soc (2) 26(1982), 1-14.
- [5] Y.Motohashi, The binary additive divisor problem, Ann. Sci. École Normale Supérieure (4) 27 (1994), 529-572.
- [6] P.D. Vatbanets and P.A. Zarzycki, Divisors of the Gaussian integers in an Arithmetic Progression, J. Number Theory (2) 33(1989), 152-269.

CONTACT INFORMATION

O. V. Savasrtu

ul.Dvoryanskaya 2, Dept. of computer algebra and discrete mathematics, Odessa national university, Odessa 65026 Ukraine
E-Mail: prik@imem.odessa.ua

P. D. Varbanets

ul.Solnechnaya 7/9 apt.18, Odessa 65009 Ukraine
E-Mail: varb@te.net.ua

Received by the editors: 22.02.2003.