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#### Abstract

The binary Reed-Muller code $\operatorname{RM}(m-k, m)$ corresponds to the $k$-th power of the radical of $G F(2)[G]$, where $G$ is an elementary abelian group of order $2^{m}$ (see [2]). Self-dual RMcodes (i.e. some powers of the radical of the previously mentioned group algebra) exist only for odd $m$.

The group algebra approach enables us to find a self-dual code for even $m=2 k$ in the radical of the previously mentioned group algebra with similarly good parameters as the self-dual RM codes.

In the group algebra $$
G F(2)[G] \cong G F(2)\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right] /\left(x_{1}^{2}-1, x_{2}^{2}-1, \ldots x_{m}^{2}-1\right)
$$ we construct self-dual binary $C=\left[2^{2 k}, 2^{2 k-1}, 2^{k}\right]$ codes with property $$
\operatorname{RM}(k-1,2 k) \subset C \subset \mathrm{RM}(k, 2 k)
$$ for an arbitrary integer $k$. In some cases these codes can be obtained as the direct product of two copies of $\mathrm{RM}(k-1, k)$-codes. For $k \geqslant 2$ the codes constructed are doubly even and for $k=2$ we get two non-isomorphic [16, 8, 4]codes. If $k>2$ we have some self-dual codes with good parameters which have not been described yet.
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## Introduction and Notation

Let $K$ be a finite field of characteristic $p$ and let $V$ be a vector space over $K$, and $C$ be a subspace of $V$. Then $C$ is called a linear code. Let $x, y \in C$, then the Hamming weight of $x$ is the number of its non-zero coordinates and the Hamming distance of $x$ and $y$ is the weight of $x-y$. The Hamming distance (or weight) of a linear code $C$ is the minimum of all Hamming distances of its codewords.

In the study of binary codes $C \subseteq V$ it is convenient that the space $V$ has an additional algebraic structure. For example, if $V$ is a group algebra $K[G]$, where $G$ is a finite abelian $p$-group and $C$ is an ideal of such a group algebra, then $C$ is called an abelian group code.

The Hamming distance of a linear code determines the ability of error-correcting property of the code. The authors in [6] proved that for any $1 \leqslant d \leqslant\left[\frac{m+1}{2}\right]$ there exists an Abelian 2-group $G_{d}$ that a power of the radical is a self-dual code with parameters $\left(2^{m}, 2^{m-1}, 2^{d}\right)$. These codes are ideals in the group algebra $G F(2)\left[G_{d}\right]$ and they are "monomial codes" in the sense of [5] as defined below.

Throughout, $p$ will denote a prime and $K$ a field of $p$ elements. Let $G=\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle \times \cdots \times\left\langle g_{m}\right\rangle \cong C_{p}^{m}$ be an elementary abelian $p$-group of order $p^{m}$ i.e. $K[G]$ is a modular group algebra, then the group algebra $K[G]$ and $K^{n}$ are isomorphic as vector spaces by the mapping

$$
\varphi: K[G] \mapsto K^{n}, \text { where } \varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} g_{i}\right) \mapsto\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right):=\mathbf{c} \in C
$$

Reed-Muller (RM) binary codes were introduced in [12] as binary functions. These codes are frequently used in applications and have good error correcting properties. Now we are looking for self-dual codes in the radical of $K[G]$ with similarly good parameters as the RM codes.
If $K$ is a field of characteristic 2 Berman [2] and in the general case Charpin [3] proved that all Generalized Reed-Muller (GRM) codes coincide with powers of the radical of the modular group algebra of $K[G]$, where $G$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group. This group algebra is clearly isomorphic with the quotient algebra

$$
G F(p)\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{m}\right] /\left(x_{1}^{p}-1, \ldots x_{m}^{p}-1\right)
$$

Self-dual RM-codes (i.e. some power of the radical of the group algebra $G F(2)[G])$ exist only for odd $m$. They are $\left(2^{m}, 2^{m-1}, 2^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\right)$-codes.

