

## Preradicals, closure operators in $R\text{-Mod}$ and connection between them

A. I. Kashu

**ABSTRACT.** For a module category  $R\text{-Mod}$  the class  $\mathbb{PR}$  of preradicals and the class  $\mathbb{CO}$  of closure operators are studied. The relations between these classes are realized by three mappings:  $\Phi : \mathbb{CO} \rightarrow \mathbb{PR}$  and  $\Psi_1, \Psi_2 : \mathbb{PR} \rightarrow \mathbb{CO}$ . The impact of these mappings on the operations in  $\mathbb{PR}$  and  $\mathbb{CO}$  (meet, join, product, coproduct) is investigated. It is established that in most cases the considered mappings preserve the lattice operations (meet and join), while the other two operations are converted one into another (i.e. the product into the coproduct and vice versa).

### 0. Introduction and preliminary notions

In this work the preradicals and closure operators of a module category  $R\text{-Mod}$  are studied ([1–6]). Three known mappings  $\Phi : \mathbb{CO} \rightarrow \mathbb{PR}$ ,  $\Psi_1, \Psi_2 : \mathbb{PR} \rightarrow \mathbb{CO}$  realize the connection between the class  $\mathbb{PR}$  of preradicals and the class  $\mathbb{CO}$  of closure operators of  $R\text{-Mod}$  ([1]). We study the influence of these mappings on the operations in  $\mathbb{PR}$  and  $\mathbb{CO}$ : meet, join, product and coproduct ([1, 2]).

At first we remind some necessary notions. Let  $R$  be a ring with unity and  $R\text{-Mod}$  be the category of unitary left  $R$ -modules. For every module  $M \in R\text{-Mod}$  we denote by  $\mathbb{L}(M)$  the lattice of submodules of  $M$ .

A *preradical*  $r$  of  $R\text{-Mod}$  is a subfunctor of identity functor of  $R\text{-Mod}$ , i.e.  $r(M) \subseteq M$  and  $f(r(M)) \subseteq r(M')$  for every  $R$ -morphism  $f : M \rightarrow M'$  ([3, 4]).

---

**2010 MSC:** 16D90, 16S90, 06B23.

**Key words and phrases:** ring, module, lattice, preradical, closure operator, product (coproduct) of closure operators.

Let  $\mathbb{PR}$  be the class of all preradicals of  $R\text{-Mod}$ . In  $\mathbb{PR}$  four operations are defined ([3]):

- the *meet*  $\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha$  of the family  $\{r_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\} \subseteq \mathbb{PR}$ :

$$\left(\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha\right)(M) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha(M);$$

- the *join*  $\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha$  of the family  $\{r_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\} \subseteq \mathbb{PR}$ :

$$\left(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha\right)(M) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha(M);$$

- the *product*  $r \cdot s$  of preradicals  $r, s \in \mathbb{PR}$ :

$$(r \cdot s)(M) = r(s(M));$$

- the *coproduct*  $r : s$  of preradicals  $r, s \in \mathbb{PR}$ :

$$[(r : s)(M)] / s(M) = r(M / s(M)),$$

for every module  $M \in R\text{-Mod}$ .

In  $\mathbb{PR}$  the order relation “ $\leq$ ” is defined by the rule:

$$r \leq s \Leftrightarrow r(M) \subseteq s(M) \text{ for every } M \in R\text{-Mod}.$$

The class  $\mathbb{PR}$  is a “big” complete lattice with respect to the operations “ $\wedge$ ” and “ $\vee$ ”.

A *closure operator* of  $R\text{-Mod}$  is a function  $C$  which associates to every pair  $N \subseteq M$ , where  $N \in \mathbb{L}(M)$ , a submodule  $C_M(N) \subseteq M$  with the conditions:

- ( $c_1$ )  $N \subseteq C_M(N)$ ;
- ( $c_2$ ) if  $N_1 \subseteq N_2$ , then  $C_M(N_1) \subseteq C_M(N_2)$ ;
- ( $c_3$ ) for every  $R$ -morphism  $f : M \rightarrow M'$  and  $N \in \mathbb{L}(M)$  we have  $f(C_M(N)) \subseteq C_{M'}(f(N))$ .