For any basis $\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots g_{m}\right\}$ of such a group $G$ consider the algebra isomorphism $\mu$ mapping $g_{j} \mapsto x_{j} \quad(1 \leqslant j \leqslant m)$, and therefore we have the algebra isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{A}_{p, m} \cong G F(p)\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right] /\left(x_{1}^{p}-1, x_{2}^{p}-1, \ldots x_{m}^{p}-1\right)
$$

where $G F(p)\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right]$ denotes the algebra of polynomials in $m$ variables with coefficients in $G F(p)$.

It is known ([7]) that the set of monomial functions $\left(k_{i} \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0\right)$

$$
\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(x_{i}-1\right)^{k_{i}} \text { where } 0 \leqslant k_{i}<p\right\}
$$

form a linear basis of the radical $\mathcal{J}_{p, m}$. Clearly the nilpotency index of $\mathcal{J}_{p, m}$ (i.e. the smallest positive integer $t$, such that $\mathcal{J}_{p, m}^{t}=0$ ) is equal to $t=m(p-1)+1$.

Introducing the notation

$$
X_{i}=x_{i}-1, \quad(1 \leqslant i \leqslant m)
$$

(which will be used from now on) we have the following isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}_{p, m} \simeq G F(p)\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{m}\right] /\left(X_{1}^{p}, X_{2}^{p}, \ldots X_{m}^{p}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $k$-th power of the radical consists of reduced $m$-variable (nonconstant) polynomials of degree at least $k$, where $0 \leqslant k \leqslant t-1$, where $t=m(p-1)+1$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}_{p, m}^{k}=\operatorname{GRM}(t-1-k, m)=\left\langle\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(X_{i}\right)^{k_{i}} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_{i} \geqslant k\left(0 \leqslant k_{i}<p\right)\right\rangle \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a basis was exploited by Jennings [7].
By (2) the quotient space $\mathcal{J}_{p, m}^{k} / \mathcal{J}_{p, m}^{k+1}$ has a basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{m} X_{i}^{k_{i}}+\mathcal{J}_{p, m}^{k+1}, \text { where } 0 \leqslant k_{i}<p \text { and } \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_{i}=k\right\} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. It is known [15] that the dual code $C^{\perp}$ of an ideal $C$ in $\mathcal{A}_{p, m}$ coincides with the annihilator of $C^{*}$, where $C^{*}$ is the image of $C$ by the involution $*$ defined on $\mathcal{A}_{p, m}$ by

$$
*: g \mapsto g^{-1} \text { for all } g \in G \text { from } \mathcal{A}_{p, m} \text { to itself. }
$$

The annihilator of $\mathcal{J}_{p, m}^{k}$ is obviously $\mathcal{J}_{p, m}^{m(p-1)+1-k}$. Thus the dual codes of GRM-codes are GRM-codes and

$$
\operatorname{GRM}(k, m)^{\perp}=\operatorname{GRM}(m(p-1)-k-1, m)
$$

It follows that for $m=2 k+1$ and $p=2$ the code $\operatorname{GRM}(k, m)$ is self-dual.

## 1. Construction of binary self-dual codes

Let us consider the group algebra

$$
\mathcal{A}_{2, m}=G F(2)\left[x_{1}, \ldots x_{m}\right] /\left(x_{1}^{2}-1, x_{2}^{2}-1, \ldots x_{m}^{2}-1\right) \simeq G F(2)\left[C_{2}^{m}\right]
$$

as a vector space with basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}} \ldots x_{m}^{a_{m}}, a_{i} \in\{0,1\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known ([7]) that the radical $\mathcal{J}_{2, m}$ of this group algebra is generated by the monomials $X_{i}=x_{i}-1=x_{i}+1$.