We denote by  $\mathbb{CO}$  the class of all closure operators of  $R\text{-Mod}$ . In this class also four operations are defined ([1]):

- the *meet*  $\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C_\alpha$  of the family  $\{C_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\} \subseteq \mathbb{CO}$ :

$$\left(\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C_\alpha\right)_M(N) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [(C_\alpha)_M(N)];$$

- the *join*  $\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C_\alpha$  of the family  $\{C_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\} \subseteq \mathbb{CO}$ :

$$\left(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C_\alpha\right)_M(N) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [(C_\alpha)_M(N)];$$

- the *product*  $C \cdot D$  of the operators  $C, D \in \mathbb{CO}$ :

$$(C \cdot D)_M(N) = C_M(D_M(N));$$

- the *coproduct*  $C \# D$  of the operators  $C, D \in \mathbb{CO}$ :

$$(C \# D)_M(N) = C_{D_M(N)}(N),$$

for every  $N \subseteq M$ .

In  $\mathbb{CO}$  the order relation “ $\leq$ ” is defined as follows:

$$C \leq D \Leftrightarrow C_M(N) \subseteq D_M(N) \text{ for every } N \subseteq M.$$

The class  $\mathbb{CO}$  is a “big” complete lattice with respect to the operations “ $\wedge$ ” and “ $\vee$ ”.

The connection between the classes  $\mathbb{CO}$  and  $\mathbb{PR}$  for a fixed module category  $R\text{-Mod}$  is realized by the following three mappings ([1, 4]):

- 1)  $\Phi : \mathbb{CO} \rightarrow \mathbb{PR}$ , where we denote  $\Phi(C) = r_C$  for every  $C \in \mathbb{CO}$  and define:

$$r_C(M) = C_M(0)$$

for every  $M \in R\text{-Mod}$ ;

- 2)  $\Psi_1 : \mathbb{PR} \rightarrow \mathbb{CO}$ , where  $\Psi_1(r) = C^r$  for every  $r \in \mathbb{PR}$  and

$$[(C^r)_M(N)] / N = r(M/N)$$

for every  $N \subseteq M$ ;

- 3)  $\Psi_2 : \mathbb{PR} \rightarrow \mathbb{CO}$ , where  $\Psi_2(r) = C_r$  for every  $r \in \mathbb{PR}$  and

$$(C_r)_M(N) = N + r(M)$$

for every  $N \subseteq M$ .

It is well known that  $C^r$  is the *greatest* among the operators  $C \in \mathbb{CO}$  with the property  $\Phi(C) = r$  and, dually,  $C_r$  is the *least* among the operators  $C \in \mathbb{CO}$  for which  $\Phi(C) = r$ . So, every preradical  $r \in \mathbb{PR}$  gives the equivalence class  $[C_r, C^r]$  in  $\mathbb{CO}$ , and every operator  $C \in \mathbb{CO}$  defines

the class  $[C_{r_C}, C^{r_C}]$  in which it is contained. The closure operators of the form  $C^r$  are called *maximal*. An operator  $C \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{O}$  is maximal if and only if  $C_M(N)/N = C_{M/N}(\bar{0})$  for every  $N \subseteq M$  (or:  $C_M(N)/K = C_{M/K}(N/K)$  for every  $K \subseteq N \subseteq M$  [6]).

We denote by  $\text{Max}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{O})$  the class of maximal closure operators of  $R\text{-Mod}$ . The mappings  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi_1$  define a monotone bijection  $\text{Max}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{O}) \cong \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ . Dually, the closure operators of the form  $C_r$  are called *minimal* and the mappings  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi_2$  define a monotone bijection  $\text{Min}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{O}) \cong \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ .

We remind also that the preradical  $r \in \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$  is called *cohereditary* if  $r(M/N) = (r(M) + N)/N$  for every  $N \subseteq M$  ([3, 4]).

## 1. The mapping $\Phi$ and its impact on the operations of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{O}$

We begin with the mapping  $\Phi : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ , where  $\Phi(C) = r_C$  and  $r_C(M) = C_M(0)$  for every  $C \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{O}$  and  $M \in R\text{-Mod}$ . We will study the behaviour of this mapping relative to the operations in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{O}$  ([1, 2]).