Definition 1 ([5]). The code $C$ in $\mathcal{J}_{2, m}$ (see (1)) is said to be a monomial code if it is an ideal in $\mathcal{A}_{2, m}$ generated by some monomials of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}^{k_{1}} X_{2}^{k_{2}} \ldots X_{m}^{k_{m}}, \text { where } 0 \leqslant k_{i} \leqslant 1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The codes we intend to study are monomial codes.
For $p=2$ using the usual polynomial product in the Boolean monomial $X_{1}^{k_{1}} X_{2}^{k_{2}} \ldots X_{m}^{k_{m}}\left(k_{i} \in\{0,1\}\right)$ we have

$$
X_{1}^{k_{1}} X_{2}^{k_{2}} \ldots X_{m}^{k_{m}}=\left(x_{1}+1\right)^{k_{1}}\left(x_{2}+1\right)^{k_{2}} \ldots\left(x_{m}+1\right)^{k_{m}}
$$

and the Hamming weight in the basis (4) of this monomial equals $\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1+k_{i}\right)$.
Example. Let $G$ be an elementary abelian group of order $2^{m}, m \geqslant 2$. Define the codes $C_{j}$ as ideals in $K[G]$ generated by $X_{j}=x_{j}-1$. These codes are binary self-dual $\left[2^{m}, 2^{m-1}, 2\right]$ codes and they are self-dual since $C_{j}=C_{j}^{\perp}=\left\langle X_{j}\right\rangle$. Further, this code is a direct sum of $[2,1,2]$-codes. The dimension of the code $C_{j}$ is $2^{m-1}$, the same as the dimension of the radical of the group algebra $G F(2)[H]$, where $H$ is an elementary abelian 2 -group of rank $m-1$. The minimal distance of $C_{j}$ is $d=2$. This follows from the fact that the element $X_{j}=x_{j}+1$ is included in the basis of $C_{j}$. Thus, $C_{j}$ is a self-dual $\left[2^{m}, 2^{m-1}, 2\right]$-code.

By Remark 1 one can see that a power of the radical of a modular group algebra is self-dual if and only if the nilpotency index of the radical is even. In our case (when $G$ is elementary abelian of order $p^{m}$ ) the nilpotency index is even if and only if $p=2$ and $m$ is odd.

If $m$ is odd, the binary RM-codes with parameters $\left[2^{m}, 2^{m-1}, 2^{\frac{m+1}{2}}\right]$ are self-dual and they are the $\frac{m+1}{2}$-th powers of the radical $\mathcal{A}_{2, m}$.

For $m=2 k$ where $k$ is an arbitrary integer, we have a new method to construct a doubly-even class of binary self-dual $C$ codes with parameters $\left[2^{m}, 2^{m-1}, 2^{k}\right]$. For this code $C$ we have $\operatorname{RM}(k-1,2 k) \subset C \subset \operatorname{RM}(k, 2 k)$. In the case of $m=4$, we get two known extremal [16, 8,4$]$ codes (listed in [14]) and for $m>4$ these codes are not extremal. A doubly-even (i.e. its minimum distance is divisible by 4) self-dual code is called extremal, if we have for its minimum distance $d=4\left[\frac{n}{24}\right]+4$, where $n$ denotes the code length (see Definition 39 and Lemma 40 in [8]).
To abbreviate the description of our codes, we shall refer to the monomial $X_{1}^{k_{1}} \ldots X_{m}^{k_{m}}$ as the $m$-tuple $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{m}\right) \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}^{m}$ of exponents.

Using Plotkin's construction of RM-codes (see Theorem 2 [13], Ch. 13, §3) we obtain the following property of RM-codes.

Lemma 1. If $m$ is even and $m=2 k$, then $\operatorname{RM}(k-1, m)=\mathcal{J}_{2, m}^{k+1}$ contains a proper subspace which is isomorphic to $\operatorname{RM}(k-1, m-1)$.

Proof. Recall, that the set of monomials in the basis (2) of $\mathcal{J}_{2, m}^{k+1}$ is invariant under the permutations of the variables $X_{i}$, i.e. the set of binary m-tuples $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{m}\right)$ assigned to the basis (2) is invariant under the permutation of all elements of the symmetric group $S_{m}$. Take the basis elements with $k_{m}=1$. Then the monomials $X_{1}^{k_{1}} \ldots X_{m}^{k_{m}}$ of degree $m$ can be projected by $\pi:\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{m-1}, 1\right) \mapsto\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{m-1}\right)$. In this way we get a basis of $\mathcal{J}_{2, m-1}^{k} \cong \operatorname{RM}(k-1, m-1)$.

For $m=2 k$ denote the set of all $k$-subsets of $\{1,2, \ldots, 2 k\}$ by $X$. The elements of $X$ can be described by binary sequences $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{m}\right)$ consisting of $k$ ' 0 '-s and $k^{\text {' }} 1$ '-s in any order. Clearly, the cardinality of the set $X$ is $\binom{2 k}{k}$.

We say that the subset $Y$ of binary m-tuples in $X$ is complement free if $y \in Y$ implies $\mathbf{1}-y \notin Y$, where $\mathbf{1}=(1,1, \ldots, 1)$. Denote the set of monomials corresponding to the set of exponents in $X$ by $\mathcal{X}$. Denote the set with maximum number of pairwise orthogonal monomials in $\mathcal{X}$ by $\mathcal{Y}$ and their corresponding exponents in $X$ by $Y$.

Example. For $m=6$ the quotient space $\mathcal{J}_{2, m}^{3} / \mathcal{J}_{2, m}^{4}$ has a basis with $\binom{6}{3}=20$ elements, where the binary 6 -tuples corresponding to the coset
representative monomials (the set $X$ ) are listed in pairs of complements:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
(1,1,1,0,0,0) & (0,0,0,1,1,1) \\
(1,1,0,1,0,0) & (0,0,1,0,1,1) \\
(1,1,0,0,1,0) & (0,0,1,1,0,1) \\
(1,1,0,0,0,1) & (0,0,1,1,1,0) \\
(1,0,1,1,0,0) & (0,1,0,0,1,1) \\
(1,0,1,0,1,0) & (0,1,0,1,0,1) \\
(1,0,1,0,0,1) & (0,1,0,1,1,0) \\
(1,0,0,1,1,0) & (0,1,1,0,0,1) \\
(1,0,0,1,0,1) & (0,1,1,0,1,0) \\
(1,0,0,0,1,1) & (0,1,1,1,0,0)
\end{array}
$$

and we have $2^{\frac{1}{2}\binom{6}{3}}=2^{10}$ complement-free sets. For example the following complement free sets $Y$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ of 10 elements:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
Y & \mathcal{Y} \\
\hline(1,1,1,0,0,0), & X_{1} X_{2} X_{3} \\
(0,0,1,0,1,1), & X_{3} X_{5} X_{6} \\
(1,1,0,0,1,0), & X_{1} X_{2} X_{5} \\
(0,0,1,1,1,0), & X_{3} X_{4} X_{5} \\
(1,0,1,1,0,0), & X_{1} X_{3} X_{4} \\
(0,1,0,1,0,1), & X_{2} X_{4} X_{6} \\
(0,1,0,1,1,0), & X_{2} X_{4} X_{5} \\
(0,1,1,0,0,1), & X_{2} X_{3} X_{6} \\
(1,0,0,1,0,1), & X_{1} X_{4} X_{6} \\
(1,0,0,0,1,1), & X_{1} X_{5} X_{6}
\end{array}
$$

Theorem 1. Let $C$ be a binary code with $\operatorname{RM}(k-1,2 k) \subset C \subset \operatorname{RM}(k, 2 k)$ with the following basis of the factorspace $C / \mathrm{RM}(k-1,2 k)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{m} X_{i}^{k_{i}}+\operatorname{RM}(k-1,2 k), \text { where } k_{i} \in\{0,1\} \text { and } \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_{i}=k\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the set of the exponents $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{m}\right)$ is a maximal (with cardinality $2^{\frac{1}{2}\binom{2 k}{k}}$ ) complement free subset of $X$. Then $C$ forms $a\left[2^{2 k}, 2^{2 k-1}, 2^{k}\right]$ self-dual doubly-even code.