**Proposition 1.1.** *The mapping  $\Phi$  preserves the operation of meet, i.e.*

$$\Phi\left(\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C_\alpha\right) = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [\Phi(C_\alpha)]$$

for every family of operators  $\{C_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{O}$ .

*Proof.* For every  $M \in R\text{-Mod}$  from the definitions it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(r \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C_\alpha\right)(M) &= \left(\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C_\alpha\right)_M(0) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [(C_\alpha)_M(0)] = \\ &= \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [r_{C_\alpha}(M)] = \left(\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_{C_\alpha}\right)(M). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $r \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C_\alpha = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_{C_\alpha}$ , so by our notations this proves the proposition.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.2.** *The mapping  $\Phi$  preserves the operation of join, i.e.*

$$\Phi\left(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C_\alpha\right) = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [\Phi(C_\alpha)]$$

for every family of operators  $\{C_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{O}$ .

*Proof.* For every  $M \in R\text{-Mod}$  by definitions we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(r \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C_\alpha\right)(M) &= \left(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C_\alpha\right)_M(0) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [(C_\alpha)_M(0)] = \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [r_{C_\alpha}(M)] = \left(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_{C_\alpha}\right)(M), \end{aligned}$$

therefore  $r \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C_\alpha = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_{C_\alpha}$ , proving the proposition.  $\square$

Thus the mapping  $\Phi$  preserves the lattice operations “ $\wedge$ ” and “ $\vee$ ”. Now we consider the other two operations: product and coproduct.

**Proposition 1.3.** *The mapping  $\Phi$  converts the coproduct of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{O}$  into the product of  $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ , i.e.*

$$\Phi(C \# D) = \Phi(C) \cdot \Phi(D)$$

for every operators  $C, D \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{O}$ .

*Proof.* For every  $M \in R\text{-Mod}$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} r_{C\#D}(M) &= (C \# D)_M(0) = C_{D_M(0)}(0), \\ (r_C \cdot r_D)(M) &= r_C(r_D(M)) = r_C(D_M(0)) = C_{D_M(0)}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $r_{C\#D}(M) = (r_C \cdot r_D)(M)$  for every  $M \in R\text{-Mod}$ , i.e.  $r_{C\#D} = r_C \cdot r_D$ , as affirms the proposition.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.4.** *For every operators  $C, D \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{O}$  the relation is true:*

$$\Phi(C \cdot D) \leq \Phi(C) : \Phi(D).$$

If  $C$  is a **maximal** closure operator, then for every operator  $D \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{O}$  the equality holds:

$$\Phi(C \cdot D) = \Phi(C) : \Phi(D).$$

*Proof.* By definitions we have for every  $M \in R\text{-Mod}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} r_{C \cdot D}(M) &= (C \cdot D)_M(0) = C_M(D_M(0)), \\ [(r_C : r_D)(M)] / r_D(M) &= r_C(M / r_D(M)) = \\ &= r_C(M / D_M(0)) = C_{M/D_M(0)}(\bar{0}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $[r_{C \cdot D}(M)] / r_D(M) = [C_M(D_M(0))] / D_M(0)$ . From the definition of closure operator (condition  $(c_3)$ ) it follows that  $[C_M(D_M(0))] / D_M(0) \subseteq C_{M/D_M(0)}(\bar{0})$ . So from the foregoing it follows that  $[r_{C \cdot D}(M)] / r_D(M) \subseteq [(r_C : r_D)(M)] / r_D(M)$ . Hence  $r_{C \cdot D}(M) \subseteq (r_C : r_D)(M)$  for every  $M \in R\text{-Mod}$ , i.e.  $r_{C \cdot D} \leq r_C : r_D$ , which proves the first statement.

Now we suppose that the operator  $C$  is *maximal*, i.e.  $[C_M(N)] / N = C_{M/N}(\bar{0})$  for every  $N \subseteq M$ . For  $N = D_M(0)$  we obtain  $[C_M(D_M(0))] / D_M(0) = C_{M/D_M(0)}(\bar{0})$ , which means that  $[r_{C \cdot D}(M)] / r_D(M) = [(r_C : r_D)(M)] / r_D(M)$ . Therefore  $r_{C \cdot D}(M) = (r_C : r_D)(M)$  for every  $M \in R\text{-Mod}$ , i.e.  $r_{C \cdot D} = r_C : r_D$ , proving the second statement.  $\square$

## 2. The mapping $\Psi_1$ and its impact on the operations of $\mathbb{PR}$

In continuation we consider the mapping  $\Psi_1 : \mathbb{PR} \rightarrow \mathbb{CO}$ , which is defined by the rule  $\Psi_1(r) = C^r$  and  $[(C^r)_M(N)]/N = r(M/N)$  for every  $r \in \mathbb{PR}$  and  $N \subseteq M$ . We verify the influence of this mapping on the operations of  $\mathbb{PR}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *The mapping  $\Psi_1$  preserves the operation of **meet**, i.e.*

$$\Psi_1 \left( \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha \right) = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [\Psi_1(r_\alpha)]$$

for every family of preradicals  $\{r_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\} \subseteq \mathbb{PR}$ .

*Proof.* By definitions for every  $N \subseteq M$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} [(C^{\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha})_M(N)]/N &= (\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha)(M/N) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [r_\alpha(M/N)] = \\ &= \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [((C^{r_\alpha})_M(N))/N] = [\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} ((C^{r_\alpha})_M(N))]/N = [(\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C^{r_\alpha})_M(N)]/N. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(C^{\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha})_M(N) = (\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C^{r_\alpha})_M(N)$  for every  $N \subseteq M$ , i.e.

$$C^{\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha} = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C^{r_\alpha}, \text{ which proves the proposition.} \quad \square$$

**Proposition 2.2.** *The mapping  $\Psi_1$  preserves the operation of **join**, i.e.*

$$\Psi_1 \left( \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha \right) = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [\Psi_1(r_\alpha)]$$

for every family of preradicals  $\{r_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\} \subseteq \mathbb{PR}$ .

*Proof.* For every  $N \subseteq M$  by definitions we have:

$$\begin{aligned} [(C^{\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha})_M(N)]/N &= (\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha)(M/N) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [r_\alpha(M/N)] = \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [((C^{r_\alpha})_M(N))/N] = [\sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} ((C^{r_\alpha})_M(N))]/N = [(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C^{r_\alpha})_M(N)]/N. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(C^{\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha})_M(N) = (\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C^{r_\alpha})_M(N)$  for every  $N \subseteq M$ , which

$$\text{means that } C^{\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha} = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C^{r_\alpha}, \text{ proving the proposition.} \quad \square$$

**Remark.** Since the mappings  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi_1$  preserve the lattice operations “ $\wedge$ ” and “ $\vee$ ”, the bijection  $\text{Max}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{O}) \cong \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ , which is defined by these mappings, is an *isomorphism* of complete “big” lattices.

Further we consider the effect of the mapping  $\Psi_1$  on the rest of operations: product and coproduct.

**Proposition 2.3.** *The mapping  $\Psi_1$  converts the product of  $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$  into the coproduct of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{O}$ , i.e.*

$$\Psi_1(r \cdot s) = \Psi_1(r) \# \Psi_1(s)$$

for every preradicals  $r, s \in \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r, s \in \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$  and  $N \subseteq M$ . Then:

$$[(C^{r \cdot s})_M(N)]/N = (r \cdot s)(M/N) = r(s(M/N)).$$

From the other hand, by the definition of coproduct in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{O}$  we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} [(C^r \# C^s)_M(N)]/N &= [(C^r)_{(C^s)_M(N)}(N)]/N = \\ &= r([(C^s)_M(N)]/N) = r(s(M/N)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $[(C^{r \cdot s})_M(N)]/N = [(C^r \# C^s)_M(N)]/N$ , and so  $(C^{r \cdot s})_M(N) = (C^r \# C^s)_M(N)$  for every  $N \subseteq M$ . This means that  $C^{r \cdot s} = C^r \# C^s$ , proving the proposition.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *The mapping  $\Psi_1$  converts the coproduct of  $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$  into the product of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{O}$ , i.e.*

$$\Psi_1(r : s) = \Psi_1(r) \cdot \Psi_1(s)$$

for every preradicals  $r, s \in \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* By definition  $[(C^{r:s})_M(N)]/N = (r : s)(M/N)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} [(r : s)(M/N)]/s(M/N) &= r[(M/N)/s(M/N)] = \\ &= [(C^r)_{M/N}(s(M/N))]/s(M/N) \text{ for every } N \subseteq M. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(r : s)(M/N) = (C^r)_{M/N}(s(M/N))$ , i.e.  $[(C^{r:s})_M(N)]/N = (C^r)_{M/N}(s(M/N))$ .