Proof. Let $G$ be an elementary abelian group of order $2^{m}$, where $m=$ $2 k, k \geqslant 2$. By the group algebra representation of RM-codes and the definition of $C$ we have the relation $\mathcal{J}_{2, m}^{k+1} \subset C \subset \mathcal{J}_{2, m}^{k}$.

For $m=2 k$ the set $\mathcal{X}$ is the set of coset representatives of the quotient space $\mathcal{J}_{2, m}^{k} / \mathcal{J}_{2, m}^{k+1}$, i.e. the set of monomials satisfying (6).

Clearly, two monomials $X_{1}^{k_{1}} X_{2}^{k_{2}} \ldots X_{m}^{k_{m}}$ and $X_{1}^{l_{1}} X_{2}^{l_{2}} \ldots X_{m}^{l_{m}}$ are orthogonal, i.e. their product is zero, if for some $i: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$ we have $k_{i}=l_{i}$.

Thus, the elements in the radical corresponding to these monomials are orthogonal if their exponent $m$-tuples belong to a complement free set.

The $m$-tuples $\left(k_{1}, k_{2} \ldots k_{m}\right)$ have to be complement free in $Y$, otherwise the corresponding monomials in $\mathcal{Y}$ are not orthogonal. Clearly $Y$ is a complement free subset of $X$ (given by (4)) with cardinality $\frac{1}{2}\binom{2 k}{k}=$ $\binom{2 k-1}{k-1}$.

By definition, $C=\left\langle\mathcal{J}_{2, m}^{k+1} \cup \mathcal{Y}\right\rangle$ is a subspace of the radical $\mathcal{J}_{2, m}$ of the group algebra $\mathcal{A}_{2, m}$ generated by the union of $\mathcal{J}_{2, m}^{k+1}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$. For the dimension of $C$ we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}(C)=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{RM}(k-1, m))+\frac{1}{2}\binom{2 k}{k}=1+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\binom{2 k}{i}+\frac{1}{2}\binom{2 k}{k}=2^{2 k-1}
$$

It follows that $C$ is self-dual. Since a binary self-dual code contains a word of weight 2 if and only if the generator matrix has two equal columns, we have our self-dual code to be doubly-even.

Each monomial in $\mathcal{Y}$ has the same weight $2^{k}$, that is the minimal distance of $C$. Using the identities for the monomials involved in the basis of our codes

$$
x_{i}\left(x_{j}+1\right)=\left(x_{i}+1\right)\left(x_{j}+1\right)+\left(x_{j}+1\right) \text { and }\left(x_{i}+1\right)^{2}=0
$$

we easily obtain that $C$ (which is subspace of $\mathcal{J}_{2, m}$ ) is an ideal in the group algebra $G F(2)[G]$.

Theorem 2. Let $Y$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be sets defined above and let $C$ be the code defined in Theorem 1. Suppose that $k_{i}=0$ for some $i: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$ in each element of the subset $Y$, (i.e. the variable $X_{i}$ is missing in each monomial of $\mathcal{Y})$. Then we have the isomorphism

$$
C \simeq \operatorname{RM}(k-1,2 k-1) \oplus \operatorname{RM}(k-1,2 k-1) .
$$