Now we will use the fact that  $C^r$  is a *maximal* closure operator (see Section 1), which in the situation  $N \subseteq (C^s)_M(N) \subseteq M$  implies the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [(C^r)_M((C^s)_M(N))]/N &= (C^r)_{M/N}([(C^s)_M(N)]/N) = \\ &= (C^r)_{M/N}(s(M/N)). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing with the relation obtained above, now we have:

$$[(C^{r:s})_M(N)]/N = [(C^r)_M((C^s)_M(N))]/N,$$

thus  $(C^{r:s})_M(N) = (C^r \cdot C^s)_M(N)$  for every  $N \subseteq M$ . This means that  $C^{r:s} = C^r \cdot C^s$ , proving the proposition.  $\square$

### 3. The mapping $\Psi_2$ : impact on the operations of $\mathbb{PR}$

Finally, we study the mapping  $\Psi_2 : \mathbb{PR} \rightarrow \mathbb{CO}$ , where  $\Psi_2(r) = C_r$  and  $(C_r)_M(N) = N + r(M)$  for every  $r \in \mathbb{PR}$  and  $N \subseteq M$ . We show the influence of  $\Psi_2$  to the operations of  $\mathbb{PR}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *For every family of preradicals  $\{r_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\} \subseteq \mathbb{PR}$  the relation is true:*

$$\Psi_2 \left( \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha \right) \leq \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [\Psi_2(r_\alpha)].$$

*If the lattice  $\mathbb{L}(M)$  is infinite distributive (relative to meets) for every  $M \in R\text{-Mod}$ , then the equality holds:*

$$\Psi_2 \left( \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha \right) = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [\Psi_2(r_\alpha)].$$

*Proof.* By definitions  $\Psi_2 \left( \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha \right) = C_{\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha}$ , where  $(C_{\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha})_M(N) = N + [(\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha)(M)] = N + [\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha(M)]$  for every  $N \subseteq M$ .

From the other hand,  $\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [\Psi_2(r_\alpha)] = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C_{r_\alpha}$ , where

$$\left( \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C_{r_\alpha} \right)_M(N) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [(C_{r_\alpha})_M(N)] = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [N + r_\alpha(M)]$$

for every  $N \subseteq M$ . Since  $N + [\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha(M)] \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [N + r_\alpha(M)]$ , we have

$$\left( C_{\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha} \right)_M(N) \subseteq \left( \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C_{r_\alpha} \right)_M(N), \text{ i.e. } C_{\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha} \leq \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C_{r_\alpha}, \text{ proving the first}$$

statement.

If  $\mathbb{L}(M)$  is infinite distributive (relative to meets) for every  $M \in R\text{-Mod}$ , then  $N + [\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha(M)] = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [N + r_\alpha(M)]$  for every

$N \subseteq M$ , which implies the equality  $C_{\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha} = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C_{r_\alpha}$ , proving the second

statement.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *The mapping  $\Psi_2$  preserves the operation of **join**, i.e.*

$$\Psi_2 \left( \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha \right) = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [\Psi_2(r_\alpha)]$$

for every family of preradicals  $\{r_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\} \subseteq \mathbb{PR}$ .

*Proof.* For every  $N \subseteq M$  we have:

$$(C_{\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha})_M(N) = N + [(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha)(M)] = N + [\sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha(M)].$$

From the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned} (\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C_{r_\alpha})_M(N) &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [(C_{r_\alpha})_M(N)] = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} [N + r_\alpha(M)] = \\ &= N + [\sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha(M)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(C_{\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha})_M(N) = (\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C_{r_\alpha})_M(N)$  for every  $N \subseteq M$ , i.e.  $C_{\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} r_\alpha} = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} C_{r_\alpha}$ , which proves the proposition.  $\square$

It remains to verify the impact of the mapping  $\Psi_2$  on the operations of product and coproduct of  $\mathbb{PR}$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *For every preradicals  $r, s \in \mathbb{PR}$  the relation is true:*

$$\Psi_2(r \cdot s) \leq \Psi_2(r) \# \Psi_2(s).$$

*Proof.* Let  $r, s \in \mathbb{PR}$  and  $N \subseteq M$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} (C_{r \cdot s})_M(N) &= N + [(r \cdot s)(M)] = N + r(s(M)), \\ (C_r \# C_s)_M(N) &= (C_r)_{(C_s)_M(N)}(N) = (C_r)_{N+s(M)}(N) = \\ &= N + r(N + s(M)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $N + r(s(M)) \subseteq N + r(N + s(M))$ , we have  $(C_{r \cdot s})_M(N) \subseteq (C_r \# C_s)_M(N)$  for every  $N \subseteq M$ , i.e.  $C_{r \cdot s} \leq C_r \# C_s$ , proving the proposition.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *For every preradicals  $r, s \in \mathbb{PR}$  the relation is true:*

$$\Psi_2(r : s) \geq \Psi_2(r) \cdot \Psi_2(s).$$

If the preradical  $r \in \mathbb{PR}$  is **cohereditary**, then for every  $s \in \mathbb{PR}$  the equality holds:

$$\Psi_2(r : s) = \Psi_2(r) \cdot \Psi_2(s).$$

*Proof.* If  $r, s \in \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$  and  $N \subseteq M$ , then  $(C_{r:s})_M(N) = N + [(r : s)(M)]$  and  $[(r : s)(M)] / s(M) = r(M / s(M))$ . Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} [(C_{r:s})_M(N)] / s(M) &= [N + (r : s)(M)] / s(M) = \\ &= [(N + s(M)) / s(M)] + [(r : s)(M)] / s(M) = \\ &= [(N + s(M)) / s(M)] + [r(M / s(M))]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for the product of respective operators we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (C_r \cdot C_s)_M(N) &= (C_r)_M [(C_s)_M(N)] = \\ &= (C_r)_M [N + s(M)] = N + s(M) + r(M). \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} [(C_r \cdot C_s)_M(N)] / s(M) &= [N + s(M) + r(M)] / s(M) = \\ &= [(N + s(M)) / s(M)] + [(r(M) + s(M)) / s(M)]. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of preradical we have the inclusion:

$$[r(M) + s(M)] / s(M) \subseteq r(M / s(M)),$$

and comparing with the previous relations we obtain:

$$[(C_{r:s})_M(N)] / s(M) \supseteq [(C_r \cdot C_s)_M(N)] / s(M).$$

Therefore  $(C_{r:s})_M(N) \supseteq (C_r \cdot C_s)_M(N)$  for every  $N \subseteq M$ , which means that  $C_{r:s} \geq C_r \cdot C_s$ , proving the first statement.

If we suppose that the preradical  $r \in \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$  is *cohereditary*, then for every  $s \in \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}$  by previous calculation we obtain the equality  $[(C_{r:s})_M(N)] / s(M) = [(C_r \cdot C_s)_M(N)] / s(M)$ , which implies the equality  $C_{r:s} = C_r \cdot C_s$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] D. Dikranjan, E. Giuli, *Factorizations, injectivity and compactness in categories of modules*, Commun. in Algebra, v. **19**, №1, 1991, pp. 45–83.
- [2] D. Dikranjan, W. Tholen, *Categorical structure of closure operators*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1995.
- [3] L. Bican, T. Kepka, P. Nemeč, *Rings, modules and preradicals*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1982.
- [4] A.I. Kashu, *Radicals and torsions in modules*, Kishinev, Știința, 1983 (in Russian).
- [5] A.I. Kashu, *Closure operators in the categories of modules. Part I*, Algebra and Discrete Mathematics, v. **15** (2013), №2, pp. 213–228.
- [6] A.I. Kashu, *Closure operators in the categories of modules. Part II*, Algebra and Discrete Mathematics, v. **16** (2013), №1, pp. 81–95.

## CONTACT INFORMATION

**A. I. Kashu**

Institute of Mathematics and Computer  
Science, Academy of Sciences of Moldova,  
5 Academiei str., Chişinău,  
MD – 2028 MOLDOVA  
*E-Mail:* kashuai@math.md

Received by the editors: 09.07.2014  
and in final form 09.07.2014.