Proof. The elements of $\mathcal{Y}$ are of the form

$$
X_{1}^{k_{1}} \ldots X_{m}^{k_{m}}=\left(x_{1}+1\right)^{k_{1}}\left(x_{2}+1\right)^{k_{2}} \ldots\left(x_{m}+1\right)^{k_{m}}, \text { where } \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_{i}=k
$$

and their weight is $2^{k}$. Project the set of monomials with $k_{i}=0$ in $C=\left\langle\mathcal{J}_{2, m}^{k+1} \cup \mathcal{Y}\right\rangle$ onto the monomials $X_{1}^{k_{1}}, \ldots, X_{i-1}^{k_{i-1}}, X_{i+1}^{k_{i+1}}, \ldots, X_{m}^{k_{m}}$. The image $C_{1}$ of this projection is a self-dual $\operatorname{RM}(k-1,2 k-1)$-code with parameters $\left[2^{2 k-1}, 2^{2 k-2}, 2^{k}\right]$.

By Lemma 1 the elements of the basis of $J_{2, m}^{k+1}$ with $k_{i}=1$ generate a subspace $C_{2}$ which is isomorphic to $\operatorname{RM}(k-1,2 k-1)$. The intersection of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ is empty. Therefore $C \simeq C_{1} \oplus C_{2}$ and the statement follows.

Remark 2. In particular, by Theorem 1 we get [16, 8, 4] self-dual codes for $m=4$. These codes are extremal doubly-even codes. Using the SAGE computer algebra software we may check easily the classification of binary self-dual codes listed in [14].

There are two cases:

1) If $k_{i}=0$ for some $i: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$ in each element of the set $Y$, then we get the direct sum $E_{8} \oplus E_{8}$, where $E_{8}$ is the extended Hamming code.
2) otherwise we get an indecomposable $[16,8,4]$ code (which is denoted by $E_{16}$ in [14]).
These codes are formally self-dual. Both classes have the following weight function:

$$
z^{16}+28 z^{12}+198 z^{8}+28 z^{4}+1
$$

Remark 3. It is known that for each odd $m>1$ there exists a self-dual affine-invariant code of length $2^{m}$ over $G F(2)$, which is not a self-dual RM-code [4].
The factor space $\mathcal{J}_{p, m}^{k} / \mathcal{J}_{p, m}^{k+1}$ is an irreducible $\operatorname{AGL}(m, G F(p))$ module. Thus the code $C$ is not affine invariant (see [1] Theorem 4.17) as the powers of the radical of $\mathcal{A}_{p, m}$ are. The code $C$ cannot be an extended cyclic code by Corollary 1 in [4].

Remark 4. Using the inclusion-exclusion principle a formula can be given for the dimension of the $R M(k+1, m)$-code (see for example in [1] Theorem 5.5). If $p=2$ and $0 \leqslant k \leqslant m$, then we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} C=\frac{1}{2}\binom{2 k}{k}+\sum_{i=k+1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{2 k}(-1)^{j}\binom{2 k}{j}\binom{2 k-2 j+i-1}{i-2 j}=\sum_{i=k+1}^{m}\binom{2 k}{i}+\frac{1}{2}\binom{2 k}{k},
$$

where $i-2 j \geqslant 0$.
The codes constructed in the current paper are worth to be studied further. Already for $k=2$ we get two non-isomorphic codes with the same parameters. It would be interesting to determine all classes of codes
up to isomorphism for each arbitrary integer $k$ and to determine their automorphism group. The code $C$ in Theorem 1 is not affine-invariant and first computations show that the automorphism group of $C$ with $k_{i}=0$ differs from the automorphism group of $C$ with $k_{i}=1$ for some $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$.

We can formulate the following open questions about the code $C$ of Theorem 1:

1) Does there exist a classification for all complement-free sets for arbitrary even $m$ ?
2) How many non-equivalent (in any sense) self-dual binary codes exist for fixed $m$ and $p$ ?
3) Compare the automorphism groups of the codes $C$ defined in Theorem 1 with the automorphism group of RM-codes.
4) Find decoding algorithms for $C$.
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